## 9 Czech

David Short

## 1 Introduction

Czech is the official language of the Czech Republic, the western twothirds of former Czechoslovakia. In its two provinces of Bohemia and Moravia, and the part of southern Silesia included in Moravia, it is spoken by about 9.5 million people. It is also widely understood by speakers of Slovak. There are isolated Czech-speaking communities in several nearby countries and some quite large communities overseas, especially in the Americas. Of whatever antiquity, they have arisen from a long tradition of economic or political emigration. Some cohesive communities with continuity of evolution since before the First World War are linguistically relatively undamaged, though with distinctive dialect features; younger communities are both less cohesive and less resistant to the effect of the host environment.

The standard language is based on Josef Dobrovsky's early nineteenthcentury codification, modelled on sixteenth-century Czech, but with some recognition of later developments. To Dobrovsky Czech owes the revival of certain obsolete features, for example, the gerunds, which occur chiefly in higher registers.

The main distinguishing features of Czech date from the thirteenth century or earlier, but its modern form owes much to certain far-reaching changes in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, most strikingly the umlauts. The written language came to be based on the variant spoken at the main cultural centre, Prague (where the university was founded in 1348).

Standard Czech (spisovná čeština) is then a semi-artificial creation, archaic in many respects, while the vernacular has continued to evolve since the norms (whether of the sixteenth or the nineteenth century) were set. There is a consequent tension between the modern literary language and the spoken Czech, usually known as Common Czech (obecná čestina), in which natural development has culminated. This has its own distinctive morphology, relatively impoverished syntactic variation, and a lexicon, and in part syntax, that reveals the influence of German. Between these two
poles there are transitional strata, notably Colloquial Czech (hovorová čestina, an informal spoken version of the standard language, whose existence is often denied) and Commonly Spoken Czech (běžně mluvená čestina, basically the everyday speech of the big cities). For a discussion of this stratification see Townsend (1990). The transition forms are the channel by which 'upwardly mobile' features of Common Czech may penetrate the standard language. This century has seen, for instance, the acceptance of infinitives in $-t$ as colloquial alternatives to the traditional forms in $-t i$, then as free variants with those in $-t i$ and finally as the neutral norm. Similarly, the status of infinitives in -ci has altered, with alternatives in -ct being admitted into the standard language as recently as the late 1970s. The codification of words or forms is not a matter of common consent, but part of the job description of the national Academy's Institute for the Czech Language; once notoriously purist, it is increasingly tolerant of change. The tension between Standard and Common Czech and recent reductions in mother-tongue teaching in schools inform the perceived need for a body to weigh the changing norms in the balance and guide the standard accordingly. The Institute publishes, inter alia, the journals Naše řeč and Slovo a slovesnost.

## 2 Phonology

### 2.1 Segmental phoneme inventory

Czech has a simple vowel system: five vowels, $/ \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{o} /$ and $/ \mathrm{u} /$, also occur in long syllables, hence the set of matching long vowels, /a:/, /e:/, /i:/, /o:/ and /u:/, written á, é, í, $\sigma$, ú, and, in the case of /u:/, also ů; /i/ and /i:/ are represented by both $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}(<\operatorname{PIE} \bar{i})$ and y and ý (< PIE $\bar{u})$. There is one native diphthong/ou/ and two that occur in loan-words, /eu/ and /au/. Length is phonemic, hence such minimal pairs as: dal 'he gave' and dál 'further', 'come in!'; rychle 'quickly' and rychlé 'quick' (N SG et al.); ryby 'fish' and rybi 'fish-'; domu 'house' (GEN SG) and dómu 'cathedral' (GEN SG); dul 'blew' and dùl 'mine'.

The main distributional restrictions concern /o:/, and /u:/: /o:/ occurs only in loan-words, native /o:/ having developed within the Old Czech period into /u:/, now written ú; this occurs in monosyllabic roots, alternating with o (stůl/stolu 'table', sůl/soli 'salt', můj/moje 'my'), and in some genitive and dative plural noun endings (hradi̊, hradům 'castle'); elsewhere /u:/, written ú, features chiefly in noun prefixes, for example, úraz 'injury', but urazit 'injure'. Other long syllables containing /u/ have developed into the diphthong /ou/, hence such oppositions as sud 'barrel' and soud 'court'.

Initial $a$-, $e$ - and $i$ - only occur in loan-words, the conjunctions $a$ and $i$ 'and', ale 'but', and some interjections.

There are twenty-five consonantal phonemes (table 9.1), and several important allophones.

Occlusives: labial /p/, /b/, /m/; dental /t/, /d/, /n/; palatal /i//, /d'/, /ň/; velar: /k/, /g/.
Semi-occlusives: alveolar /c/ (= [ts]); post-alveolar /č/ (= [tš]).
Fricatives: labio-dental /f/, /v/; alveolar /s/, /z/; post-alveolar (formerly
palatal) /š/, /ž/; palatal /j/; velar /ch/ (= [x]); voiced (!) laryngeal $/ \mathrm{h} /$; lateral (almost frictionless) /l/; vibrants: an alveolar roll $/ \mathrm{r} /$, and post-alveolar $/ \check{\mathrm{r}} /$ with considerable friction.

The 'missing' velar nasal occlusive [ y ] occurs as an allophone of $/ \mathrm{n} /$ before a velar (banka [banka] 'bank'); the voiced affricates [dz] and [dž] occur as positional variants of $/ \mathrm{c} /$ and /č/ before voiced consonants that have voiceless counterparts in the system, as in léčba 'therapy', pronounced [le:džba]. Homorganic renderings of $/ \mathrm{d} /+/ \mathrm{z} /$ are to be heard in loanwords such as džudo 'judo', in the native words dz̈bán 'jug' and džber 'tub' (Old Czech čbán, čber), and at some morpheme boundaries, for example od ženy 'from a woman', also $/ \mathrm{d} /+/ \mathrm{z} / \mathrm{in}$, say, podzemni' 'underground'. Similar homorganic renderings as $/ \mathrm{c} /$ and $/ \mathrm{c} /$ apply in the case of $/ \mathrm{t} /+$ $/ \mathrm{s} /$ and $/ \mathrm{t} /+/ \mathrm{s} /$. Another non-phonemic sound is the glottal stop, which occurs usually before morpheme-initial vowels.

Most peripheral in the consonantal systems are /g/ and /f/. Original $/ \mathrm{g} /$ changed regularly into voiced $/ \mathrm{h} / ; / \mathrm{g} /$ is now therefore restricted to borrowings and in non-standard versions of the language it often replaces

Table 9.1 Czech consonantal sounds (non-phonemic in square brackets)

## Labio- Alveo- Post- <br> Labial dental dental alveolar Palatal Velar Laryngeal



Note: In terms of the IPA $/ \mathrm{t} /=\mathrm{c}, / \mathrm{d} /=\mathrm{f}, / \check{\mathrm{n}} /=\mathrm{n}, / \mathrm{s} /=\mathrm{s}, / \mathrm{z} /=3, / \mathrm{ts} /=\mathrm{t}$, $[\mathrm{dž}]=\mathrm{d}_{3}, / \mathrm{r} /=\mathrm{r}$.
$/ \mathrm{k}$ / in other borrowings. /f/ is also largely confined to loans, acquired copiously since early medieval times; its first limited standing was in onomatopoeia, for example, foukat 'blow', and later from $p v$, for example, upvati > upfati > úfati, > modern doufat 'hope', zoufat 'despair'.

The treatment of the paired obstruents is important: before a pause or a glottal stop (that is, a morpheme-initial vowel), the opposition of voice is neutralized, hence led > [let] 'ice', bez > [bes] 'without', páv > [pa:f] 'peacock'; in these circumstances $/ \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{has}$ as its voiceless counterpart $/ \mathrm{x} /: v \mathrm{vah}$ , [vrax] 'murderer'. A similar process appears as voice assimilation in consonantal clusters: in most cases where voiced and voiceless consonants meet, in either order, assimilation is regressive:
voiced + voiceless: zpět [spjet] 'back', hádka [ha:tka] 'argument'; voiceless + voiced: sbor [zbor] 'choir', kde [gde] 'where'.

In this pattern peripheral $/ \mathrm{g}$ / is integrated into the system. The pair $/ \mathrm{v} /$, /f/ is only partially integrated: /v/ is assimilated (it devoices before a voiceless consonant), but cannot itself cause voicing: vtip [ftip] 'joke', vsadit [fsadit] 'bet', but: tvůj [tvu:j] 'thy', dvůr [dvu:r] 'courtyard'. The reason is its relatively late development from bilabial $/ \mathrm{w} /$. On the other hand, /f/, although peripheral, is better integrated, though with few opportunities for demonstrating this: podfuk [potfuk] 'swindle'; halvbek « half-back. /h/ and /ch/ are also deviant: while /h/ , /x/ before a voiceless consonant (nehty [nexti] 'nails'), it itself causes assimilation of a preceding voiceless consonant in the regional pronunciation of Moravia, for example, shoda [zhoda] 'agreement', while in Bohemia there is usually progressive assimilation, hence [sxoda]. In places where $/ \mathrm{x} / \mathrm{might}$ assimilate - across word boundaries as in kdybych byl-it voices not to $/ \mathrm{h} /$, but to [ $\mathrm{\gamma}]$. The distribution of voiced and voiceless allophones of $/ \mathbf{r} /$ is also anomalous: it assimilates both regressively: řvát [řva:t] 'rend', vuřty [vuỵ̆ti] 'sausages'; and progressively: dři [dři] 'rub', tři [tři] 'three'.

Of the numerous Czech consonant clusters suffice it to say that twoconsonant clusters are the most frequent syllable-initially (fricative + sonorant preferred) and word-finally (most frequent: -st), and that medially, at morpheme boundaries, clusters of four or more are quite common. Word-initially four consonants is the maximum, though rare (pstruh 'trout', pštros 'ostrich', [hřmňelo] spelled hřmělo 'thundered'), rising to five with the phonetic word ( $s$ pstrosem 'with an ostrich'). Wordand syllable-finally the limit is three, but only in borrowings like [tekst] (spelled text), funk ${ }^{\text {reni }} \boldsymbol{n}$ 'functional'.

Combinations of velar $+/ \mathrm{e} /$ are rare; originally lost in the ProtoSlavonic palatalizations, they now occur only with $/ \mathrm{e} /</ \mathrm{b} /:$ : bukem (INST SG) 'beech'. The fourteenth-century umlauts mean that combinations of 'soft' consonant + back vowel (especially $u$ and $o$ ) are also rare outside
'expressive’ items (d'ảbel 'devil’, tuhýk 'shrike', d’obat 'peck'), loans (žumpa 'cess-pit', čokoláda 'chocolate'), and derivationally and morphologically conditioned forms of verbs and adjectives (vylod'ovat 'disembark', poschod'ový 'double-decker', mužưv 'the man's').

The Czech alphabet consists of: a, b, c, č, d, e, f, g, h, ch, i, j, k, l, m, n, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$, ž. Any additional graphemes ( $\mathfrak{l}, \mathrm{d}^{\prime}, \mathrm{n}, ~ e ̌, ~$ long vowels, including $u$ ) are not alphabetized.

The relationship between phonemes and alphabet is close. A few rules govern representations of the palatal consonants (and the distribution of $\dot{u}$ and $\dot{u}$, see above).
$1 / \mathrm{d} /, / \mathfrak{t} /$ and $/ \check{n} /$ followed by /e/ are represented orthographically as dě, tě and ně, contrasting with $/ \mathrm{d} /+/ \mathrm{e} /$ and so on as de, te, ne.
$2 / \mathrm{d} /$, /t/ and $/ \mathrm{n} /+/ \mathrm{i} /$ are represented as di, ti, ni, contrasting with $/ \mathrm{d} /+/ \mathrm{i}$ / and so on as dy , ty, ny.
$3 / / \mathrm{d} /, / \mathrm{t} /$ or $/ \check{n} /+/ \mathrm{a} /, / \mathrm{o} /, / \mathrm{u} /$, or word-finally are represented by d , $\mathfrak{l}, \mathrm{n}$; the handwriting and typing convention for $\mathrm{d}^{\mathfrak{\prime}}$ and $\mathfrak{f}$ is to use the háček: $\check{d}, t$.
4 ě after b, p, f, v denotes not palatalized labials (lost in the fifteenth century), but a fully developed palatal element, [j], hence oběd /objet/ 'lunch', pèna /pjena/ 'foam', věno /vjeno/ 'dowry', harfë /harfje/ 'harp' (DAT/LOC SG); after bilabial /m/ nasal resonance extends over both segments, hence intervening $/ \mathrm{n} /$ for $/ \mathrm{j}$ / in mésto 'town' $=$ /mňesto/.

The letters $q, w$ and $x$ occur only in loan-words and are pronounced [kv], [v] and either [ks] or [gz]. German $\ddot{a}, \ddot{0}, \ddot{u}$ may occur in surnames, pronounced [e:], [e:], and [i:] respectively.

We now turn to the most interesting factors in the evolution of the Czech phonological system.

The metathesis of the liquid consonants. The chains $\mathrm{Cor} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{ColC}$, $\mathrm{CerC}, \mathrm{CelC}$ (where C represents any consonant) developed into CraC , ClaC, CrěC, ClĕC, hence gordъ > hrad 'castle', golsъ > hlas 'voice', bergъ , břeh 'bank', melko > mléko 'milk'. Vowel length reflects prehistoric intonation patterns: circumflex shows as short, acute as long. In initial orC, olC groups intonation has also left its mark: where there was an acute accent the reflex is $r a \mathrm{C}, l a \mathrm{C}$, while a circumflex generally produced roC, $l o \mathrm{C}$, as in rádlo 'plough', lan̆ 'doe', robota 'corvée', lod 'boat'.

Czech has lost both the nasal vowels and the jers (ultra-short vowels; $\mathbf{b}$ $=\check{u}_{,},=\dot{i}$ ) of Proto-Slavonic.

The nasals survived to the first half of the tenth century, after which $\varphi$ developed into $u$, still surviving unaltered in hard environments, and $\mathcal{\rho}$ into ä. Before hard consonants this later developed, as a back variant, into $a$, while before soft consonants a front variant developed into $e \check{e}$; this change,
and that of $u / \dot{u}>i / i$ in a soft environment, coincides with those of the first two umlauts (see below). The change $\boldsymbol{e}>\ddot{a}>\check{e}$ also occurred before $k$. Examples: roka > ruka 'hand'; dušg > dušu > duši 'soul' (ACC SG); meso > mäso > maso 'meat'; svętiti > svätiti > světiti 'consecrate'; mękkъjb > mäkký , měkký 'soft'.

In long syllables $Q$ developed via $\dot{u}$ into $o u$, or $i$ in soft environments, while $\boldsymbol{q}$ gave $a ̈$, thence $\dot{a}$ or the diphthong ie (equivalent to long $\check{e}$ ), which like ie from other sources then produced i. Examples: mpka) múka, mouka 'flour'; dušejg > dušó > dušú > duši ‘soul' (INST SG); pętъjb > pätý > pátý 'fifth'; zajęcь > zajãc > zajiec > zajic 'hare’; dękъ > dâk > diek > dik 'thanks'.

In the treatment of the jers two basic patterns apply: the jer-like sounds that accompanied syllabic liquids were lost first, leaving pure syllabic $r$ and l. Original $\mathrm{C} ъ$ C and $\mathrm{C} ь r \mathrm{C}$ merged as CrC , hence $k ъ r k ъ$ ) $k r k$ 'neck';
 except that over the twelfth to fourteenth centuries syllabic $r$ after $\check{c}$ and $\check{z}$ acquired an accompanying -e-, hence černý 'black', žerd' 'mast'. Original $\mathrm{C} ا \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C} \boldsymbol{l} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}}$ also merged, as CluC , except after labials, where the СьlC variant survived with syllabic $l$, hence: (from CblC) žlutý 'yellow', dlouhy' 'long'; from (CъlC) tlouci 'beat', slunce 'sun'; (after labials) mluvit 'speak', but mlčet 'be silent', vlk 'wolf'.

The true jers disappeared or vocalized in the tenth century. The reflex for both $\mathfrak{b}$ and b is $e$ : dbnь > den 'day'; dъnъ > den 'bottom' (GEN PL); dьпьऽs > dnes 'today'; sъ рьsъmь > se psem 'with a dog'; okъno > okno 'window', okъ $n ъ$ > oken (GEN PL); sъbbra.i (INF), sъberg ( 1 SG ) > sebrati, sberu 'gather'. The last example is Old Czech and illustrates the Czech tendency to adjust forms in favour of morphemic consistency, hence modern sebrat, seberu. Some new nominatives have arisen in line with oblique cases: Modern Czech domeček 'little house' for Old Czech domček <domъčь $k ъ$ from the general oblique stem domečk- < domъčь $k$-.

Instances such as oken and se psem above probably gave rise to the use of $e$ as a fill vowel in Czech, both in other genitive plurals (sestrb ) sestr ) sester from sestra ‘sister'; mydlъ > mýdl > mýdel from mýdlo 'soap'), and in vocalized prepositions which could not be of jer origin: before like consonants (ke koni 'towards the horse', se synem 'with his son'), and before many consonantal clusters (ve škole 'in school', beze mě = [mňe] 'without me').

Loss of the jers produced a new set of syllabic liquids, as in vitr < vietrb 'wind', vedl < vedlb 'he led', bratrsky' < bratr-bsky' 'fraternal', jablko < jablıko 'apple'; syllabification of the liquid was only one of a variety of solutions to the newly emerged consonantal clusters (compare mýdel above, and see Short 1988).

The Czech umlauts (přehláska). These changes contributed greatly to the split between hard and soft paradigms. They began early in the
thirteenth century with the change $a$ ) $\check{e}$ in final position after soft consonants, and between soft consonants. The change affected not only original $a$, but also the front variant of $\ddot{a}$ < $e$, to which it must have been very close. The process was inhibited by a following hard consonant. Examples of original $a$ > é: duša > dušě , duše; otca > otcé > otce 'father' (GEN); ležati > ležěti > ležet 'lie'. In long syllables, as in the history of the nasals, the Old Czech reflex was ie (Modern Czech i): přitel 'friend', číše 'goblet', znameni 'sign (GEN SG)'. About a century later a similar change affected $u / \dot{u}$, after any soft consonant, with $i / i$ as the outcome: jug > $j i h$ 'south'; zem' $u$ ) zemi 'land' (F ACC SG); oráču > oráči 'plough-man' (M DAT SG); kryju/kryjú ,
 ' $o$. They too produced $\check{e} / i e$, notably before certain inflections and suffixes; in almost every instance the effects have been reversed by analogy with hard stems: ukřizzovati , ukřižěvati ) ukřižovat 'crucify'; zlodějóm > zlodějiem > zlodějùm 'thieves' (DAT PL). Survivals occur in soft neuter dative plural: moř'óm > mořiem > mořim 'sea', and the isolated konim < koniem < koñóm 'horse' (M DAT PL).

Prosodic phenomena. Czech has fixed stress on the first syllable. A preceding preposition, especially if it is an open monosyllable, attracts the stress, hence ke stolu 'to the table'. Several word categories are stressless, chiefly past and conditional auxiliaries and weak personal pronouns, which have fixed positions in the clause (see 4.1); sentence-initially, certain weakly stressed words may lose their stress, as in Tak pójd'te! 'Come on then!'

Czech has no tones, but their former presence is betrayed in the distribution of long and short syllables. Their history is complex, especially after the metatony which produced new acutes and new circumflexes; suffice it to note that Proto-Slavonic long syllables (those containing $i, y, e \check{e}, a, u, \varepsilon$ or Q) survived in disyllables where they preceded the stress, for example, tráva < trāvá 'grass'; from long acutes in the first syllable of disyllables, for example, zdráv < zdràvъ 'healthy'; and from long syllables before medial stressed short syllables in trisyllabic words (útroba « $\bar{\varphi}$ tròba ‘entrail'; zákon < zākónъ 'law'). Most other long syllables in Czech are either the product of contraction ( $\mathrm{V} j \mathrm{~V}, \overline{\mathrm{~V}}$, compare PSl. dobraja, Czech dobrá 'good' (NOM SG FEM), PSI. bojati se, Czech bát se 'fear'), or from the new acute.

### 2.2 Morphophonemic alternations inherited from Proto-Slavonic or Proto-Czech

The palatalizations are reflected to varying degrees in the modern language. The first palatalization of velars involved the changes: $k>c ; g$, $d \ddot{z}>\check{z} ; c h>s \check{c}$. Prior to the de-affrication $d \ddot{z}>z \check{z}$ there was symmetry between $k / g$ and $\check{c} / d \check{z}$, with $c h$ and $\check{s}$ standing to the side. Afterwards, however, a voiced-voiceless relationship emerged between $\check{z}$ and $\check{s}$, not matched by $g: c h$. This provoked the change $g>h$ (whence Czech $h$ for all PSI. $g$ ), leav-
ing $h: c h$ as a nearly matching pair of fricatives. Before and after deaffrication the picture was therefore:


Examples: k/č: peku/peče 'bake' (1/3 SG), pečivo 'cakes'; h/ž: mnoho 'many', množstvi ‘multitude' ( $g$ in recent imports undergoes the same alternation, hence: Olga, Olžin 'Olga's', chirurg/chiruržka 'surgeon' (M/F)); ch/š: hřich 'sin', hřišný 'sinful'.

