Universität des Saarlandes

Incremental Processing Seminar

Anonymous Peer Review Form for Student Presentations

Presenter's name: …..

Reviewer's name: …..

Note: This page is for class participation assessment only. All registered students must fill in a peer review form for each presentation. This page will not be returned to the presenter, and therefore all comments will remain anonymous. If we have less than 10 students in the class, I will type up comments from the forms and send them to the presenter by email to make sure reviewer identities can't be guessed.

Note: This form is adapted from a presentation marking form originally used in a course in Edinburgh by Prof. Alan Bundy.

Instructions to Peer Reviewers

Each student, apart from the presenter, should fill in a copy of this form during or in the week after each student presentation. Forms will be collected at the end of the session (if you've finished filling it in by then), or the beginning of the next session.

For each section the reviewer should tick the most appropriate box and circle each positive and/or negative comment that applies to the presentation. Furthermore, please provide further feedback in the box provided. The quality of the feedback given in these forms will form part of your course participation grade. Feel free to use an extra sheet of paper if there's not enough space in the boxes provided.

Universität des Saarlandes – Seminar on Incremental Processing
Anonymous Peer Review Form for Student Presentations

Presenter's name:..

Short title:...

1 Delivery of Presentation

Excellent |__| Good |__| Satisfactory |__| Poor |__| Unacceptable |__|

Positives: confident, clear, timing good, consistent pace.

Negatives: nervous at times, confused sometimes, delivery somewhat flat, sometimes hesitant, voice too quiet, ran overtime, ran undertime, laboured easy material, skimmed difficult material, turned back on audience, obscured screen

Comments:

Don't forget to fill in the backside of this form!

2 Content of Presentation

Excellent |__| Good |__| Satisfactory |__| Poor |__| Unacceptable |__|

Positives: good understanding of material, clear theme to talk, good overview, highlighted important points, combined motivational and technical material, explained hard ideas well, questions handled well

Negatives: some technical errors, some technical terms not explained, talk poorly structured, explanations sometimes unclear

Comments:

3 Use of audio-visual aids

Excellent |__| Good |__| Satisfactory |__| Poor |__| Unacceptable |__|

Positives: clear slides, right amount of material per slide, examples used well, diagrams used well, tables used well, graphs used well

Negatives: omitted illustrative examples, would have benefited from diagrams, tables, graphs, slides too crowded, font too small, typos on slides

Comments: