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ABSTRACT
The aim of the present paper is to investigate the perceptual role of the direction of the onset F2-transition in determining the phonetic vowel quality and to study language specific aspects of auditory analysis.

INTRODUCTION
Contemporary theories on vowel perception may be roughly divided into two major classes: those that consider information conveyed by onset and offset formant transitions essential to vowel identification (dynamic-specification models); and those assuming that all necessary information is contained at the vowel nucleus (target models). Russian language provides a good opportunity to test these hypotheses due to the specific allophonic variation of stressed vowels in the context of palatalized and nonpalatalized consonants. Figure 1 depicts five Russian vowel phonemes pronounced in the symmetrical contexts of palatalized and nonpalatalized fricatives [s]. Formant frequencies were measured at the middle of the vowels [1]. Apostrrophes surrounding vowels indicate palatalized environment. The plot reveals that several pairs of allophones can not be discriminated using F-pattern at the vowel nucleus, for example: ['U' - 4, 'O' - O']. None the less, listeners rarely confuse these vowels. This is explained not only by the fact that the vowels occur in different consonant environments but by the differences of transition sections as well: at the onset of ['U'] F2 glides down, while at the onset of [H] (Russian symbol for this vowel is [x]) it rises up or stays level. Attempts to assess the perceptual significance of the direction of F2 transition in recognition of ['U'] and [H], using forced-choice identification of synthetic stimuli, produced contradictory results [2,3]. In the present study the same problem is addressed, employing different synthesizer and experimental procedures. To discover traces of language specific behavior the experiments reported below were conducted on Russian and Finnish subjects.

EXPERIMENT 1
In this experiment a modified ABX procedure was used to test the listeners ability to discriminate stimuli on the basis of the direction of F2-transitions.

METHOD
Stimuli. Test stimuli were synthesized using Klatt software synthesizer. Frequency and bandwidth of F1, F3, and F4 were kept constant: F1 = 300 Hz, B1 = 50 Hz; F3 = 2300 Hz, B3 = 200 Hz; F4 = 3300 Hz, B4 = 250 Hz. The frequency of the F2 was changed from 700 to 1900 Hz in 100 Hz step. The stimuli had either steady F2 or an onset F2-transition of ±200 Hz or ±100 Hz. A falling F0-contour was used: 127-100 Hz. The voice amplitude increased from 55 to 60 dB during the first 10 ms and fell down to 31 db on the last 35 ms. The stimuli were sampled at 10 kHz via a 10-bit D/A converter. A spectrogram of two tokens is presented in Figure 4.

RESULTS
In order to find out whether the group of subjects was able to discriminate between stimuli A and B a χ²-test was applied to each type of trials separately. Under the null hypothesis (no discrimination) the subject responses would split evenly between A and B and the expected frequency would be equal to half the number of subjects in a group.

The best discrimination performance of Russian listeners was on ABB triads with ΔF2 = 200 Hz: the probability of correctly rejecting the null hypothesis was 0.93 (χ² = 39.3 with 28 d.f.). On 19 (out of 29) ABB trials not less than 9 subjects identified the third stimulus correctly. Only in one case the listeners majority made a mistake. For the other two types of trials the level of significance was well above 0.10.

Two experimental tapes were recorded using a speech processing tool-kit ISA (designed by R. Toivonen). Each tape contained 84 randomly ordered triads composed of stimuli with F2 transition either of ±200 or ±100 Hz. A triad consisted of stimuli differing only in direction of F2 transition. The third triad element was also realized by a vowel with an appropriate steady F2 (Z-element). Thus there were three types of triads: ABA, ABB, and ABZ. Both temporal orders of the first two elements were used in triad construction. The ISIs were 500 ms within triads, 2 s between triads and 5 s after each group of 15 triads.

Subjects and Procedure. Russian listeners were 14 students (19-20 years old) who took up an introductory course in phonetics. Ten Finnish subjects took part in the experiments, their age varied from 23 to 52 years. Presentation was over loudspeakers in a quite room. The task was to identify the third vowel in a triad as A or B by circling the appropriate response on an answer sheet.

The tape composed of the stimuli with ΔF2 = 200 Hz was presented first. It was preceded by 9 stimuli for familiarization and 10 practice trials. All perception test, including paired comparison of the Experiment 2, were conducted in one session that lasted about 45 minutes.

In this experiment a modified ABX procedure was used to test the listeners ability to discriminate stimuli on the basis of the direction of F2-transitions.

METHOD
Stimuli. Test stimuli were synthesized using Klatt software synthesizer. Frequency and bandwidth of F1, F3, and F4 were kept constant: F1 = 300 Hz, B1 = 50 Hz; F3 = 2300 Hz, B3 = 200 Hz; F4 = 3300 Hz, B4 = 250 Hz. The frequency of the F2 was changed from 700 to 1900 Hz in 100 Hz step. The stimuli had either steady F2 or an onset F2-transition of ±200 Hz or ±100 Hz. A falling F0-contour was used: 127-100 Hz. The voice amplitude increased from 55 to 60 dB during the first 10 ms and fell down to 31 db on the last 35 ms. The stimuli were sampled at 10 kHz via a 10-bit D/A converter. A spectrogram of two tokens is presented in Figure 4.

