Vol. 3 Page 696

Session 65.5

ICPhS 95 Stockholm

ICPhS 95 Stockholm

Session 65.5

AGE GRADING IN ENGLISH PRONUNCIATION PREFERENCES

J.C. Wells Department of Phonetics and Linguistics, University College London

ABSTRACT

The results are presented of a survey into speakers' preferences regarding certain words of contentious pronunciation. In some cases sharp age grading was revealed. E.g. for usage older people preferred /ju:z1d3/, but the majority /ju:s1d3/. Other sharply age-graded words include nephew, suit, issue, ate, deity, salt, poor, patriotic, inherent, delirious, applicable, controversy, formidable, harass, kilometre and primarily.

INTRODUCTION

In compiling the Longman Pronunciation Dictionary [1] I sought to supplement my own intuitions regarding the prevalence of rival variants by carrying out an opinion poll of speakers' preferences for some ninety words known to be subject to fluctuating or contentious pronunciation. This survey revealed, for instance, that for the word zebra 83% of the respondents preferred the /e/ pronunciation, only 17% preferring /i:/. The poll was based on a postal questionnaire submitted to a panel of native speakers of British English (BrE). The respondents numbered 275, and were drawn in equal numbers from the north and the south of England, with small numbers of Welsh and Scots. Most were professionally concerned with speech, being academic phoand linguists, teachers, neticians university students, radio announcers or speech scientists and engineers; but over a quarter were volunteers from the general public recruited by personal contact or by an invitation in a Sunday newspaper. All might therefore be termed 'speech-conscious'.

The polling preferences presented in *LPD* were mostly given as overall percentages. In the present paper I examine their possible correlation with respondents' ages, which ranged from 15 to over 80 years.

STEADY STATE VS. CHANGE

There are various words which some speakers pronounce with /s/ and some with /z/. LPD records, for example, that in chrysanthemum 61% of respondents preferred /s/, and in usage 72%. This conceals the fact that in chrysanthemum the proportion preferring /s/ is virtually unchanged across all age groups, while in usage it ranges from 37% among the over-65's to 85% among the under-26's. Hence we infer that in usage, but not in chrysanthemum, the language is in a state of change, with /s/ increasingly preferred over /z/. It seems that / ju:sid3/ displaced /ju:zid3/ as the majority form during the forties, when those born between 1923 and 1947 were growing up.



AMERICANIZATION?

Sharp age grading was also revealed in *nephew*, *suit*, and *ate*. In each of these words BrE preferences are shown as moving in the direction of the established American (AmE) pronunciation: from /nevju:/ to /nefju:/, /sju:t/ to /su:t/, and /et/ to /ett/.



This might lead one to conclude that the most important influence on BrE pronunciation is AmE, and that all or most changes in BrE are to be attributed to American influence. Yet the evidence of other data makes clear that this is not so.

DIVERGENCE FROM AMERICAN

Indeed there are other words in which the opposite trend appears: movement away from AmE. In *zebra* AmE consistently has /i:/, but it was known that in BrE both /i:/ and /e/ are used; *LPD* showed /e/ as preferred by 83% to 17%. When we compare age groups, we see that in BrE /zi:brə/ is almost exclusively an older people's pronunciation. They young have settled on /'zebrə/, thus striking away from the AmE norm.

In controversy the initial stress pattern universal in AmE is progressively being replaced in BrE by antepenultimate /-'trov-/, a British innovation unparalleled in AmE.



INFLUENCE OF PROFESSION

The dip in the graph — the unexpectedly low vote for the new pattern among the younger middle-aged — may be explained by the fact that this group of respondents contained many BBC radio announcers, for whom the stressing /kontrav3:si/ is something of a shibboleth. There was a clear correlation between being a BBC announcer and preferring initial stress in this word. It is one of the items on which the BBC Pronunciation Unit has in the past given firm guidance, and announcers have evidently made this preference their own.

The only other word in the survey to reveal correlation with respondent's profession or occupation was *research*, where a preference for final stress (although common to all groups) is associated particularly with being an academic.

OTHER VOWEL PREFERENCES

In *deity* the traditional form with /'di:-/ is almost entirely confined to those born before the nineteen fifties, having been displaced, for reasons that are not clear, by /'deI-/. Among those born since 1962 preference for the latter form reached 98 percent.

In salt it has long been known that there is a form /solt/ in competition with the /so:lt/ shown in most dictionaries. It

ICPhS 95 Stockholm

came as a surprise to me to find that in this word there is fairly sharp age grading, with the proportion preferring the short vowel rising from 34 percent among the oldest group to 72 percent among the youngest.



It is well known that in an increasing number of words the diphthong $/\upsilon_{2}/$ is being replaced by $/\upsilon_{2}/$, probably through a process of lexical transfer. In *poor* we find that the proportion preferring a pronunciation identical to that of *pour*, i.e. $/p\upsilon_{2}/$, rises from about a quarter among the oldest group to over four-fifths among the youngest.



The sharpest age grading found in the survey related to the word *delirious*. The traditional pronunciation has the stressed vowel /1/, as expected on the basis of etymology and orthography. However, for reasons again unknown and apparently peculiar to this word, a new form with /-'lıər-/ has arisen, and is overwhelminghy preferred by younger respondents.

STRESS PATTERNS

Not only in *controversy* but also in a number of other words of four syllables there is a tendency for initial stress to be supplanted by antepenultimate. This is true, for example, of *applicable*, *formidable* and *kilometre*. In all of these there is a steady increase in preference for antepenultimate stress across the age groups; and there is no blip caused by the radio announcers, whose views here coincide with those of the other respondents.



The case of *kilometre* brings us back to the question of possible American influence, since /kr'la:məţə/ is the usual AmE form. As this survey shows, the traditional and logical BrE /'krl> mi:tə/ (cf. centimetre, millimetre) is being rapidly displaced by /kr'lomrtə/.

AN AMERICAN SURVEY

A comparable survey of AmE pronunciation preferences has recently been carried by my doctoral student Yuko Shitara [2]. Her work reveals, for instance, that in *congratulate* and *February* the forms /kən'grædʒəleɪt/ and /'febjueri/ are significantly more favoured by younger than by older Americans; and the younger respondents are significantly more likely to report that *cot* and *caught* are homophonous than their elders.

Several stress patterns are changing both in BrE and in AmE. The graph for *formidable* shows BrE to be in the lead in the movement away from initial stress, and to be changing its preference more rapidly.



The pattern for applicable is similar, though here the change is somewhat further advanced. Here AmE appears to show a recent swing back towards /seplikabl/.

In *harass* it is the Americans who are in the lead. Their adoption of final stress (at least in some meanings of the word) is increasingly imitated in Britain.





In one word at least, a change in preferred stress placement is taking place at the same time and at about the same rate in AmE as in BrE. This is *exquisite*, where the stress pattern *l*'ekskw1ztt*i* is in the later stages of being displaced by the pattern *l*k'skw1ztt*i*.



REFERENCES

[1] Wells, J.C. (1990), Longman Pronunciation Dictionary. Harlow: Longman.

[2] Shitara, Y. (1993), "Survey of American pronunciation preferences - a preliminary report." Speech Hearing and Language, Work in Progress, Phonetics and Linguistics, University College London, 7: 201-232.