



language.

The tendency to create bimoraic syllables is not recent in the history of Japanese. Although Japanese in Nara Period (eighth century) supposedly had only one syllable structure, i.e. CV, and no contrastive vowel or consonant length, it subsequently established heavy syllables (CVC and CVV) as a legitimate syllable structure. Apart from the influence of Sino-Japanese vocabulary which was rich in this second type of syllable structure, the most noticeable internal change which contributed to the development of bimoraic syllables in Japanese is a series of sound change known as *onbin* which started in early Heian Period (ninth century). This sound change had the effect of converting a sequence of light syllables into a heavy syllable by way of deletion of a consonant or a vowel:

- (6) a. tu.ki.ta.ti → tui.ta.ti  
 "the first day of the month"  
 b. yo.mi.te → yon.de "to read"

Given this syllable-based account of *onbin*, one may naturally ask why heavy syllables became a legitimate syllable structure suddenly at this stage of the history of Japanese or, stated conversely, why only CV syllables were tolerated in the pre-*onbin* period. The key to this question lies in the distinction between phonological quantity and phonetic duration of the syllable.

The CV syllable in Old Japanese is supposed to have been phonetically much longer than the CV syllable in modern Japanese for several independent reasons. First of all, Old Japanese was closer to a tone language like modern Chinese than a pitch accent language like modern Japanese in terms of the number of distinctive pitch contrasts [10]. Since syllables tend to be longer in duration in a tonal system than in a pitch-accent system—e.g. the average duration of syllables in modern Chinese is reported to be about 450 msec [11], which is three times as long as the average CV syllable in modern Japanese—it can be assumed that the CV syllable in Old Japanese was much longer than the CV syllable in modern Japanese. Secondly, there is historical and synchronic evidence that monosyllabic content words were much longer in Old Japanese than they are in modern Japanese. This is evidenced by historic documents in which monosyllables are transcribed as possessing a bimoraic duration and also

by the synchronic fact that monosyllables in the more classical and conservative dialect of Kyoto and Osaka are nearly twice as long as their counterparts in Tokyo Japanese [4].

This leads us to assume that CV syllables in Old Japanese were phonetically more equivalent to heavy syllables (CVV and CVC) than to light syllables (CV) in modern Japanese and, hence, that they were phonetically as well-formed as heavy syllables in quantity-sensitive languages. Seen in this light, *onbin* and other phonological processes responsible for the creation of bimoraic units (heavy syllables and bimoraic feet) can be analyzed as being triggered by the shortening of phonetically long CV syllables. In terms of rhythmic regulation of speech, this means that both the tendency to create heavy syllables and to group two moras into one rhythmic foot, whether at the phonetic or phonological level, can be attributed to a force that imposes bimoraicity on phonological material at the phonetic level of speech.

#### LOANWORD PHONOLOGY

Before concluding this paper, let us consider the question of why bimoraic feet are generally more favored than trimoraic feet. This question is difficult to answer, but it may be tackled from the viewpoint of the canonical quantity of the syllable. In addition to the phonetic processes described in (4)-(5) above, Japanese exhibits many phonological processes by which bimoraic syllables are established. In loanword phonology, for example, obstruents following a short stressed vowel in the source language are generally geminated in Japanese and, together with vowel epenthesis, produce a sequence of a heavy syllable followed by a light syllable:

- (7) Consonant gemination  
 cup → /kap.pu/  
 back → /bak.ku/  
 push → /pus.sju/

However, this phonological adjustment is blocked if the preceding vowel is a long vowel or diphthong, i.e. if consonant gemination would produce a trimoraic syllable rather than a bimoraic syllable.

- (8) Antigemination  
 carp → /kaa.pu. \*kaap.pu/  
 baiku → /bai.ku. \*baik.ku/

The stressed syllables in (7) result in a heavy syllable by undergoing gemination

while those in (8) attain the same syllable quantity by NOT undergoing it. Thus the two phenomena in (7) and (8) have the same target, i.e. phonological creation of heavy syllables.

