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## ABSTRACT

The paper deals with the Serbo-Croatian phonological system, covering all its prosodic features occurring in words.

The four melodic accents, the lack of reduction, as well as the sequence and distribution of vowels present a lot of challenges to foreign learners.

Six consonants have a vocalic nature in the phonetic sense.

A more precise description has been provided here as to the place or manner of articulation of several consonants.

Problems of presentation are coupled with the wrong rendering of the SC sounds on the part of foreign learners whose mother tongues belong to various language groups.

## INTRODUCTION

The Serbo-Croatian phonological system covers 5 relatively pure vowels and 25 consonant-type sounds. A more detailed analysis, however, reveals a few extremely interesting points.

## VOWELS

## Phonetic Description

There are 5 vowels. According to the place and manner of articulation they are as follows:
1/ front, close, unrounded [i]
2/ front, half-close, unrounded [e]
$3 /$ central to back,open, neutral [a]
4/ back, half-close, rounded [0]
5/ back, close, rounded [u]
There are no nasalised vowels.
-
All the 30 phonemes are always spelt in the same way as they occur in the written language. The Cyrillic Alphabet, as used in $S C$, is phonemic.

## Suprasegmental Features

In some words there may be one of the four melodic accents:

> 1/ long-rising ${ }^{2 /}$ short-rising "
> 3/ long-falling $\wedge$ / short-falling "

The accents may occur:
a) only the falling ones:
in one-syllable words
b) only the rising ones:
in the middle of a word
c) any one of them:
on the first syllable
d) none of them:
on the last syllable
Some words bear no accent, having unaccented long or short vowels only.
A theoretical problem could be raised at this point:
Could one - following an arithmetical transaction -come to a conclusion that there are virtually 25 vocalic phonemes in Serbo-Croatian?

## Reduction

By definition, there is no vowel reduction in standard SC. In some subdialects, however, there is a lot of reduction, even elision, of some unaccented vowels. Cf. standard v. reduced:
S: Jesam li ti rekao šta ima da bude?.
R: S'm ti rek'o bre štima da bidne?
S: Što ćeš raditi večeras?
$R$ : Što'š radit, većeras?
In the teaching process, on the contrary, emphasis is laid on the length of every unaccented long vowel, particularly for grammatical reasons, e.g., in the plural genitive: żeñ (of women). For practical purposes, a horizontal line is placed above the long vowel. Sequence
By definition, there are no diphthongs in SC, but only vowel clusters, that is,
sequences of two vowels, each of which has retained its syllabic value, e.g., re are some interesting cases. Some There are some intell some words differmently, e.g., some spell the last, syllable of the word meāning "tomato" with an "ai" cluster $=$ paradaiz, whereas some spelaj" paradajz. Taking into account the exact pronunciation of that
word, the "ai" cluster could be treated as a diphthong.
In this connexion, a theoretical question could be raised: in SC after all, Are there diphthongs in SC after all,
now that there are foreign words which now that there are foreign words which
have become integral part of the languhave become integral part
age? E.g., auto (
au-to $)$.

## Distribution

Every one of the SC vowels can occur n all the positions within a wor
Problems of Presentation
Theoretically, the description of any vowels embraces
1/ the position of the tongue 2/ the shape of the lips
3/ the position of the soft palate Practically, it is impossible for the tongue or that of the soft palate. Only tongue or that of the lips is visible. It is advisable to mention that there are
nasalised vowels. nasalised vowels.
Although an empiric approach is of majAlthough an empir language teaching, it
or importance in
would prove useful if the teacher of SC would prove useful if the teacher of. SC
knew the vocalic system of the native knew the vocalic system
language of his student.
Forsign learners tend to mispronounce the SC sounds due to
In Arabic there are only three vowels: /i/, /a/ $/ \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Arabian}$ students confuse and /i/, respectively; They mispronounce
the words "bio" (was) and "beo" (white) the words same way: was $[$ biu].
The Spanish have no problems, as there is the same vocalic system in Spanish
"este, hijo, hasta, hombre, Iunes".
In English there are 12 relatively pure
vowels, 8 diphthongs and 5 triphthongs. Instead of the $\mathrm{SC} / 2 /$ the In Ilish often pronounce RF No. 5 vowel: sam = [sa:m],
 one being the problem of reduction.
SC vowels can be reduced that way.