Second palatalization of velars: $k>c ; h>z ; c h>\check{s}($ NB not $s)$. Here too the reflex of $g$ has de-affricated from $d z$ to $z$. Examples: $k / c$ : ruka/ruce 'hand' (NOM/DAT-LOC SG); h/z: neblahý 'baneful', neblaze 'ill-' (also loans containing g: geolog-geolozich 'geologist' (NOM SG, LOC PL)); ch/š: plachý, plaše 'timid-ly'.

The third palatalization of velars shared the outcome of the second, but its effects are confined to the alternation $c / \check{c}$, regular in words with the suffix $-e c(<-\llcorner k ъ)$ and their derivatives, sporadic elsewhere. Examples: chlapec/chlapče/chlapeček 'boy' (NOM SG/vOC SG/DIMIN); ovce (< ovcě < ovc'a < ovьka) 'sheep', ovči 'ovine'.

Since $c$ is also the reflex of $k t / g t+$ front vowel, and of $t+j$, these provide additional conditions for the $c / c ̌$ alternation, for example, noc/nočni 'night/nocturnal'. Analogously $d+j>d z>z$ occurred, as in *med $+j a$ ) $m e z e ̌ ~>~ m e z$, but with little scope for $z / z$ alternation. $c$ and $z$ from $t j$ and $d j$ do produce some regular alternations with $t$ and $d$, notably in verbal morphology:
l/c: platit 'pay', placen 'paid', vyplácet 'pay out'; a minority of verbs do not have this alternation, for example, citit/citén 'feel/felt'.
$d^{\prime} / z$ : hodit 'throw' (PRFV), vyhozen 'ejected', házet (IMPFV), vyhazovat 'eject' (IMPFV); again a minority do not show the alternation, such as zdědit/zděděn 'inherit-ed'.

Other ancient alternations:
$s / s$, z/ž (< $s / z+j$ ): nosit, nošen, vynášet (from 'carry’); vozit, vožen, vyvážet (from 'convey');
sl/šl (< sl $+j$ ): poslat/pošlu 'send/I send'; myslet 'think', vymyšlený 'fictitious' (but kreslit/kreslen 'draw-n').

Alternations caused by following back/front vowel:
$d / d$ ', $t / \ell$ ', $n / n \check{n}$ : mladý ‘young' (M SG), mladi (M PL AN), mladě (ADVERB), mládi 'youth' (ABSTRACT)', mládě 'youngling'; krutý ‘cruel' (M SG), krutí (M PL AN), krutě (ADVERB); plný ‘full', plně (ADVERB), plnit/plněn 'fill-ed'.

There are also various vocalic alternations. Most regular alternations are consequences of prehistoric developments in the distribution of tones; more recent items simply behave analogously.
diminutive formation (lengthening): had-hádek 'snake'; poleva 'sauce, icing', polévka 'soup'; (analogous) telefon-telefónek; (with shortening) kráva-kravka 'cow'; lipa-lipka 'linden';
past tense of most monosyllabic verbs (shortening, unless infinitive vowel is long by contraction): dát-dal 'give', vést-vedl 'lead’ (but not stát-stál (‘ PSl. stojati) ‘stand');
genitive plural of some disyllables (shortening): chvile/chvil 'moment', žába/žab ‘frog';
imperative formation (shortening of long present-tense stem syllables): vrátí ) vrat' 'return'; rozpůli ) rozpul 'halve';
infinitive formation of secondary imperfective verbs (usually lengthening): utratit-utrácet 'spend'.

For other related alternations entailing qualitative as well as quantitative differences see below.

Alternations between a vowel and $\emptyset$ are widespread; they stem from the treatment of the jers and include the appearance of $e$ as fill vowel. Some patterns are regular: (a) between the nominative singular (with $-e$-) and the oblique cases (with -ø-) in nouns having the suffixes -ek, -ec, -eñ: domek/ domku 'small house', chodec/chodce 'walker', piseň/pisně 'song'; and (b) between the genitive plural (with $-e$-) and other case forms of feminine and neuter nouns with stem-final consonant clusters: her/hra 'game', skel/sklo 'glass'; there are also some random survivals among monosyllables ( $e / \emptyset$ alternation between nominative singular and oblique cases): pes/psa 'dog' (also psi 'canine'), den/dne 'day', but not, for example, led/ledu 'ice'. In several verbal roots a $\emptyset$-degree alternates with full short and long vowels: prát 'wash' (loss of b), peru 'I wash', propirat 'rinse' (stem vowel lengthened in secondary imperfective).

### 2.3 Morphophonemic alternations resulting from changes after ProtoSlavonic

Consonantal alternations due to:
1 assibilation of soft $r^{\prime}>\check{r}$ in selected environments (thirteenth century): $r / r ̌:$ dobrý/dobři 'good' (NOM SG and NOM PL AN), dobře 'well’, udobřit 'reconcile';
 and of $\check{z} d z \check{z}$ to $\check{z} d^{\prime}(<z d+j)$ (fourteenth to fifteenth centuries):
sk/št: nebeský/nebešti 'heavenly' (M NOM SG and PL AN), nebešían 'heavenly being';
$s t / s t / s \check{t}$ ': čistý 'clean', čistit/čištěn 'clean-ed';
$z d / z d ' / z d^{\prime}$ ': pozdni 'late', opozdit se 'be late', opožděn 'delayed', opožd'ovat se 'be running late'.

Vocalic alternations:
$\check{e} / a / a \dot{a}$, reflexes of the nasal vowels after their evolution under the umlaut conditions described above; there is one regular pattern, in the reflex of the $-t$ - declension: děvče/dĕvčata/děvcăátko 'little girl' (NOM SG/NOM PL/ DIMIN); and some sporadic occurrences: světit 'consecrate', svatý 'holy', svátek 'holiday'.
$u / o u$, where $o u$ < $\dot{u}$ (late fourteenth and through the fifteenth centuries): dub/doubek 'oak' and diminutive; plul/plout 'sail' (PAST/INF); in conditions where the umlauts applied, this alternation is now $i / i$. The diphthongization $\dot{u}>o u$ was matched by a front-vowel change $\dot{y}>e j$ in most dialects, but the literary language retains spellings with $\dot{y}$ and the pronunciation /i:/; Common Czech has ej almost consistently, hence there is an alternation $y / e j$ as in byl/bejt 'be' (PAST/INF).
è/ $i$, where $i$ < $i e$ < long ě (fifteenth century): $k v e ̌ t / k v i t e k ~ ' f l o w e r ' ~ a n d ~$ diminutive; zajic 'hare', zaječi 'hare's', dilo/děl 'work' (NOM SG/GEN PL).
$o / \dot{u}$, where $\dot{u}$ < uo < $\dot{o}$ (fifteenth century): potok/potůček 'stream' and diminutive; stolu/stůl/stolek 'table' (GEN SG/NOM SG/DIMIN).
e/i or $\dot{y}$, where /i:/ < é (fifteenth to sixteenth centuries): kámen/kaminek 'stone' (NOM SG/DIMIN); pohledĕt/pohližet 'look' (PRFV/IMPFV). This alternation penetrated the literary language only partially; hence, for example, nést/nesl 'carry' survives as a quantitative opposition, though Common Czech has nýst/nes(l); after $l$ in particular the change $\dot{e}>i / \dot{y}$ was inconsistent, leaving some variation in the alternants, for example, letět-létat/litat ‘fly’; lepši-lépe/lip 'better' (ADJECTIVE-ADVERB).

## 3 Morphology

### 3.1 Nominal morphology

Czech has a number of central declensional types among the nouns and adjectives and a few mixed and peripheral types. Pronoun declension is a hybrid between nouns and adjectives, while most numerals have only the most rudimentary morphology. The umlauts have contributed to a broad split between 'hard' and 'soft' versions of the main declensions. One major factor is a redistribution of the case morphemes of original masculine $o$ -
and $u$-stems, which has partially affected also the masculine $a$-stems.
Number is a two-member category - singular and plural - although Old Czech shows near-complete dual morphology, in both nouns and verbs. Vestiges of the old system survive in 'appropriate' items (body parts, 'two', 'both'), but are treated as anomalous plurals.

The full seven cases survive. About half the singular noun paradigms have a distinctive vocative form shared by no other case (see Short 1990); no adjectival, pronominal, numeral or plural noun paradigms have distinct vocative forms (vocative = nominative). A noteworthy development within the case system is the spread of dative-locative syncretism in singular noun classes.

There are three genders, the subcategory of animacy functioning within the masculine only. In the singular, animate accusative equals genitive, which itself, in the core (hard) masculine paradigm, differs from the inanimate genitive. Similarly, animate dative and locative usually differ from their inanimate equivalents. In the plural, the animacy opposition is expressed only in the existence of a distinctive nominative plural for animates. The morphological impact of animacy applies absolutely throughout the animal kingdom, from prvok 'protozoon' to člověk 'man', except in the masculine singular $a$-declension, which, like the feminine, has inherited unambiguous forms for nominative, genitive and accusative.

### 3.1.2 Noun morphology

Of the main declensional types Proto-Slavonic $\boldsymbol{o}$ - and $u$-stems have merged in Czech to form one class, subdivided according to animacy. Both nouns in table 9.2 are former $o$-stems, yet they employ several $u$-stem endings (DAT-LOC SG AN, GEN SG INAN, INST SG, GEN PL). The $u$-stem vocative ending also survives, chiefly as a means to avoid palatalization of velar stems, for example, kluku 'boy', vrahu 'murderer', and also as the 'true' vocative of the uniquely conservative former $u$-stem syn 'son'. Velarstem vocatives in $-e$ ( $o$-stem) with palatalization preserved are the forms

## Table 9.2 'Hard' masculine (former $\boldsymbol{o}$ - and $\boldsymbol{u}$-stems)

SG AN

| NOM | chlap 'fellow' | hrad 'castle' | chlapi, hrady <br> Chlapi, hrady |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VOC | chlape | hrade | chlapy, hrady |
| ACC | chlapa | hrad | chlapù, hradủ |
| GEN | chlapa | hradu | chlapům, hradům |
| DAT | chlapovi, /-u | hradu | chlapy, hrady <br> chlapem |
| INST | chlapovi, /-u | hradem |  |
| LOC |  |  |  |

človĕče 'man' and bože 'God', both used chiefly as interjections. Among non-velar stems only the case of stem-final $-r$ is noteworthy: preceded by a vowel, $-r$ is unchanged in the vocative, while a preceding consonant induces palatalization: doktor-doktore, but Petr-Petře. The $u$-stem locative singular ending is spreading in inanimates at the expense of ( $o$-stem) -ĕ, most particularly to avoid velar stem-final palatalization: na buku'in/on the beech-tree'. Both endings occasionally exist in free variation: v potoce/ potoku 'in the brook'; or contribute to lexical semi-independence: $v$ jazyce 'in (a) language', but na jazyku 'on the (tip of one's) tongue'. Among inanimates there is also variation in the genitive singular: some 235 lexical items have the $o$-stem ending - $a$ (predominantly the animate ending), while some 140 have $-a$ or $-u$ in free variation. The $-u /$-ovi variation in the dative-locative singular animate is now almost free, but -ovi is commoner in personal animates. Subclasses not recorded in the tables include many formally and semantically distinctive groups with nominative plural in -é or -ové, and some variation in the locative plural endings, including penetration of the $a$-stem ending.