'Pre-nasal shortening' [12] produces the same effect by shortening long vowels and diphthongs followed by a nasal *n* in the process of borrowing. This shortening too has the effect of yielding bimoraic syllables in contexts where trimoraic syllables would otherwise be created.

- (9) ground → /gu.ran.do. \*gu.raundo.  
 angel → /en.zje.ru. \*cin.zje.ru

The processes in (8) and (9) are particularly interesting in suggesting that trimoraic syllables are as well as monomoraic syllables are marked in Japanese. Again, this is not a language-specific phenomenon but is observed in a variety of languages: see, e.g. [13]. This hints that three moras cannot be easily accommodated into one unit, which may be linked to the fact that trimoraic feet in (1c) are disfavored in the organization of phonological rhythm.

#### CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper I discussed the phonetic nature of phonological foot by presenting, among others, the following two lines of evidence. First, analysis of young children's speech shows two marked tendencies: (i) dominance of phonologically heavy syllables (CVV and CVC) over light syllables (CV), and (ii) lengthening of monomoraic syllables, consequently making syllables of this type equivalent to heavy syllables at the phonetic output of speech. Second, cross-linguistic and historical considerations reveal that CV syllables in Old Japanese were phonetically much longer than light syllables in modern Japanese, and that heavy syllables were established in the language immediately after CV syllables were phonetically shortened due probably to some independent prosodic factors. All these observations can be generalized if it is hypothesized that 'bimoraicity' can be achieved by phonetic means (phonetic lengthening of light syllables) as well as by phonological means (preference of heavy syllables), which, in turn, seems to suggest that 'bimoraicity' embodies a phonetic requirement on the duration of the syllable rather than any formal phonological requirement on the size of the syllable.

The notion 'bimoraic foot' naturally follows from this interpretation in such a way that establishing the bimoraic foot as a phonological unit is another way of establishing a domain where bimoraic duration (about 300 msec) is achieved at the phonetic level of speech. This phonetic notion of bimoraicity can be linked in a natural manner to the phonetic 'stress foot' in English and other languages, which is known to take a similar duration of time.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by a 1994-5 U.S. government grant (Fulbright fellowship) for junior researchers.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Hayes, B. (1995) *Metrical Stress Theory: Principles and Case Studies*. Chicago: The University Chicago Press.  
 [2] Poser, W. (1990) "Evidence for foot structure in Japanese", *Language* 66: 78-105.  
 [3] Kubozono, H. (1995) "Degenerate feet in Japanese", ms. UC Santa Cruz.  
 [4] Sugito, M. (1989) "Onsetsu ka haku ka (syllable or mora)", in M. Sugito (ed.) *Nihongo no Onsei Onin*, Tokyo. Meiji-shoin.  
 [5] Dauer, R.M. (1983) "Stress-timing and syllable-timing reanalyzed", *Journal of Phonetics* 11: 51-62.  
 [6] Allen, G.D. (1973) "Speech rhythm. Its relation to performance universals and articulatory timing", *Journal of Phonetics* 3: 78-86.  
 [7] Kubozono, H. (1993), The syllable in Japanese, ms. Osaka University of Foreign Studies.  
 [8] Allen, G.D. and S. Hawkins (1978) "The development of phonological rhythm", in A. Bell & J.B. Hooper (eds.) *Syllables and Segments*. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 173-185.  
 [9] Itô, J. (1990) "Prosodic minimality in Japanese", *CLS 26-II: Papers from the Parasession on the Syllable in Phonetics and Phonology*, 213-239.  
 [10] Komatsu, H. (1981) *Nihongo no Onin (Japanese Phonology)*. Tokyo: Chuo-koronsha.  
 [11] Mochizuki, Y. (1983) *Chugokugo to Nihongo (Chinese and Japanese)*. Koseikan.  
 [12] Lovins, J.B. (1975) *Loanwords and the Phonological Structure of Japanese*. Indiana University Linguistics Club.  
 [13] Myers, S. (1987) "Vowel shortening in English", *NLLT* 5: 485-518.