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ABSTRACT

Representational spaces enable the study, comparison, and classification of vowel systems of different speakers in several languages. Two approaches compete at the articulatory level: the traditional description is based on the tongue body position (front/back and low/high) in the palatal region and on the lip geometry, whereas, in a more recent approach, classificatory parameters are the acoustically most relevant geometric features, namely the lip area and the position and size of the oral constriction. We intend in this paper to show that it is possible to preserve the traditional phonetic description and to link it to the acoustic one.

INTRODUCTION

In 1781, Hellwag [1] proposed to characterize the different vowels by articulatory and proprioceptive features. He thus defined a bi-dimensional, continuous space, in which vowels were distributed in three classes following three criteria: vocal tract aperture degree, front-back tongue position in the palatal part, and lip shape. These criteria were evaluated with respect to vowel [a].

In the same vein, the International Phonetic Association elaborated in 1888 the International Phonetic Alphabet (API) where vowels are depicted within a quadrilateral. The vowel quadrilateral is defined on the basis of three parameters, namely the lip shape, and the horizontal and vertical tongue positions, as described by the location of the highest point of the tongue in the palatal part of the vocal tract. It remains today the basis for different phonetic and phonological descriptions of languages.

However some limitations are emphasized by acoustical studies, which underline that such geometric descriptions are essentially limited to the visible palatal part of the vocal tract. And this part is acoustically, and then auditoily, not relevant for some vowels such as [a].

From an auditory point of view, vowel production can be characterized with the first formant values. Thus Delattre [2] and Joos [3] proposed to base vowel representations on the first two formants. In fact the link between the vowel quadrilateral and this latter acoustic space is fairly simple: it consists in permuting X- and Y-axes, and in inverting their orientations. F1 is thus associated with the vertical tongue body position, and F2 cumulates the effects of the anterior/posterior position of the tongue and of the protrusion/retraction of the lips. The three "star vowels" [i, a, u] (they are present in more than 90% of the languages, see UPSID, [4]) are located at the edges of the triangle. This description of the production space is more quantitative that the preceding one, and it will be systematically used for the description of linguistic systems and their prediction.

VOWEL REPRESENTATION BASED ON CONSTRUCTION PROPERTIES

The acoustic theory of speech production ([5]; [6]) reduces vocal tract shape description to three relevant parameters: the constriction position Xc, its area Ac, the labial area A1. Fant’s nomograms showed that these parameters can be easy interpreted in reference to the spectral properties of the acoustic signal. Moreover, from the study of the articulatory-to-acoustic relationships in an articulatory model of the vocal tract [7], Boë et al [8] proposed that these three geometrical parameters could play a major role in the control process of vowel production. Experimental works based on X-ray measurements by Wood [9] support this hypothesis, which is in the vein of Gay et al. suggestion [10] that vowels could be neurophysiologically coded in terms of oral constriction position and dimension.

Nevertheless, such a description presents strong limitations:

• The three main cardinal vowels [i, a, u] are not located at the edges of the space: in Xc/AC and Xc/Al planes, extreme vowels are respectively [i, a, e] and [y, o, a, e].
• The relative locations of [i], [a] and [u] do not respect the classical order, since [u] is between [i] and [a]; moreover [e] is far from [a] and [a], whereas data on phonetic changes support the idea that these vowels belong to similar classes.

• The notion of constriction is not suitable for vowels such as [e, o, ë] which involve a open vocal tract.

TOWARDS A LINK BETWEEN CONSTRUCTION AND TONGUE POSITIONS.

The analysis of the relations between the acoustic representation of the vowels, based on the formants, and both other geometrical representations (tongue position versus constriction) underlines a paradox: the traditional representation is quite isomorphic with the acoustic one, whereas it is not the case for the one based on the constriction, although theoretically linked to the acoustic. Hence it appears that it should be possible to preserve the traditional phonetic representation and to link it to the one based on constriction properties.

In fact, for the majority of the vowels the problem is really simple: the position of the highest point of the tongue in the palatal part corresponds to the constriction point. Only for vowels [e, o, ë, ã, ã, ã] divergences are observed between both descriptions.

The tongue is not compressible. Hence, as long as there is no contact between tongue and hard vocal tract walls, it is likely that vertical movements in the palatal part could be correlated with tongue shape changes in the pharynx. For a quantitative evaluation of this correlation, we propose an analysis of natural speech X-ray data: coordinates (Xb, Yb) of the highest point of the tongue are analyzed in relation with those of the most backward point in the pharynx (Xpb, Ypb).

519 X-ray images, available at the Phonetic Institute in Strasbourg [11] and corresponding to 10 sentences produced by a female speaker, have been labelled, at the center of the utterances for vowels and fricative consonants, and at the center of the closure phase for stop consonants. Vocal tract outlines were then superimposed onto a grid [7], defining thus two 32 dimensional vectors for each sagittal view. For each frame, the values of Xs, Ys, Xpb and Ypb were automatically extracted by a parabolic interpolation between the samples on the grid.

Stop consonants [t, d, n] were not considered in this paper, because the vertical movement of the tongue is due to tongue tip than to tongue body displacements. In the same way, stop consonants [k, g] were also not considered, since the tongue trajectory is limited by the contact with the palate. Correlations (R2 values corresponding to the percentage of variance explanation) for the 490 remaining frames are shown in the table on figure 1 (all values are significant at .001). The position of the most backward point of the tongue is obviously correlated with the position of the highest point: if the tongue body moves backward, Xb and Yb vary simultaneously; if the tongue dorsum goes down, the pharyngeal area becomes smaller.

A principal component analysis on the same Xs, Ys, Xpb and Ypb values proposes two principal axes, that explain 92% of the variance. Figure 2 shows, after a Kaiser rotation, the distribution, in the palatal (Xs, Ys) and in the pharyngeal (Xpb, Ypb) parts, of the points of the tongue associated with same values for factors 1 and 2. It can thus be observed that each factor describes a specific movement in the palatal part, inducing respectively a horizontal and a vertical movement in the pharyngeal part. Figure 3 displays this phenomenon more precisely.
Figure 1 - (a) Correlations between the vertical position of the highest point of the tongue (X-axis) and the horizontal position of the most backward point in the pharynx (Y-axis) (measurements from X-ray data collected at Phonetics Institute in Strasbourg).

(b) Correlations between the horizontal position of the highest point of the tongue (X-axis) and the horizontal position of the most backward point in the pharynx (Y-axis) (measurements from X-ray data collected at Phonetic Institute in Strasbourg).

CONCLUSION

If the structural correlations between tongue dorsum and tongue root are taken into account, the traditional vowel representation (high/low, front/back, rounded/unrounded) is acoustically interpretable. It is thus possible to unify articulatory and acoustic representations.

Tongue dorsum lowering entails a root movement towards the pharyngeal walls. The two remaining degrees of freedom are clearly related to the characteristics of the constriction zone, either palatal, velar or pharyngeal. The line of research started by Maeda and Honda should enable thorough examination of vowel representation involving considerations on speech production control.
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