In the masculine soft declension (table 9.3) the areas where animates differ from inanimates replicate those under the hard declension, though there is greater overall similarity between the animate/inanimate patterns. The $-\dot{u}(m)$ endings in genitive and dative plural are not only $u$-declension in origin, but stand here after 'soft' consonants, an atypical environment for back vowels. A variant of the class, differing chiefly by having a case marker even in the nominative singular, are animates in -ce (VOC SG -ce; NOM PL -i, with decreasing frequency -ové, or both), originally the soft counterpart of masculine $a$-stems. Colloquially, they adopt the vocative ending -če of the eec type.

The hard (former $o$-stem) neuters are among the most conservative paradigms (table 9.4). Stem-final consonantal clusters (often, but not only, suffixal), as in družstvo 'cooperative', čislo 'number', require a fill vowel in the genitive plural, hence družstev, čisel. Suffixed nouns ending in a velar contain the main deviation from the pattern, namely locative plural in

## Table 9.3 'Soft' masculines (former jo-stems)

SG AN

| NOM | muž ‘man' | stroj 'machine’ | muži, stroje |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VOC | muži | stroji | muži, stroje |
| ACC | muže | stroj | muže, stroje |
| GEN | muže | stroje | mužũ, strojů |
| DAT | muži/-ovi | stroji | mužũm, strojům |
| INST | mužem | strojem | muží, stroji |
| LOC | muži/-ovi | stroji | mužích, strojích |

## Table $9.4 \quad o$-stems neuter

o-stems jo-stems bjo-stems

| SG | město 'town' | srdce 'heart' | učení 'study' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NOM/VOC | město | srdce | učení |
| ACC | města | srdce | učení |
| GEN | městu | srdci | učení |
| DAT | městem | srdcem | učením |
| INST | městě | srdci | učení |
| LOC |  |  |  |
| PL | města | srdce | učení |
| NOM/VOC | města | srdce | učení |
| ACC | měst | srdcí | učení |
| GEN | městům | srdcím | učením |
| DAT | městy | srdci | učeními |
| INST | městech | srdcích | učeních |

(usually) -ách, borrowed from the $a$-stems: kolečko/kolečkách 'small wheel'. As with the hard masculines, there is some variation in the locative singular between $-\check{e}$ (which pre-palatalizes) and the $u$-stem ending $-u$ ( $v$ mléku/mléce 'in the milk'). Four $o$-stems denoting body parts have residual dual forms: oko 'eye' and ucho 'ear' have a plural declension based on oči, uši (GEN oči/uši, DAT očím/ušim, INST očimaíušima, LOC oc̆ich/ušich); koleno 'knee' and rameno 'shoulder' have genitive/locative plural kolenou/ramenou. The jo-stems are few in number. A subset in -iště deviates morphologically in having - $\emptyset$ in the genitive plural: schodiště/ schodišt 'staircase'. The bjo-stems have a high rate of case homonymy (due chiefly to the monophthongization of ie of various origins); the only overt case markers involve consonants.

Owing partly to sheer numbers (more than 18,000 items) the feminine $a$-stems (table 9.5 ) are another conservative paradigm, even retaining morphophonemic alternations in the dative-locative singular: matka/matce 'mother', pata/patě 'heel', žába/žábě 'frog' (/-bj-/), dáma/dámě (/-mñ-/). The class includes ruka and noha ('arm' and 'leg'), whose plurals include some dual remnants: nominative-accusative plural ruce (nohy is regular), genitive-locative plural rukou, nohou, instrumental plural rukama, nohama. The masculine $a$-declension has assimilated somewhat to the central hard masculine class, especially in its plural forms (including alternation in velar stems: sluha/sluzich 'servant'), and in the dative-locative singular: oovi is specifically associated with animates. Items with the suffixes -ista (terorista 'terrorist', šachista 'chess-player', houslista 'violinist') and -ita (bandita 'bandit', jezuita 'Jesuit') have nominative plurals in -isté and -ité respectively, with -iti beginning to replace the latter more rapidly than -isti

Table $9.5 \quad a$-stems
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { SG } & \text { PL } & \text { SG } & \text { PL }\end{array}$

| Hard |  | F |  | M |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| NOM | žena 'woman' |  | hrdina 'hero' | hrdinové |  |  |
| VOC | ženo | ženy | hrdino | hrdinové |  |  |
| ACC | ženu | ženy | hrdinu | hrdiny |  |  |
| GEN | ženy | žen | hrdiny | hrdinů |  |  |
| DAT | ženě | ženám | hrdinovi | hrdinům |  |  |
| INST | ženou | ženami | hrdinou | hrdiny |  |  |
| LOC | ženě | ženách | hrdinovi | hrdinech |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soft | ja-stems F |  | bja-stem (one word only) |  |  |  |
| NOM/vOC | duše 'soul' | duše | paní 'lady' | paní |  |  |
| ACC | duši | duše | paní | paní |  |  |
| GEN | duše | duší | paní | paní |  |  |
| DAT | duši | duším | paní | paním |  |  |
| INST | duší | dušemi | paní | paními |  |  |
| LOC | duši | duších | paní | paních |  |  |

the former. The duše paradigm is marked chiefly by the effects of the umlauts. It includes a large subgroup in -( $n$ )ice, with a genitive plural in - $\emptyset$ (ulice/ulic 'street'), a feature shared by a few other items, for example, košile/košil 'shirt', lžice/lžic 'spoon'. The paradigm is productive; many loans and neologisms based on Latin or Greek roots are assigned to it: revoluce, agrese, eroze, absence, dyslexie, geologie. Another ja-stem subclass includes nouns that lack an overt marker in the nominative-accusative singular and also differ by having vocative in -i. Most grammars give this type (piseñ 'song') as a separate paradigm. Two additional factors make it worthy of mention: (a) it gives rise to alternating declensions of some forty or more nouns that may occur with or without final -e/-ě, such as kuchyně/ kuchyn̆ 'kitchen'; (b) it is the paradigm which is attracting more and more nouns out of the hotch-potch of subtypes that are neither fully like piseñ, nor fully like kost 'bone' below (see Mluvnice češtiny, II:331).

Pani 'lady' is a unique item; former members of its class adapted fairly early to more central paradigms. Feminine neologisms in -i, like průvodčí 'conductress', inflect adjectivally.

The $\boldsymbol{i}$-stem declension (table 9.6) consists mostly of feminine abstract nouns in -ost, among which it is productive, a few other items in -st (such as hrst 'palm', čelist 'jaw') and řeč 'speech' and věc 'thing'. All other former feminine members of the class with an unmarked nominative singular show various degrees of overlap with the pisen type. The only masculine $i$-stem to survive is lidé 'people', plural of človëk. The neuter consonantal-stem ditě 'child' has a feminine $i$-stem plural dĕti.

Table $9.6 \quad i$-stems

SG
PL

| NOM | kost 'bone' | kosti |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VOC | kosti | kosti |
| ACC | kost | kosti |
| GEN | kosti | kostí |
| DAT | kosti | kostem |
| INST | kostí | kostmi |
| LOC | kosti | kostech |

Consonantal stems have left few traces in Modern Czech, with one exception. All others have adapted to more central types. Modern masculines like den 'day' and kořen 'root' generally follow stroj in the singular and hrady in the plural; those formerly marked by the infix -in-in the singular have lost it, producing a constant (hard) stem form, hence křestan 'Christian' (NOM-VOC PL in -é); agent nouns in -tel all follow muž (NOM-VOC PL in -é). Feminine $r$-stems: an archaic declension of máti 'mother' survives, with support from derivates, but the neutral word is now matka; Proto-Czech dci has been replaced by (hard) dcera 'daughter', of which the consonantal-declension origin remains visible in the form dceri (DAT-LOC SG); former b $v$-stems are now a subgroup, in final -ev (mrkev 'carrot'), of the feminine $j a$-stems (pisen̆), though there has been interaction with hard feminines in -va. Neuters: a handful of $n$-stems survive in an archaic declension (simě/semene 'seed'), but in general a new nominative singular has emerged based on the oblique stem, hence semeno 'seed', rameno 'shoulder', vemeno 'udder', all now hard neuter $o$-stems; likewise former $s$-stems, but with some instances of historical or neologizing independent lexicalization of the two stems (kolo 'wheel', koleso 'big wheel (at fairground or on paddle steamer)', slovo 'word', sloveso 'verb'); nebe, plural nebesa 'sky, heaven', follows the jo-stems in the singular.

The great consonantal-stem survivor is the descendant of the -nt-type (table 9.7) now marked by suffixes containing -t-, consisting chiefly of nouns denoting animal young. Inflection is conservative, but the thematic infix changes form between singular and plural: only in the singular were conditions met for the umlaut version of the former nasal.

The class includes several human offspring (dvojče 'twin', batole 'toddler') as well as stěnè 'puppy', lviče 'lion-cub', also some adult animals (zviře 'animal', saranče 'locust'), non-animates (rajče 'tomato', koště 'broom'), colloquial borrowings (šuple 'drawer', paraple 'brolly') and certain titles (dóže 'doge', kniže 'prince'). These last are anomalous in being masculine animate in the singular, but neuter in the plural.

## Table 9.7 Neuter consonantal (-t-) stems

SG

| NOM/VOC/ACC | jehně 'lamb' | jehňata |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN | jehněte | jehňat |
| DAT | jehněti | jehňatům |
| INST | jehnětem | jehňaty |
| LOC | jehněti | jehňatech |

### 3.1.3 Pronominal morphology

Genderless personal pronouns are shown in table 9.8. Of the secondperson pronouns, $t y$ is familiar, $v y$ is polite singular (capitalized in writing) or plural.