In Russian there are a few varieties of all the rewuced vowels, $[\partial]$ and $[i]$ well The Russian vowels are never as long as the SC ones. in unstressed syllables. of reduction in whian learners of SC tend to make SC vowels shorter or reduced.
They introduce their varieties of vowel


 There is no melodic accent like in SC , yet there is a strong dynamic stress (yrapérue), indicated by the mark ${ }^{\text {m }}$. in a word. The SC accent and the Russian stress are related in a specific way in
the words of the same meaning: a) syllables bearing falling accents in SC correspond to $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$ stressed syllables, e.f., mêso = Máco; ulica = Ynuщa; b) syllables bearing rising accents in les, insomuch as in Russian the stress is shifted on to the following syllable e.g., ruka There is also a minor stress in Russian There is : pàzuo-nepexá4a.
The Russians generally stress such sim-
Foreign learners find it very difficult Foreign learners find it very difficult
to distinguish between the SC accents. While contrasting pairs of accents can be of some help, e.g. a long-risi
žsnā (of women) v. žèna (woman)
mere imitation of the accents occurring in words which cannot be contrasted does not necessarily pro usually aware o lensth distinction only. Because of the unaccented long vowels in a single wor foreign learners often cannot determin which syllable bears the accent. There-
fore, at each new attempt they may lay fore, at each new attempt they may lay thrabaca" (of sparrows) may become:
vra-ba-ca, vra-ba-ca, or vra-ba-ca. vra-ba-ca, vra-ba-ca, or vra-ba-ca; As for reduction, the English generally
take care of the accented syllable only; thus, they pronounce ${ }^{n} \Lambda$ Happy New Yeari
as ['sretfna nova godina " " Sreina
There are diphthongs in French, Spanish and English. Speakers of these languages tend to make the SC Vowel sequences
into diphthongs, the English even into triphthongs occasionally: bio $=$ [biou], As for distribution of vowels, there are
5 maglish vowels which cannot occur fi-

reason, the English make similar SC vow lsdonger or into diphthongs:
 consonamts
There are 25 consonants in SC. Fifteen Plosives

## 

The voiceless stops are not aspirated.

## Affricates

 Nasals


## Apical sounds

$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Alveolar: rolled }[r] \quad \text { (spelt } r \text { ) } \\ \text { Alveolar: } \\ \text { flapped }[r] \quad(s p e l t \\ r\end{array}\right)$
Rolled $[r]$ is formed by rapid intermittent taps of the tongue tip against the
teeth ridge. It is coupled with the central neutrai vowel $[\partial]$.
 Laterals
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Dental: } & {[1]} \\ \text { Palatal: } & {[K]}\end{array} \quad$ (spelt 1 )
Being continuant and non-fricative, the Fricatives

Semi-vowel
$\qquad$ (spelt j)
Being a variant of $[i]$, this sound is
phonetically a vowel
Theoretical Problems
Several consonants have not been descr-
ibed adequately in cause they are evaluated on the phonetcand phonological levels simultaneousics, the nasals,laterals and semi-vowel are dealt with first as they function
in language, their phonetic description being almost neglected. There is no
nention of their vocalic nature scription, the nasals, of phonetic de-semi-vowel are vowels. Linguistically, they occur marginally in the syllable, antal category on functional grounds. At times, however, owing to the phonetc context, they are accompanied by onsonantal nature. /2/
In $S C$ books the tongue is divided into the apex, front and back. According to into the tip and blade. That has in turn rought about a more precise division of
 The two apical sounds stand in complemlophones of one phoneme. Rolled $[\mathrm{r}]$ occurs between two consonants; thus, it is considered a vowel on the linguistic ievel. Flapped rlis considered a vowel In other positions, it is considered a consonant. The central neutral vowel[ $[2]$ speakers are not aware of its existence
and role in releasing the apical sounds. In SC books [s] and [z] are treated as alveolar sounds because the air-stream escapes by means of a narrow groove in the centre of the tongue, causing friction between the tongue and the alveol-

Errors Made by Foreign Learners
Some of the consonants present a lot of problems to foreign learners. They tend
to stick to the phonemes existing in their own mother tongues.
In Russian there are even doubled consonants, which are rather long. Russian
speakers tend to pronounce similar SC words in their way: masa = [omassa]. In Russian and German, voiced consonants are devoiced finally. That is why $R$ and nov = [nof]; vod $=$ [vot].
The problem of palatalisation i.s worth considering. In Russian there are a lot
of consonants which can have both hard (non-palatalised) and soft (palatalised
varieties. There are 18 palatals in R , and only 9 in SC . Only palatals in R and only 9 in SC. Only 3 palatals are
the same in both languages: $[\gamma],[\kappa][j]$.
Three are similar: Three are similar: [tS] is softer in in ,
 in those positions which call SC for pals $\frac{\text { Plosives. Arabian speakers confuse }}{\text { and }[\mathrm{p}]}$

The Germans devoice stops in all positions: biti=piti; dobro=topro; gad=kat. The English often hear and reproduce $/ \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{k} /$ as $[\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{g}]$ due to SC voiceless stops: aspiration in the platno= gladno.