RESULTS
In order to find out whether the group of subjects was able to discriminate between stimuli A and B a χ²-test was applied to each type of trials separately. Under the null hypothesis (no discrimination) the subject responses would split evenly between A and B and the expected frequency would be equal to half the number of subjects in a group.

The best discrimination performance of Russian listeners was on ABB triads with ΔF2 = 200 Hz: the probability of correctly rejecting the null hypothesis was 0.93 (χ² = 39.3 with 28 d.f.). On 19 (out of 29) ABB trials not less than 9 subjects identified the third stimulus correctly. Only in one case the listeners majority made a mistake. For the other two types of trials the level of significance was well above 0.10.

Two experimental tapes were recorded using a speech processing tool-kit ISA (designed by R. Toivonen). Each tape contained 84 randomly ordered triads composed of stimuli with F2 transition either of ±200 or ±100 Hz. A triad consisted of stimuli differing only in direction of F2 transition. The third triad element was also realized by a vowel with an appropriate steady F2 (Z-element). Thus there were three types of triads: ABA, ABB, and ABZ. Both temporal orders of the first two elements were used in triad construction. The ISIs were 500 ms within triads, 2 s between triads and 5 s after each group of 15 triads.

Subjects and Procedure. Russian listeners were 14 students (19-20 years old) who took up an introductory course in phonetics. Ten Finnish subjects took part in the experiments, their age varied from 23 to 52 years. Presentation was over loudspeakers in a quite room. The task was to identify the third vowel in a triad as A or B by circling the appropriate response on an answer sheet.

The tape composed of the stimuli with ΔF2 = 200 Hz was presented first. It was preceded by 9 stimuli for familiarization and 10 practice trials. All perception test, including paired comparison of the Experiment 2, were conducted in one session that lasted about 45 minutes.

EXPERIMENT 2
Trying to assess in this experiment the role of the direction of F2-transition in identification of ['U'] and [H] we did not consider it correct to ask Russian and Finnish listeners to perform the task of recognition because [H] is an alien sound to Finnish language while ['U'] is "unknown" to naive Russian listener as a distinct vowel category for it does not occur in isolation and therefore its phonetic quality must be abstracted from the context. The perceptual importance of the direction of F2-transition may be studied by asking listeners to judge (dis)similarity between stimuli with rising and falling F2-transition and the two standard stimuli with steady F2 that are most similar to ['U'] and [H].

METHOD
In this experiment the same set of stimuli was used as in Experiment 1.
The stimulus with a steady $F_2 = 1700$ Hz was used as a token of [‘U’]. Its formant frequencies are quite close to those of Finnish short [y] (see Figure 1. [4]). The second standard stimuli had $F_2 = 1400$ Hz and as it was revealed by pilot observations its phonetic categorization was uncertain. If a rising $F_2$-transition was added to it, it was definitively perceived as [H].

Every stimulus with $F_2$-transition was paired with the two standards in both orders. 10 times each standard was paired with itself. Separate tapes, each containing 130 pairs, were created for every order. 10 times each standard was presented first. All the other experimental conditions were the same as in Experiment 1.

**Procedure.** The subjects were asked to judge each pair for its dissimilarity on a five-point scale on which 1 was considered most similar and 5 least similar.

**RESULTS**

Figures 2 and 3 show the medians of the dissimilarity judgements for each pair of stimulus and standard (regardless of their order) plotted against $F_2$ values at the stimulus steady-state. Minimums of the curves point at the $F_2$ of an appropriate standard. Examination of the Figures leads to the following conclusions. For both groups of listeners the main factor affecting similarity estimate is the difference between stimulus $F_2$ value at the steady-state and that of the standard. The effect of the direction of $F_2$-transition if $\Delta F_2 = 100$ Hz is negligible. When $\Delta F_2 = 200$ Hz and stimulus $F_2$ was lower than that of the standard, stimuli with falling $F_2$-transition were judged more similar to both standards than stimuli with rising $F_2$. The relationship was reversed when stimulus $F_2$ was higher than that of the standard. It seems, that the listeners based their judgement of similarity not only on comparison of the physical properties of the sounds but on categorical decisions as well: in Figure 3 stimuli having $F_2 \leq 1000-1100$ Hz were estimated equally similar to the standards though the difference in $F_2$ continued to grow.

From the results reported and discussed above it is apparent that in the present experimental paradigm no evidence was obtained supporting the hypothesis that russian listener had some language specific rules for categorical interpretation of the direction of $F_2$-transition.
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