The forms $m i, t i, s i, t e$ and $s e$ are enclitic only. Until recently the same applied to mé, which now also replaces (obsolescent, high-style) mne. The other 'long' forms are used only in emphasis or after prepositions, although dative mně is increasingly used enclitically in variation with mi. Where no choice of forms exists, the sole form occurs in all functions.

The stressed/unstressed opposition also applies in the nominative. Under emphasis the pronoun appears, without emphasis it will normally be absent: udělám to 'I'll do it', but já to udělám 'I'll do it'. However, colloquial registers show an almost consistent tendency for subject personal pronouns to be inserted, at least in main clauses.

The distribution of nominative endings among the third-person pronouns (table 9.9) is to be found elsewhere, for example, in the hard noun declensions. Of the other forms, ho and $m u$ are enclitic only, jeho and jemu emphatic. Other forms are used in all functions, but any thirdperson pronoun following a preposition attracts an initial $n$-, hence the spellings něho, němu, ně. Jej, once the 'long' accusative masculine, is now just one of the accusative/genitive shared forms - rare in speech, and of limited incidence even in written styles, especially as genitive. By contrast, the post-prepositional form něj is common as both accusative and genitive. Original accusative singular neuter $j e$ is also rare. An interesting obsolescent survival is the old masculine accusative $j b$, embedded in the postprepositional form -n̆, thus nan̆, pron̆, oň and zan̆ for na nĕj/nĕho and so on.

This paradigm is shared by the high-style relative pronoun jenž, which only has long forms in the oblique cases, and in the nominative has jenz ( $M$ SG), the rare $j i z z$ (M AN PL) and $j e z ̇(F / N ~ S G ~ a n d ~ a l l ~ o t h e r ~ p l u r a l s) . ~$

Pronominal declensions are represented (table 9.10) by the demonstrative ten (hard) and the possessive nás 'our' (soft). Again, the umlauts have enhanced the difference between them, with the extra consequence of

## Table 9.8 The genderless pronouns

| NOM | já 'I' | ty 'you (SG)' | - (REFL) | my 'we' | vy 'you (PL)' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ACC | mne/mě | tebe/tě | sebe/se | nás | vás |
| GEN | mne/mě | tebe/tě | sebe/se | nás | vás |
| DAT | mně/mi | tobě/ti | sobě/si | nám | vám |
| INST | mnou | tebou | sebou | námi | vámi |
| LOC | mně | tobě | sobě | nás | vás |

Table 9.9 Third-person pronouns

|  | MAN | MINAN | N | F | PL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NOM | on | on | ono | ona | oni, ony, ona |
| ACC | jeho/jej/ho | jej/ho | je/jej/ho | ji | je |
| GEN |  | jeho/jej/ho |  | jí | jich |
| DAT |  | jemu/mu |  | jí | jim |
| INST |  | jím |  | jí | jimi |
| LOC |  | něm |  | ní | nich |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.10 The demonstrative pronoun ten < *th, and the possessive pronoun náśs 'our'

|  | M |  | N | F | M | N | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOM | ten <br> ten/toho* |  | to | ta | náš | naše | naše |
| ACC |  |  | to | tu | náš/ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | našeho* | naše | naši |
| GEN | toho |  |  | té | naš |  | naší |
| DAT | tomu |  |  | té | naše |  | naší |
| INST | tím |  |  | tou | naší |  | naší |
| LOC | tom |  |  | té | naš |  | naší |
| PL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOM |  |  | ta | ty | naši*/ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ašenaše |  |  |
| ACC |  |  | ta |  |  |  |  |
| GEN |  |  | těch | ty | nase | našich |  |
| DAT |  |  | těm |  |  | našim |  |
| INST |  |  | těmi |  |  | našimi |  |
| LOC |  |  | těch |  |  | našich |  |

Note: * following words indicates animate forms.
even higher case syncretism in the feminine singular of the soft variety.
Ten is theoretically non-specific between 'this' and 'that', but in general equates to non-contrastive 'that', deictic in the context of situation and to realities outside the situation: mysliš tu pani, kterou jsme potkali včera? 'do you mean the/that woman we met yesterday?' Contrastive 'this' and 'that' are conveyed by addition of the suffix -to (more colloquially -hle) and the prefix tam- respectively. Neuter singular to (toto, tamto) is the general deictic pronoun 'it'/'they'/'this'/'these'/'that'/'those': to je/jsou stůl/stoly 'it/this/they/these is/are table-s'.

These paradigms are shared by the interrogatives $k d o(M$, hard; obliquecase stem $k$-) 'who' and co ( N, soft; $\check{c}_{-}$) 'what' and their many compounds (see table 9.13), but kdo has kým in the instrumental. The declension of náś is shared by váś 'your'.

The pronoun všechen 'all' (see table 9.11): the only non-oblique case survival of the short historic vbsb (except in vesmir 'universe') is the neuter general quantifier vše 'everything'; referential 'everyone' is the masculine plural animate form všichni. Non-referential 'everyone', 'all' is usually expressed by každý 'each; any'.

Other semi-anomalous prepositional types: sám '-self' (emphatic) or 'alone' has hard adjectival endings in the oblique cases, but short, pronominal forms in the nominative and accusative.

Můj 'my', also tvůj 'your' (familiar) and svůj, the reflexive possessive

## Table 9.11 The pronoun 'all' (mixed hard-soft declension)

M
N
F

| SG |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOM | všechen, všecek | všechno, všecko, vše | všechna, všecka |
| ACC | všechen, všecek | všechno, všecko, vše | všechnu, všecku |
| GEN | všeho |  | vší |
| DAT | všemu |  | vší |
| INST | vším |  | vší |
| LOC | všem |  | vší |
| PL |  |  |  |
| NOM | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { všichni*, všicci* } \\ \text { všechny, všecky }\end{array}\right.$ | všechna, všecka | všechny, všecky |
| ACC | všechny, všecky | všechna, všecka | všechny, všecky |
| GEN |  | všech |  |
| DAT |  | všem |  |
| INST |  | všemi |  |
| LOC |  | všech |  |

Note: * animate forms.
pronoun, decline as hard adjectives (má, mého, mými, etc.), but most nominative and accusative forms and the feminine singular throughout also have alternative non-contracted endings which comport with the soft pronominal declension, hence moje is nominative singular neuter and feminine, accusative singular neuter and nominative-accusative plural in all genders except nominative plural masculine animate, which is moji; also the forms moji (ACC SG F) and moji ( F SG oblique cases).

Other possessive pronouns: jeho 'his' and jejich 'their' are uninflected, as are the equivalent relative possessive pronouns jehož and jejichž; jeji 'her' and its relative possessive counterpart jejizz decline like soft adjectives, that is, their origins in a genitive of the personal pronoun have been submerged by syntactic and morphological similarities to adjectives. Čí? 'whose?' follows the soft adjectival declension.

Týž/tentýž 'the same (sensu stricto)' (table 9.12) declines in its shorter form exactly like the hard adjectives, with the addition of the suffix $-\check{z}$. The compound form follows, in cases where the reduplication has asserted itself, a hybrid pattern in which the second element sometimes inflects by gender and sometimes remains a genderless suffix -téż. Reduplicated oblique-case forms are more recent variants. Existing variations in the declension of $t y ́ z z$ and widespread native-speaker uncertainty about the current standard have given rise to several non-standard forms which bring it closer to the pronominal declensions proper, for example těchže (GEN

Table 9.12 The pronoun 'the same'

|  | M | N | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SG |  |  |  |
| NOM | týž/tentýž | totéž | táž/tatáž |
| ACC | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { téhož* } \\ \text { týž/tentýž } \end{array}\right.$ | totéž | touž/tutéž |
| GEN |  | téhož | téže |
| DAT |  | témuž | téze |
| InST |  | týmž/tímtéž | touž/toutéž |
| LOC |  | témž(e)/tomtéž | téže |
| PL |  |  |  |
| NOM | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { tíž* }{ }^{*} / \text { titíž }^{*} \\ \text { tytéž } \end{array}\right.$ | táž/tatáž | tytéž |
| ACC | tytéž | táž/tatáž | tytéž |
| GEN |  | týchž |  |
| DAT |  | týmž |  |
| INST |  | týmiž |  |
| LOC |  | týchž |  |

Note: * animate forms.

PL), těmže (DAT PL), těmiže (INST PL) and titéž (NOM PL M AN). There is a gap in the paradigm where one would expect neuter nominative-accusative singular * tézz; the form exists, but as the adverb 'also' in stylistically higher registers. The sole neuter form totéż has both bound and free functions: kluk rozbil totéż okno dvakrát 'the boy broke the same window twice', and ráno umyla podlahu a večer aby udělala totéż! 'she washed the floor this morning, and now she'll have to do the same thing this evening!', or Petr udèlal totéż, co Pavel 'Peter did the same (thing) as Paul'. Týž is often replaced by stejný, strictly meaning identity as to quality, or by ten samý, probably a colloquial calque on German.
$K d o$ 'who' and $c o$ 'what' lie at the heart of a complex range of indefinite pronouns and pronoun adverbs. Table 9.13 lists those that may claim to be

