Logical Grammar: Introduction to Higher Order Logic

Carl Pollard

Department of Linguistics Ohio State University

July 8, 2011

Extending TLC to HOL (Church 1940)

- Start with a TLC.
- Add a type t (Church's o) for truth values.
- Add equality symbols $=_A$ for all types.
- Re-express the TLC term equivalences as object-language axioms about equality.
- Define the usual (term-level, not type-level) classical logical connectives and quantifiers in terms of λ and equality.

A Logic Defined in this Way:

- has all the term equalities expected in TLC ('lambda conversion')
- has all the (term-level) theorems of classical FOL
- allows quantification over variables of all types.

Historical Synopsis of Classical HOL

- Henkin(1947/1950) reaxiomatized Church's (1940) Simple Theory of Types
 - added a key axiom (*Truth-Value Extensionality*) identifying identity of truth values with bi-implication, and
 - proved completeness relative to the class of set-theoretic models that bear his name.
- Gallin (1975) showed that Henkin's HOL with two basic types (besides t) instead of just one was equivalent to Montague's IL
- Groenendijk and Stokhof (1980s) started using Ty2 instead of IL for NL semantics.
- Lambek and Scott (1986) added *subtyping* (analogous to the Axiom of Separation in set theory), and allowed a wider class of (not necessarily set-theoretic) models (*toposes*).

Our HOL

- It has everything positive TLC has (including the type constructors T (interpreted as a singleton) and $A \wedge B$ (interpreted as cartesian product)
- Our $A \to B$ is what Montague wrote as $\langle A, B \rangle$.
- We'll use slightly different basic types than Montague did.
- We will have **subtyping** (Lambek and Scott 1986), to be described soon.

HOL: a Closer Look

- 1. We start with a positive TLC and add a new type t. (This is part of the logic, not a basic type added at the user's discretion.)
- 2. Terms of type t are called **formulas**. (Note: 'formula' is now ambiguous between 'type' and 'term of type t'.)
- 3. Axioms will ensure that I(t) has exactly two members (called **truth values**), for any interpretation I.
- 4. For each type A, we have a constant $=_A$: $(A \wedge A) \to t$, written infix (a = b). The type subscript is usually omitted.
- 5. $I(=_A)$ is the identity relation on I(A).

Classical Connectives and Quantifiers are Definable

Here ϕ is a metavariable over formulas, x is a variable of type A, and s,t are variables of type t:

- 1. true $=_{def} * = *$
- 2. $\forall_x.\phi =_{\text{def}} \lambda_x.\phi = \lambda_x.\text{true}$
- 3. false $=_{\text{def}} \forall_t . t$
- 4. $\phi \wedge \psi =_{\text{def}} (\phi, \psi) = (\text{true}, \text{true})$
- 5. $\phi \to \psi =_{\text{def}} \phi = (\phi \land \psi)$
- 6. $\phi \leftrightarrow \psi =_{\text{def}} (\phi \to \psi) \land (\psi \to \phi)$
- 7. $\sim \phi =_{\text{def}} \phi \rightarrow \text{false}$
- 8. $\phi \lor \psi =_{\text{def}} \sim [(\sim \phi) \land (\sim \psi)]$
- 9. $\exists_x . \phi =_{\text{def}} \sim \forall_x . \sim \phi$



Numerous Options for Axiomatizing HOL

- Gallin (Ty2, 1975) (essentially follows Henkin 1950);
- Carpenter (1997) (essentially follows Andrews 1986);
- Lambek and Scott (1986) have subtyping (see below), and the option of 'going intuitionistic' (dropping Excluded Middle from the term logic)
- We'll remain agnostic about how to axiomatize HOL, and just mention some useful rules and axioms (or theorems, depending on the choice of axiomatization).
- We write $\vdash \phi$ to mean ' ϕ is provable in HOL'. (Note that ' \vdash ' is the same symbol used in typing judgments.)

Equality is an Equivalence Relation

- 1. $\vdash a = a$ (reflexivity)
- 2. if $\vdash a = b$, then $\vdash b = a$ (symmetry)
- 3. If $\vdash a = b$ and $\vdash b = c$, then $\vdash a = c$ (transitivity)

Rules for Substitution of Equals

1. if
$$\vdash a = c$$
 and $\vdash b = d$, then $\vdash (a, b) = (c, d)$

2. if
$$\vdash f = g$$
 and $\vdash a = b$, then $\vdash f(a) = g(b)$

3. if
$$\vdash a = b$$
, then $\vdash \lambda_x . a = \lambda_x . b$

Axioms for Cartesian Products

- 1. if $\vdash a : T$, then $\vdash a = *$
- $2. \vdash \pi(a,b) = a$
- 3. $\vdash \pi'(a, b) = b$
- 4. $\vdash (\pi(c), \pi'(c)) = c$

Axioms for Lambda Conversion

- 1. $\vdash \lambda_{x \in A} \cdot b = \lambda_{y \in A} \cdot [y/x] b$ (rule α)
- 2. $\vdash ((\lambda_{x \in A}.b) \ a) = [a/x]b \ (\text{rule } \beta)$
- 3. if $\vdash f : A \to B$ and x is not free in f, then $\vdash (\lambda_{x \in A} f x) = f$ (rule η)

Axiom of Excluded Middle

$$\vdash \forall_t . t \lor \sim t$$

Axiom of Nondegeneracy

$$\vdash \sim (\mathsf{true} = \mathsf{false})$$

Axioms for Equality of Truth Values

- 1. $\vdash \phi = (\phi = \mathsf{true})$
- 2. If $\vdash \phi$ and $\vdash \phi = \psi$, then $\vdash \psi$
- 3. $\vdash \phi \text{ iff } \vdash \phi = \mathsf{true}$
- 4. $\vdash \forall_{s,t} (s \leftrightarrow t) \rightarrow (s = t)$ (Truth-Value Extensionality)

Motivation for Subtypes

- Standard HOL has no way to say A is a *subtype* of B.
- In an interretation I, this should mean $I(A) \subseteq I(B)$.
- Example: we will want to *define* the type w (worlds) as a certain subtype of the type $p \to t$ of sets of propositions (namely the ones which are *maximal* and *consistent*).

Subtypes (after Lambek and Scott 1986)

If A is a type and a an A-predicate (i.e. a closed term of type $A \to t$), then

- $\blacksquare A_a$ is a type
- \blacksquare embed_a is a term of type $A_a \to A$; and
- Axioms:

$$\begin{array}{l} \vdash \forall_{y,z \in A_a}.((\mathsf{embed}_a\ y) = (\mathsf{embed}_a\ z)) \to y = z) \\ \vdash \forall_{x \in A}.(a\ x) \leftrightarrow \exists_{y \in A_a}.x = (\mathsf{embed}_a\ y) \end{array}$$

What Subtypes Mean in an Interpretation I

- $\blacksquare I(a)$ is a function from I(A) to truth values
- $I(\mathsf{embed}_a)$ is a one-to-one function from $I(A_a)$ to I(A)
- the members of I(A) that I(a) maps to I(true) are the ones that are embedded images of members of $I(A_a)$.

So $I(\mathsf{embed}_a)$ is the function that embeds into I(A) the subset whose characteristic function is I(a).