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ABSTRACT

This paper presents three experiments concerning the acquisition of French and English as L2 or L3 by bilingual and monolingual speakers. The results are interpreted in terms of the influence of $L 1$ and L 2 in suggest that interference phenomena in L3 can be explained in terms of the acoustic nature of the soumds of L 1 .
1.INTRODUCTION

Accent in second or third language oral productions can be explained in terms of interference betveen the mother tongue and the accquired languagels. It is sometimes posside to make some predicuons based on phonological descriptions but this can lead oo problems encoumtered during L2 or L3 acquisition It then becomes necessary to characterize phonetic interference phenomena at subphonemic level, using experimental techniques. Some research along this line has been carried out by J.E. Flege and his associates but they deal with second language acquisition by monolingual speakers.

The phonetic performance of bilingual speakers tas also been studied from an experimental point of viev, but little is knov about the pattern of interference describes three experiments which aim at assessing the influence of LI and L2 in L3 productions of bilingual speakers

The subjects studied are either bilingual speakers having Catalan as a first language and Castilian as second language or monolingual speakers having that for the first troup of subjects "bilingul" is
somevhat confusing designation since the subjects studied don't have the same level of proficiency in both languages, Catalan being dominant oper Castilian

## 2.EXPERIMENT 1

In this first experiment the production of French oral povels by bilingual learmers of French as a third rovels by balingual
language vas studied.
2.1. METHOD

Ten bilingual university sturdents of French ( 6 female and 4 male ) read a series of Catalan, Spanish and French isolated vovels inserted in carrier sentences vith a vord containing the same wovel that vas pronoumced in isolation (e.g. "Il a dit " $i$ " comme dans
 [0], [ 0 ] [ [u] for Catalan, [i]] [e] [a], [o], [ $u$ ] for Spanish
 using a Revox A77 tape recorder and a Sennheiser MD 44N1 cardioid microphone placed at constant distance from the mouth
An acoustic analysis of a total of 240 utterances vas made using a Bruel \& Kizar 2033 narrov band analyzer. The frequency of he first two formants vas determined from ristal examination of narrov band spectra obtained using a FFT algorithm

### 2.2. RESULTS

F1/F2 plottings for the male speakers are shown in figs. 1 and 2. The analysis of their French productions figs. 1 and 2. The analysis of their French productions rounded vovels and the mid-open/mid-close pairs.


Fig 1: Catalan and French povel productions bilingual speakers (Catalan continuos line; French: dotted line)


Fig. 2: Castilian and French povel productions by bilingual speakers (Castilian dashed line; French dotted line)

Since central roumded vovels do not exist either in Calalan or in Spanish they tend to be clustered in central area of the FilF2 povel space vith n differentiation betveen the members of the class. In nadive speaker production there is some overlapping but alvays to a lesser extent than in non-native vovel. As for the [ e$][\mathrm{E}]$ and $[0][0]$ pairs, their situation in th Catalan Hovever the Catilan productionch and
bilingual speakers differ from the esults oblained for natipe Castilian: for the bilingual speakers [ e ] and [0] appear in the same area as Catalan and French [ e ] and [ 0 ] whereas in Castilian they tend to show the sam $[\mathrm{e} H \varepsilon]$ and $[0\rangle[0 \mathrm{I}][2]$ or Frenc eHE] and [0H $\mathrm{O}[1][2]$

## 3.EXPERIMENT 2

In this second experiment ve tried analyze the production of English povels comparing the performance of bilingual and monolingual learners of English as second or third language.

### 3.1. METHODS

Four monolingual and five Gilingual umpersity students of series of quasi-homed io read a containing the vowels of Catalan Costilian and Endish and embedded in carrier sentences. Recordings vere made in the same conditions as in Experiment 1 and vere analyzed vith the sam techniques. A total number of 386 utterances vere measured.
3.2. RESULTS

Measurements of povel durations on oscillograms shoved that both bilingual and monolingual speakers do not make significant ifferences betveen long and hort English vovels; the only the mean durations vere found to be significant vere [i] [i:] and [ $\partial$ [ $[3]$

The results for vovel quality are summarized in Figs 3 and 4. It can ummarized in Figs 3 and 4. It can degree of overlapping betveen

English central povels, both for bilingual and monolingual speakers; Catalan speakers tend to produce the English schva

Bilingual subjects shov a better distribution of the English opentclose povels [ He ] and $[\mathrm{H}$ apear vith strongly overlapped areas in the production of monolingual speakers, due to the lack of this pair in the first language.


Fig. 3: English rovels by bilingual speakers


Fig. 4: English povels by monolingual speakers

## 4.EXPERIMENT

In Experiment 3, the comparison betveen the performance of monolingual and bilingual speaker vas extended to the fricatire consonants of French rench [f] [s] [z] [C [z]- ohile Costilian differ Catalan - $[f],[s],[z],[]$,

### 4.1. METHOD

Four bilingual and four monolingual speakers students of French at umiversity level vere asked to read a series of carrier sentences containing vort vith fricative consonants in Catalan, Castilian an French Recordings vere made under the sam conditions as the previous experiments. For each fricative the folloving acoustic parameters ver considered: frequency and intensity of upper and lover limits of acoustic energy, frequency and intensity of the tro fricative formants, intial and fina The, This gives an estimate of the spectral distribution of acoustic energy for each sound

### 4.2. RESULTS

Sigrificative differences betveen the three languages have only been foumd for the poiceless alveolar [s] [3] This soumd vas found to have very simila characteristics in Catalan and Castilian natip productions and in Castilian productions by bilinguals. Hovever, both groups shoved significan differences vith respect to native French French [s has higher frequency than the Catalan or Castilian [ $s$ ] and it vas produced by our subjects vith frequenc parameter values even higher than those foumd fo aberved by Muill [4] and is illurtrate in Fige 4 and 5.

g. 4: Distribution of acoustic energy in Catalan Castilian and French [s] by bilingual speakers.


Fig. 5: Distribution of acoustic energy in Castilian an French [s] by monolingual speakers.
5.DISCUSSION

The acoustic study of foreign language wovel productions for French and Fnolish shous bilingual speakers appear to behave in the same vay vhen learning a third language vith a complex povel system. They tend bo follo he distributional patuer of their LI in the acoustic vovel space; the position of this same space for the 12 povels does not seem to interfere with their L3 productions. The amalysis of the 12 productions in bilingualsshovs that their distribution of the rovels in the F1/F2 plane is [0] in Catilian cover larger areas than in Catalan due to the lack of a phomogically distinctive closelopen pair.

Acoustic data for the alveolar voiceless fricative [s]
shovs that both bilingual and monolingual speakers tend to overestimate the acoustic characteristics of the concentration of producing sound vith han those found in native speakers of French

## 6.CONCLUSION

It has been experimentally shown that in the case of ailinguals learning a third language, there is no afluence of their L2 in the production of L3 Interference seems to be entirely explained by the coustic features of the sounds of their L1
The results of acoustic analysis of L2 and L3 productions seem to suggest that, at the phonetic level,
interlanguage phenomena do not appear, since no intermediate palues of the parameters mericed vere found.
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