Table 9.13 Indefinite pronouns and pronoun adverbs

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & n e ̌- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & n i- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & -s i \end{aligned}$ | 4 -koli | 5 málo- | 6 mnoh- | lec- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) kdo | někdo | nikdo | kdosi | kdokoli | málokdo | MNOHÝ | leckdo |
| (b) co | něco | nic | cosi | cokoli | máloco | MNOHO | lecco |
| (c) čí | něčí | ničí | čísi | číkoli | máločí |  | lecčí |
| (d) kdy | někdy | nikdy | (kdysi) | kdykoli | málokdy | mnohdy | leckdy |
| (e) kde | někde | nikde | kdesi | kdekoli | málokde | mnohde | leckde |
| (f) kam | někam | nikam | kamsi | kamkoli | málokam |  | leckam |
| (g) odkud | odněkud | odnikud | odkudsi | odkudkoli | málookud |  | lecodkud |
| (h) kudy | někudy | nikudy | kudysi | kudykoli |  |  |  |
| (i) jak | nějak | nijak | (jaksi) | jakkoli |  |  | lecjak |
| (j) kolik | několik | ŻÁdNÝ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (k) jaký | nějaký | nijaký | jakýsi | jakýkoli |  |  | lecjaký |
| (1) který | některý | ŻÁdNÝ | kterýsi | kterýkoli | málokterý |  | leckterý |
| (m) kolikerý | několikerý |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 8 leda- | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & k d e- \end{aligned}$ | $10$ | 11 všeli- | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & \text { jin- } \end{aligned}$ | $13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & s- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & \text { on- } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ledakdo | kdeko | visıchnı | všelikd všelico |  | ten | TENTO | onen |
| ledaco | kdeco | vše[chno] |  |  | to | TOTO | ono |
| ledačí |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ledakdy |  | vždy |  | jindy | tehdy | TED | (onehdy) |
| ledakde |  | všude |  | jinde | TAM | Zde | *onde |
| ledakam |  | VŠUDE |  | jinam | tam | sem | *onam |
| ledaodkud |  | odevšad |  | odjinud | odtud | odsud |  |
|  |  |  |  | jinudy | tudy | TADYTUDY |  |
| ledajak |  | (však) | všelijak | jinak | tak | TAKTO | *onak |
|  |  |  |  |  | tolik | TOLIK |  |
| ledajaký | kdejaký |  | všelijaký jinačí |  | takovy | TAKOVÝ | *onaký |
| ledakterý | kdekter | KAŻDÝ |  | JINÝ | ten tolikerý | TENTO | onen |

in regular use and together constitute the Czech system of reference, coreference, quantification, etc., devices. The lines are based on the interrogatives: (a) 'who', (b) 'what', (c) 'whose', (d) 'when', (e) 'where', (f) 'whither', (g) 'whence', (h) 'which way', (i) 'how', (j) 'how many', (k) 'what (like)', (l) 'which', (m) 'of how many kinds'; the columns: (1) 'some-', or 'any-' in questions, (2) 'no-; not any-', (3) 'some- or other', (4) 'any-; -ever', (5) 'hardly any-', (6) 'many a', (7-8) 'all manner of' (often disparaging), '(not) just any' after negative, (9) 'all/every- (conceivable/ applicable)', (10) 'all; every-', (11) 'all sorts of; any old'. Thus, for example, ( $\mathrm{g} / 4$ ) odkudkoli combines the meanings of 'from a place' and 'randomness' and hence translates 'from anywhere; from wherever'. Many suggested 'meanings' of the column headings are only approximate, since much depends on syntax or the availability of suitable English equivalents. Columns (12) 'else' and (13)-(15), deictic elements, are included since several of the entries relate well to items to their left; they are a residue of the ancient tripartite system of 'this-here-now-closer to ego', 'that-there-then-further from ego', and 'yon'; they are clearly defective and almost each item under (15) would merit its own discussion.

The conventions adopted in table 9.13 signify as follows: square brackets indicate potential alternative; parentheses, an expression fitting the slot formally exists, but not in the meaning predictable at the given line-column intersection, hence (a/3) kdysi does not mean 'at some time or other and I cannot (be bothered to) specify just when', but 'once, long ago', (a/15) onehdy does not mean 'on that earlier/earliest occasion', but 'the other day', (i/3) jaksi does not mean 'somehow or other and I'm not terribly sure how', but is more of a semi-apologetic, defensive particle like English I mean, you see or just er; ( $\mathbf{i} / 10$ ) však is not 'in every manner', but an enclitic conjunction 'but, however, though'; small capitals, the meaning appropriate to the particular slot is expressible, but by a (part-)suppletive form from outside the system; an asterisk shows that the form is alive, but exists in solely idiomatic uses. Some of the blanks can be filled by analytic constructions (as in (a/12) někdo jiný, (b/12) něco jiného); the remaining blanks are accounted for by various constraints. Many of the items under lec- and leda- also occur with an additional suffixed or infixed $s$, such as ledakam/ledaskam/ledakams.

### 3.1.4 Adjectival morphology

Czech has three adjectival declensions: long hard, long soft and possessive, a 'short' type. The 'long' types arose out of contraction of original Vj V chains in the endings. In most circumstances, the two vowels contracted, losing the $j$, to produce a single long vowel. As elsewhere in morphology (the učeni and pani noun types) the umlauts have caused widespread case homonymy and syncretism in the soft declension, the only surface distinctions being those carried by consonantal elements. Table 9.14 shows the

Table 9.14 Long adjectival declension

|  | Hard |  |  | Soft |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | N | F | M | N | F |
| SG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOM | novy | nové | nová 'new' |  | cizí | cizí 'alien’ |
| ACC | \{nový | nové | novou | $\{\text { cizí }$ |  | cizí |
| GEN | nového |  | nové | cizího |  | cizí |
| DAT | novému |  | nové | cizímu |  | cizí |
| INST | novým |  | novou | cizím |  | cizí |
| LOC | novém |  | nové |  | cizím | cizí |
| PL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOM | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { noví* } \\ \text { nové }\end{array}\right.$ | nová | nové | cizí |  |  |
| ACC | nové | nová | nové | cizí |  |  |
| GEN |  | nových |  |  | cizích |  |
| DAT |  | novým |  |  | cizím |  |
| INST |  | novými |  |  | cizími |  |
| LOC |  | nových |  |  | cizích |  |

Note: * animate forms.
adjectival declensions. Before the $-i$ ( NOM PL M AN) ending the palatalizations of velars and dentals are observed: jaký > jaci 'what', mlady > mladi (= [mladí]) 'young', dobry' > dobři 'good', and the special case of stems in -sk and -ck: irský > irští 'Irish' and anglický > angličti 'English'. Common Czech dispenses with all nominative-accusative plural oppositions, showing both morphemic consistency and but one ending [-i:] for all genders.

Despite its morphological opacity, the soft class is very strong, for in addition to a number of primary adjectives it includes, inter alia: all present active participles in -ouci and -ici; verbal adjectives denoting purpose such as psaci 'writing', sklápěci 'tipping, folding'; comparatives and superlatives; the ordinals prvni 'first', třeti 'third' and tisici 'thousandth'; adjectives formed from animal names: pavi < páv 'peacock', žirafi < žirafa; and countless items with the suffix -ní, like jarni 'spring', zubni 'dental' and many 'internationalisms': termálni 'thermal', obézni 'obese'.

The adjectival declensions are shared by many noun types, denoting callings (krejči 'tailor'), games (schovávaná 'hide-and-seek'), payments (výkupné 'ransom'), meats (vepřové 'pork'), surnames (Novotný/-á, Lepši/-i), the feminine form of other surnames, (Nováková < Novák), many toponyms (Deštná ‘a mountain', Deštné 'the ski-resort nearby', Teplá 'a river'), and other Slav adjectival surnames (Tolstoj, genitive Tolstého;

Jaruzelski, genitive Jaruzelského; Krupskaja, genitive Krupské) and toponyms (Mirnyj-Mirného, Černaja-Černé).

The short declension is confined to the widely used possessive adjectives, formed from common or proper nouns. Two suffixes depend on the gender of the possessor, masculine possessors taking -uv, ova, -ovo, feminines -in, -ina, -ino, which induces stem-final consonant alternations: matka +- in > matčin 'mother's', Milada $+-i n>$ Miladin (that is, miladin), dcera + -in ) dceřin 'daughter's'. Table 9.15 shows that this paradigm is 'short' only in part, since the instrumental singular and all plural oblique cases share the endings of the 'long' declension.

Table 9.15 Possessive adjectives

|  | M | SG | N | F | M | PL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N | F |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOM | Petrův | Petrovo | Petrova | Petrovi* <br> Petrovy | Petrova | Petrovy |
| ACC | Petrův <br> Petrova* <br> Petrova <br> GEN | Petrovo | Petrovu | Petrovy | Petrova | Petrovy |
| DAT | Petrovu <br> INST <br> Petrovým <br> Petrové/-u | Petrovy <br> Petrovou <br> Petrové |  | Petrových <br> Petrovým <br> Petrovými <br> Petrových |  |  |

Note: * animate forms.

About a dozen short adjectives proper survive in active everyday use (see 4.3 below). (A systematic opposition between long and short forms occurs only in the passive participles; see 3.2.) Occurring in the predicate, they have nominative forms only, bearing the regular gender/number markers. Some disyllables show stem-vowel lengthening in the short form, for example, zdravý > zdráv 'healthy'. The 'adjective' rád exists only in short forms; unlike the others, it can occur with almost any verb: být rád 'be glad', mit rád 'love', zpivat rád 'like singing'; the negative is nerad, as in nerad obtĕžuji, ale . . 'I'm loth to disturb you, but ...'. Some short neuters survive, but in new functions: thus málo 'few', daleko 'far', chiefly as adverbs, but also some abstract nouns: nekonečno 'infinity'.

Comparison of adjectives uses the basic suffix -ejši/-ější, or -ši or -či in several smallish subclasses. The superlative is formed by prefixing nej- to the comparative:
rychlý - rychlejší - nejrychlejší 'quick'
pracovitý - pracovitějsíí - nejpracovitěǰ̌í 'hard-working'
záviděníhodný - záviděníhodnějsí - nejzáviděníhodnější ‘enviable’
drahý - dražží - nejdraž̌̌í ‘dear’
hezký - hezčí - nejhezčí 'good-looking'
There are just a few suppletive forms:
dobrý - lepší - nejlepší 'good'
špatný - horší - nejhorší 'bad'
velký - větší - největší 'bit, great'
malý - menší - nejmenší 'small'
Analytical constructions using vic(e) 'more', nejvic(e) 'most' are rare, but necessary with items that are present participles in origin (vic(e) vyhovujici 'more suitable'), or with the few indeclinables (vic blond 'blonder'); negative comparison uses only analytical forms, with méně 'less', nejméně ‘least'.

The basic adverbial ending is -ě/-e: nový > nově 'new-ly', rychlý > rychle 'quick-ly'; as with -ě in the locative of nouns (these adverbs were originally locative singulars of short adjectives) dental and velar stem-final consonants palatalize: tichý > tiše 'quiet-ly', těsný > těsnĕ 'tight-ly', starý > staře 'old'. The basic comparative adverbial suffix is -ěji/-eji, hence těsněji, tišejii, but items where the comparative adjective follows one of the minor patterns have a shorter comparative adverb: dráž(e), hưř( $e$ ) 'worse'. Some monosyllabic forms entail a vowel change: miñ/méně 'less', lip/lépe 'better'; they are used in less formal registers.

The few irregular adverbs include pomalu « pomaly 'slow' and hezky く hezký 'nice', and forms in -sky and -cky from adjectives in -ský and -cký, many denoting a language spoken or written: mluvit anglicky 'speak English'. Adverbs required to convey 'in an English manner' and so on are analytical: zmizet po anglicku 'take French leave'.

In competition with abstract adverbs in -ě are a set in -o, chiefly concerned with time and space, such as mluvit dlouho 'talk for a long time', mluvit dlouze 'talk at great length'; ležet hluboko 'lie deep (in water)', být hluboce dojat 'be deeply touched'; stát blizko 'stand nearby', být blizce pribuzný 'be closely related'.