Papic = Bable, languages have regressive All Germanic languas Slavic languages assimilation, whereas silation. Compare have progressive assimilatings of "pet the SC and (five bananas):

SC rendering: ped banana
$E$ rendering: pet panana
The Spanish confuse [b] with their bilabial fricative [ 3 ]: subota = [sußota]. Affricates. Initial [ts] is difficult for the English. They sound [s]instead: cvet $=$ svet
The French can never pronounce [ts], so they utter [s] instead: lonac = 10nas. All foreigners (and a lot of SC speakers too) find it almost impossible to distinguish between č and ć, and ď̌ and dj, respectively. $R$ Iearners neutralise $\underline{\mathcal{c}}$ and $\hat{\varepsilon}$ into [tf]: kuče, kuće $=\left[\right.$ kut $\left.\int e\right]$, and dǐ and dj into [dj]:džak, djak=[djah. It is advisable to paint out to the double articulation of these palatals. The tip, blade, and rims of the tongue touch the upper alveolar ridge and side teeth. At the same time, the front of the tongue is raised towards the hard
 That explanation should help anyone, particularly the Greeks, who pronounce [ts] instead of [ $t \int$ ] and [ $t_{6}$ ], and [ $\left.d z\right]$ instead of [d3] and rdz]: cutio [tsuti]; noć=[nots]; džep=[dzép]; Djura=[dzura].
Nasals. The French and Chinese do not sound final [ $n$ ], but they nasalise the previous vowel: slon $=$ [slơ]. Russian, French, Italian, Spanish and Portuguese speakers find it easy to pronounce[ $\boldsymbol{y}]$, jet it is very difficult for the Germans and English. They sound it as [ $n$ ] or treat it as $[\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{j}]$, e.g., konj= [kon]; njuška=[nu: $\left.\int k a, n / j u: \int k a\right]$.
Rolled [r]. This sound is easy for the Spanish and Scottish. The French and Germans sound uvular [r] instead. The English pronounce RPNo.ll vowel[a:] coupled with a kind of [r]:krv=[kz:rv]. The Japanese confuse [r] and [1]:
gorak= golak; red = led The English pronounce $t+r$ and $d+r$ like their affricates [tr] and [dr]:
tri $=[\operatorname{tri}:] ;$ drug $=[d r u: g]$.
Iaterals: As [1] involves a double articulation (a tap of the tongue tip against the upper teeth, coupled with a rise of the middle of the tongue toward the hard palate), the French shift the second articulation forward, thus form-
ing their clear [1]: šal $=\left[\int a l+\right]$. While pronouncing final [1], the English sound their dark [z], by shifting the second articulation backward:šal=[]a: $\ddagger]$ The Russians always use their dark [ $\ddagger$ ]:
 The Japanese confuse [l] and [r]:

Split $=$ Sprit
Russian, Italian, Spanish and Portuguese speakers find it easy to pronounce [K], yet it is difficult for French and German learners. Their rendering is alGerman $[j]: 1$ jubav $=[-j u b a v]$. The English rendering is either [1] or [1/ $j]$ : Ijubav $=[, 1 u: b a v]$, [, 1/ju:bav]. Fricatives. Initially, Spanish learners confuse [v1 and the stop [b1:vino=bino. Arabic speakers do so medially as well: navijam = nabijam
The Greeks and Spaniards have no [s], [z], [ $\left.\int\right]$, or [3] sounds, but the sound's in between $[s]$ and $\left[\int\right]$, and $[z]$ and $[3]$, respectively. Thus, they pronounce:
uzela sam sok = [uzela sam sok]
doživela sam šok=[dozivela sem sok].
The Spanish often assimilate [s] or [z] to the following nasal or lateral:
pismo $=[$ pimmo $] ;$ razlog $=$ [rallog $]$
The French have no [x], so they drop it: hitno $=$ itno
The English have the glottal fricative [h], so they hear the velar fricative [x] as the voiceless velar stop $[k]$ and pronounce it thus: hleb=kleb; Ceha cek.
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