

University of Saarland

Seminar: Language Processing for Different Domains and Genres

Reranking and Self-Training for Parser Adaptation

Maria Sukhareva

Training Corpora

Statistical parsers are trained on treebanks.

The lack of corpora from different domains and genres lead to the parsers tuned to the particular corpora at expenses of other genres.

A statistical parser should aim at a broad coverage.

Parser adaptation

Parser adaptation is a process of leveraging existing labeled data from one domain and creating a parser capable of parsing a different domain.

Example: a parser trained on WSJ Penn Treebank, working for fiction texts.

The challenge for the statistical parser engineers:

Finding the resources required to construct reliable annotated training examples.

Therefore, there is need of a parser adaptation.

Available Corpora

WSJ (Wall Street Journal)

Brown corpus

Many different genres of text.

(fiction and non-fiction)

North American News Corpus

British National Corpus

<http://www.natcorp.ox.ac.uk/>



Parser Improvement: Parse-Reranking

Paper 1: Reranking and Self-Training for Parser Adaptation

by David McClosky, Eugene Charniak, and Mark Johnson

Phase 1: “standard” generative parser generates n-best trees.

Phase 2: Discriminative Reranking. More detailed features are used to reorder the list of the best parsed trees.

Parser Improvement: Self-training

Unlabeled data are parsed.

Newly labeled data are treated as truth.

The data are added to the training corpus.

=>

is not normally effective:

The errors in the original model would be amplified in the new model.

Self-training Techniques for Parser Adaptation

McClosky et al. 2006:

Self-training requires labeled and unlabeled data.

The training data are of the same domain.

Labeled data: WSJ. Unlabeled data: NANC.

The experiment is performed on BROWN corpus.

Self-trained Parser: Briefly.

Unlabeled NANC sentences are parsed by reranking parser, producing 50 best sentences.

Labeled WSJ data, best parsed NANC data or reranked NANC data are mixed.

The parser is retrained. *(only the first stage is performed as the reranking didn't give significant results)*

Performance on Brown Corpus

NANC improves parsing performance from 83,9 % to 86,4% (n-best NANC parses)

As more NANC is added f-score approaches an asymptote.

NANC reduces data sparsity and fill in gaps in WSJ model.

The reranker adds 1-2 % to the f-score.

The results of the parser are similar to the results of the labeled train section of the BROWN corpus.

Incorporating In-Domain Data

Unlabeled In-Domain Data: WSJ trained reranking parser parsed BROWN data set, adding parsed BROWN sentences improved the performance for 2%.

Labeled In-Domain Data: Combination of the In-Domain Data with Out-of-Domain data:

BROWN model (as well as WSJ-combined) benefit only from a small amount of NANC sentences (250k)

Tuning the parser back-off parameters on it.

Reranker Portability

The WSJ-trained reranker is portable to the BROWN fiction domain.

Applying the WSJ model to Switchboard corpus, showed the low performance of the parser but orthogonal benefit from self-training and reranking.

The BROWN reranker does not have a significant improvement over WSJ-reranker.

| Parser model | Parser alone | WSJ-reranker | BROWN-reranker |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| WSJ | 82.9 | 85.2 | 85.2 |
| WSJ+NANC | 87.1 | 87.8 | 87.9 |
| BROWN | 86.7 | 88.2 | 88.4 |

Parser Agreement

The output of the WSJ+NANC-trained and BROWN-trained reranking parser has a fairly high agreement.

| | |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| Bracketing agreement f -score | 88.03% |
| Complete match | 44.92% |
| Average crossing brackets | 0.94 |
| POS Tagging agreement | 94.85% |

Experiment with BNC

Adapting WSJ-Trained Parsers to the British National Corpus Using In-Domain Self-Training by Foster et al.

1000 BNC sentences are manually annotated.

(gold standard)

500 sentences are in the development set. 500 sentences are in the test set.

The parser is retrained on WSJ and its own parses of BNC sentences.

The combinations are tested on WSJ and on the development set of BNC.

The result: Parseval labeled bracketing f-score is 91.7 % on WSJ (S23) and 85,6% on BNC.

Self-Training Experiment with BNC

Retrain the first-stage of generative statistical parser of Charniak and Johnson using combinations of BNC.

| Self-Training | BNC Development | | | WSJ Section 00 | | |
|----------------|-----------------|------|-------------|----------------|------|-------------|
| | LP | LR | LF | LP | LR | LF |
| - | 83.6 | 83.7 | 83.7 | 91.6 | 90.5 | 91.0 |
| bnc50k | 83.7 | 83.7 | 83.7 | 90.0 | 88.0 | 89.0 |
| bnc50k+1wsj | 84.4 | 84.4 | 84.4 | 91.6 | 90.3 | 91.0 |
| bnc250k | 84.7 | 84.5 | 84.6 | 91.1 | 89.3 | 90.2 |
| bnc250k+5wsj | 85.0 | 84.9 | 85.0 | 91.8 | 90.5 | 91.2 |
| bnc500k+5wsj | 85.2 | 85.1 | 85.2 | 91.9 | 90.4 | 91.2 |
| bnc500k+10wsj | 85.1 | 85.1 | 85.1 | 91.9 | 90.6 | 91.2 |
| bnc1000k+5wsj | 86.5 | 86.2 | 86.3 | 91.7 | 90.3 | 91.0 |
| bnc1000k+10wsj | 86.1 | 85.9 | 86.0 | 92.0 | 90.5 | 91.3 |
| bnc1000k+40wsj | 85.5 | 85.5 | 85.5 | 91.9 | 90.6 | 91.3 |
| | BNC Test | | | WSJ Section 23 | | |
| - | 84.0 | 83.7 | 83.9 | 91.8 | 90.9 | 91.3 |
| bnc1000k+10wsj | 85.7 | 85.4 | 85.6 | 92.3 | 91.1 | 91.7 |

Overview

| | Seed Data | Training data | Test Data | Parser | Reranker |
|------------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|--------|----------|
| McClosky et al. (2006) | WSJ | ---- | BROWN | 83,9% | 85,8% |
| | WSJ+BROWN | ---- | BROWN | 86,5% | 88,1% |
| | WSJ+BROWN | NANC250k | BROWN | 86,8% | 88,1% |
| | BROWN | NANC250k | BROWN | 86,8% | 88,1% |
| Foster et al. (2007) | WSJ | BNC1000k | BNC | | 85,6% |
| | WSJ | BNC1000k | WSJ23 | | 91,7% |

Conclusion

- Rerankers and self-trained combined models work well across domains.
- The training of reranker on out-of-domain parses achieves approximately the same result as training on in-domain parses.
- Corpora differences affect the result, as well as the domain proximity.
- Self-training on in-domain data can be used for parser adaptation.