### 3.1.5 Numeral morphology

Among the cardinal numerals, only ' 1 ', ' 2 ', ' 3 ' and ' 4 ' function adjectivally and retain the morphology of case. Jeden/jedna and so on ' 1 ' inflects like the demonstrative ten. Dva ' 2 ' (table 9.16) and oba 'both; the two' also retain some gender distinctions; these two words alone maintain almost intact the old dual declension. Tři and čtyři (see table 9.16) approximate closely to the plural $i$-stem substantival declension. The form čtyřma is used in agreement with nouns which retain dual forms in the instrumental plural: mezi čtyřma očima 'tête-à-tête'. Genitive třech and čtyřech are

Table 9.16 Declension of $d v a$ 'two', tři 'three' and čtyři 'four'
M F/N

| NOM-ACC | dva | dvě | NOM-ACC | tři |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GEN-LOC | dvou | GEN | tří/třech | čtyři |
| DAT-INS | dvěma | DAT | třem | čtyřem |
|  |  |  | INST | třemi |
|  |  | LOC | třech | čtyřmi/čtyřma |
|  |  |  |  | čtyřech |

colloquial; their coincidence with the locative shows a shift by this declension towards pronominal and adjectival types, as well as being parallel to the case syncretism of $d v a$.

The other cardinal numerals are given in table 9.17. Their inflection is limited to the oblique-case ending -i: pěti, triceti sedmi and so on; ' 9 ' is further marked by an internal alternation $\check{e}>i$ : deviti (rarely also applying to ' 10 ', ' 20 ', etc., that is, desiti for the commoner deseti).

Numerals between ' 20 ' and ' 30 ' and similar are expressed analytically, for example dvacet pět, or as single words with the digits inverted, that is, pětadvacet. The old agreement patterns with numerals ending in ' 1 ' to ' 4 ', matching those with the single digits, as in dvacet jeden student, dvacet jedna żena, dvacet dva studenti, dvacet dvě ženy, are increasingly being replaced by 'genderless' forms in jedna and $d v a$, followed by the genitive plural: dvacet jedna studentů/žen, dvacet dva studentů/žen. Similarly dvacet tři studenti/ženy is giving way to dvacet tři studentů/žen.

Sto is declined as a hard neuter noun (bez sta 'minus 100', pět set '500'; note the dual survival in dvě stě), though in many contexts it is left undeclined: se sto lidmi 'with 100 people-INST' has generally replaced se stem lidi 'with $100-$ Inst people-GEN'. The reverse is true of the hard masculine milion: s milionem lidi is the preferred form in non-colloquial usage. Miliarda is a hard feminine noun. Tisic is declined as a soft masculine noun, but in compounds (after ' 5 ' and above) it shows a rare survival of a masculine genitive plural in - $\emptyset$, pět tisic ' 5,000 '.

Ordinal numerals are given in table 9.18. Those between tens or from multidigit numerals have all digits in the ordinal form: dvacátý pátý, pětitisici sedmistý čtyřicátý třeti' '5,743rd', and fully declining: bez pětitisiciho sedmistého čtyřicátého třetiho and so on. Two-digit numerals between whole tens may have an inverted one-word form: pětadvacátý '25th', v osmašedesátém 'in (19)68'. In the formation of ' 200 th' and similar forms, the first half is the genitive form of the relevant numeral, a pattern replicated in other compounds such as dvounohý 'two-legged', čtyřkolý 'four-wheeled'.

## Table 9.17 Cardinal numerals

| pět | '5' | trináct | '13' | tricet | '30' | sto | '100' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| šest | '6' | ctrnáct | '14' | čyyricet | '40' | dvě stě | '200' |
| sedm | '7' | patnáct | '15' | padesát | '50' | tři sta | '300' |
| osm | '8' | šestnáct | '16' | šedesát | ' 60 ' | tisíc | '1,000' |
| devět | '9' | sedmnáct | '17' | sedmdesát | '70' | milión | ' 1 million' |
| deset | '10' | osmnáct | '18' | osmdesát | '80' | miliarda | ' 1,000 million' |
| jedenáct | '11' | devatenáct | '19' | devadesát | '90' | nula | 'zero' |
| dvanáct | '12' | dvacet | ' 20 ' |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.18 Ordinal numerals

| první/prvy '1st' | jedenácty ' 11 th' | trístý '300th' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| druhy '2nd' | dvanácty ' 12 th' | čtyǐstý '400th' |
| třetí '3rd' | třináctý ' 13 th' | pětisty ' 500 th' |
| čtvrtý '4th' |  | tisící ' 1,000 th' |
| páty ' 5 th' | dvacátý '20th' | milionty 'millionth' |
| šestý '6th' | tricaty '30th' | note also: |
| sedmy ' 7 th' |  | nulty 'zero'th' |
| osmý '8th', | devadesátý '90th' | $n$-tý, $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{ty}$ [enti:], [iksti:] ' $n$-th', 'x-th' |
| devatý '9th', | stý '100th' |  |
| desáty ' 10 th' | dvousty '200th' |  |

### 3.2 Verbal morphology

### 3.2.1 Categories expressed

Person is expressed primarily in inflections and secondarily, for emphasis or in colloquial registers, by personal pronouns. Third persons are marked by vocalic endings; these differ between singular and plural, but coincide in most of the $i$-conjugation. Second persons carry universal markers in -s (SG, except in být below), and -te (PL), while first person plural is universally in -me (-chom in COND AUX). First person singular is marked in four different ways: $-m$ ( $i$ and $\dot{a}$-conjugations), $-u$ and/or $-i$ ( $e-$ conjugations) and -ch (COND AUX). In the past tense and conditional only first and second persons are marked, by auxiliaries. The only finite forms marked for gender are in the past tense and conditional, namely the 'participles' that carry the lexical meaning. Explicit representation of gender, person and number in the past tense is maximally exploited in the second person, where the sex of an addressee, plurality of addressees and the familiar-polite distinction are all expressed: byl jsi (M SG familiar), byla jsi (F SG familiar), byl jste (M SG polite), byla jste (F SG polite), byli jste (M or mixed PL), byly jste (F PL); in speech the distinction between the last two is
lost. Number and gender are rudimentarily expressed even in gerunds.
Three tenses are recognized, a superficially simple system refined by the Slavonic aspects. Present time meanings are expressed by the basic conjugated forms. The past consists, for both aspects, of the ' $l$-participle' with auxiliaries (present-tense forms of být 'be'). The future perfective is expressed by present-tense forms of the perfective verb, and the imperfective by the future tense of být as auxiliary with the imperfective infinitive. Many tenses have been lost since Old Czech times.

The Slavonic aspects survive in the basic imperfective/perfective opposition. The perfective typically specifies completion of an act, which is usually relevant in terms of the (con-)sequentiality of acts. On the other hand, while the imperfective expresses the verbal action in general terms, as a process, it often highlights failure to achieve the goal, as in

Vnucovali jsme (IMPFV) mu předsednictví, ale on se nedal.
'We (tried to) thrust the chairmanship on him, but he wasn't having it.'
This is a type where duration is frequently explicit:
Celý den jsem kupoval (IMPFV) kravatu, ale nekoupil (PFV).
'I spent the whole day buying a tie but didn't get one.'
On the other hand, in certain context types a 'perfective' meaning may be expressed by an imperfective form, as in:

Tu knihu jsem četl dávno.
'I read that book ages ago.'
Aspectual pairs are of two main types:
1 Perfectives are formed from imperfectives by prefixation, for example, u-/vařit 'boil, cook', pře-/čist 'read', o-/loupat 'peal'; the semantic correspondence between the members of a pair is only approximate, but close enough for them to operate analogously to type 2 below. The reason is that each prefix which may act as a simple perfectivizer may be a lexical prefix elsewhere.
2 Imperfectives are formed from perfectives by suffixation, whether the motivating member is a primary verb (primary perfectives are rare), as in dát 'give' or koupit 'buy', or a prefixed verb, for example, vymyslet 'think up' or slepit 'stick/paste together'; many of the varied processes involved can be seen from the respective imperfectives: dávat, kupovat, vymýšlet, slepovat.

Two ranges of prefixes never act as purely perfectivizing:

1 Those with a concrete, especially local meaning, for example, před-'pre-', nad- 'super-' pod- 'sub-', v- 'in-', od- 'away from'; they do perfectivize, but only to produce new lexical items ( $v y$ - 'ex-; out of; up' is, however, common as both a neutral and a lexical perfectivizer).
2 Those containing a long vowel; these never perfectivize at all and form only a very limited number of verbs: závidět 'envy', nenávidĕt 'hate', príslušet 'appertain'. Also the rare pa-, as in padělat 'counterfeit'.

Besides the main patterns of aspectual pairing, there are a few suppletive pairs, notably brát/vzit 'take', klást/položit 'lay', compounds of the latter, like nakládat/naložit 'load', and, ignoring a complex etymology, compounds of $j i t$ 'go on foot' such as vycházet/vyjit 'come out'.

Perfective-only verbs include: various prefixed reflexives (rozpršet se 'start to rain', uběhat se 'run one's feet off', naplakat se 'have cried and cried'); transitives with the prefix $n a$ - and the object-complement in the genitive (navařit knedliků 'have done loads of dumplings', nasekat dřivi 'have chopped heaps of firewood'); the verbs uvidět 'catch sight of' and uslyšet 'catch the sound of' (sometimes also true perfectives of vidět 'see' and slyšet 'hear'); and verbs marked by the modality of possibility, including dokázat and dovést 'be (cap-)able', 'know how', 'manage', dát se + infinitive 'can be -ed', vydržet '(with-)stand', vejit se 'fit (can go in)', obejit se 'do without'.

In addition to processual or stative verbs, imperfective-only verbs are: modal verbs: muset 'must', moct 'can', smět 'may', mit 'be (supposed) to', chtit 'want', 'will'; and frequentatives such as dĕlávat 'be wont to do', chodivat 'go quite often'.

A few native Czech verbs are bi-aspectual; they include jmenovat 'name', 'appoint', zvěstovat 'bring tidings; foretell', věnovat 'devote; dedicate', obětovat 'sacrifice', žluknout 'go rancid'. On the other hand, countless loan-neologisms in the most productive verb class, those in -ovat, like absorbovat, havarovat 'crash; break down', informovat, kontejnerizovat, organizovat, are bi-aspectual according to the most recent Czech dictionary ( $S S C \check{C}$ ), though the position is by no means clear and many acquire explicit perfectives by prefixation.

Aspectually unique are the 'verbs of motion' (table 9.19). These determinate/non-determinate pairs are comparable to, but not quite coextensive with, similar verbs in other Slavonic languages. The last three in the table are imperfect members of the system: there are various circumstances where they can be interchanged, which never applies in the remainder, and the features given below for the determinates do not all hold with the same rigidity.

The determinate members are durative (linear, goal-oriented), the nondeterminates either iterative and goal-oriented (for regularly repeated events) or lacking any goal. An irregularly repeated event, however, uses

## Table 9.19 The 'verbs of motion'

| jít | chodit | 'go; walk' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jet | jezdit | 'go; ride; drive' |
| běžet | běhat | 'run' |
| letět | létat | 'fly' |
| nést | nosit | 'carry; bear' |
| vést | vodit | 'lead' |
| vézt | vozit | 'convey' |
| hnát | honit | 'chase' |
| táhnout | tahat | 'pull' |
| vléci/vléct | vláčet | 'drag' |
| valit | válet | 'roll' |

the determinate, for example, nĕkdy tam jedu autem 'I sometimes go there by car'. For the expression of a single round-trip Czech prefers 'be': byl jsem loni v Praze 'I went to Prague last year'.

Both sets are traditionally described as imperfective, though a case can be made for calling the determinates bi-aspectual. The morphology of the determinates presents a number of interesting features:

1 The future is formed by the prefix po- ( $p u^{\circ}$ - with jit), uniquely so in the case of $j i t$ and $j e t$, and as the preferred form for the rest.
2 There is only one past-tense form, that is, forms such as *pojel are absent; similarly there are no infinitives prefixed with po- (pojit exists, but means 'die', of animals).
3 There are two imperatives, with and without po-, those with po-bidding movement towards or with the speaker, as in jdi! 'go!', pojd' (sem)! 'come (here)!', pojd's námi 'come with us'.
4 Reduplicated, the prefix po- produces full (perfective) paradigms of verbs meaning 'advance a short way', hence popojit 'take a few steps forward', kufr poponesl 'he carried the suitcase a few steps'.

Other prefixes produce new, perfective verbs, secondary imperfectives being formed from mutations of the stems of the non-determinates (table 9.20). Such pairings are entirely analogous to any other aspect pairs.

Morphologically and aspectually, the non-determinates are uncontroversial. As imperfectives they produce perfectives on prefixation. Relatively few verbs result from this process, and they are often unrelated in meaning to the similarly prefixed determinates and many have no imperfective; compare:
procházet/projit 'go through' (a gate, for example); prochodit 'go through'
(the soles of one's shoes, perhaps);
obcházet/obejit 'go round (an obstacle); circumvent'; obchodit 'do the rounds';
donášet/donést 'bring', also 'tell tales'; donosit 'finish carrying; carry (a foetus) the full term'.

## Table 9.20 Prefixed 'verbs of motion', illustrated by the prefix $\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{y}$ 'out, up'

vychazet/vyjít 'go/come out/up'<br>vyjíždět/vyjet 'ride/drive/go/come out/up' vybíhat/vyběhnout 'run out/up'<br>vylétat, vyletovat, vylítat/vyletět, vylétnout, vylítnout 'fly up/out'<br>vynašet/vynést 'bring/take out/up'<br>vyvádět/vyvést 'lead/take out/up'<br>vyvážet/vyvézt 'carry/convey/take out/up, export'<br>vyhánět/vyhnat 'drive out/into exile, outlaw'<br>vytahovat/vytáhnout 'pull/drag out/up'<br>vyvlékat, vyvlikat/vyvléci, vyvléknout, vyvlíknout 'pull/draw out'<br>vyvalovat/vyvalit 'roll out/up'

Mood: The imperative is expressed morphologically in the second persons and first person plural, and analytically in others. The endings for the morphological imperative are, irrespective of conjugation, either - () - $\emptyset$, -() - $m e,-()-t e$, or $-i,-(\check{e}) m e$, -(ĕ) $t e$; the choice depends on there being one or two consonants respectively in the third person plural after removal of the final vowel (not necessarily the whole ending): nes, nesme, neste; ved, ved'me, ved'te; lež, ležme, ležte; chod', chod'me, chod'te; sázej, sázejme, sázejte; kupuj, kupujme, kupujte; mysli, mysleme, myslete; zajdi, zajdĕme, zajděte. Two other factors apply in imperative formation: first, in the áconjugation the change $a>e$, as in third person plural daji, stem daj-, imperative dej/-me/-te; and second, shortening of stem-final syllable, for example, koupi, koup-, imperative kup/-me/-te; chváli, chvál-, imperative chval/-me/-te; navštivi, navštiv-, imperative navsstiv; pospiši pospiš-, imperative pospěš; rozpůli, rozpůl-, imperative rozpul. There are relatively few exceptions in imperative formation, and some formal variety in the $i$ conjugation (see Mluvnice češtiny, II: 471-3). Anomalous in the modern language are the endings $-c$ and $-z$ in $e$-conjugation verbs with velar stems (products of the second palatalization). The latter survives in pomoz 'help' (colloquial pomož), while the former, as in pec 'bake', is obsolescent and has been replaced by -č: peč. The former athematic verbs vědět (and povědět 'tell' and odpovědět 'reply') and jist 'eat' also retain their ancient imperatives in -z: od-po-věz/-me/-te, jez. For non-morphological 'imperatives' see 4.2.2.

The conditional is expressed by a combination of the conjugated enclitic auxiliary by, derived from the aorist of být (see table 9.25, p. 491), and the
l-participle: řekl bych, že ... 'I would say that ...', Petr by nám pomohl 'Peter would help us', kdo by to řekl!? 'who would say that?' (who would have guessed?). This unmarked version serves primarily for the present conditional, but may also occur in the past if appropriate time indicators are present: Včera by nám Petr pomohl 'yesterday Peter would have helped us'. The marked version of the past conditional requires the insertion of the $l$-participle of být, hence, adapting the previous examples: byl bych rekl, že, . .., Petr by nám byl pomohl, kdo by to byl řekl!?, včera by nám byl Petr pomohl.

Voice is a two-member verbal category, active and passive, though some types have led to periodic discussion of a possible middle voice in Czech.

There are two forms of passive:
1 using a passive participle (in the short form) of a transitive verb with být as auxiliary, hence from the active hosté vypili všechen čaj 'the guests drank all the tea', the passive všechen čaj byl vypit (hosty), where the agent may be suppressed but can be expressed if required;
2 using a reflexive transformation: všechen čaj se vypil (all tea-NOM REFL drank-PRFV); here the agent is suppressed completely.

With verbs complemented by an oblique case both a participial and a reflexive construction are possible, but best interpreted as impersonal constructions (based on the third person singular neuter); they retain the original case form of the complement, hence (Petr) hnul stolem (INST) 'Peter moved the table' has partial passive counterparts in bylo hnuto stolem and hnulo se stolem. The same considerations apply to prepositional complements: výbor jednal o minulé schůzi the committee discussed the previous meeting' again has versions bylo jednáno o minulé schůzi and jednalo se o minulé schůzi, in which no agent can be expressed. These are comparable to similar impersonal ('de-agentized' is the Czech term) constructions based on intransitive verbs proper, for example, active celý večer jsme tancovali a domů jsme šli až po půlnoci 'we danced all evening and didn't go home until after midnight' has as its counterpart with the agent suppressed: celý večer se tancovalo a domů se šlo až po půlnoci; however, these have no participial counterparts.

The participial passive can be used in all persons; both the subject and the agent may or may not be human, and the agent can be expressed, if known or required, in the instrumental. By contrast, the reflexive passive is confined to third-person forms. Also, while the anonymous agent will usually be marked 'human', the grammatical subject of a reflexive-passive sentence usually cannot be. A major limitation to reflexive passives is that they would clash with some of the countless other functions of formally reflexive verbal expressions. For example, zabil se (< zabit 'kill') cannot mean 'he was killed' by some anonymous agent, but merely 'he got killed,
he perished' (besides meaning 'he killed himself'); skupina se vrátila od hranic cannot mean 'the group was turned back from the frontier', since vrátit se is the (formally reflexive) intransitive verb 'return'.

Reflexive passive and impersonal constructions are stylistically neutral, while participial passive constructions, though available for the entire paradigm of their main exponents (transitives with accusative object), are limited to more formal written registers.

Non-finite forms: The basic infinitive marker is $-t$, although throughout most of the century forms in $-t i$ were the norm (including entries in SSJC゙). The only exceptions have been $e$-conjugation verbs with velar stems (like * mog-ti and ${ }^{*}$ pek-ti), the infinitives of which have until quite recently had -ci (moci 'can', péci 'bake') as the norm, with -ct evaluated as non-standard. Since the 1970s, the latter have been admitted to the standard language as informal alternatives to -ci. Thus $-t$ is now universal. Well into the twentieth century grammars held a competing supine to be alive as well, though the only example widely quoted was spat from spát 'sleep', used after verbs of motion (jit spat 'go to bed').

Participles and gerunds: the imperfective ('present') gerund is formed from imperfective verbs only. Two sets of forms exist, derived from the third person plural of the present by removal of the final vowel (not necessarily the full personal ending) and addition of -ě/e $(\mathrm{M}),-i c(\mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{N})$ and -ice (PL) for the $i$-conjugation or wherever the stem-final consonant is 'soft', and -a, -ouc and -ouce for the remainder. Gender-number agreement is with the subject of the main clause. The far rarer perfective ('past') gerund is formed from perfective verbs; here two sets of endings depend on whether the past-tense stem ends in a vowel or consonant. For consonantal stems the endings are - $\emptyset$, -ši, -še; for vocalic stems $-v$, -vši, -vše. The same genders and agreement rules apply as above.

Use of the gerunds is confined to the higher styles, especially in officialese and texts with an archaic flavour, but they are exploited to good effect as a condensing device by a number of modern writers. Examples of forms:

| Imperfective gerund | Perfective gerund |
| :--- | :--- |
| nes-a/-ouc/-ouce | vynes/-ši/-še |
| ber-a/-ouc/-ouce | vybra-v/-vši/-vše |
| pláč-e/-íc/-íce | zaplaka-v/vši/-vše |
| tiskna/-ouc/-ouce | vytisk/-̌̌i/-še |
| kupuj-e/-íc/-íce | koupi-v/vši/-vše |
| vracej-e/-íc/íce | vráti-v/-vši/-vše |

Adjectivalizations of the past gerund, ending in -ši, are an even rarer, artificial creation: pominuvši nebezpeči 'the danger that had passed', vrátivši se emigrant 'the returned émigré'.

The present active participle is formed from the feminine/neuter imperfective gerund by the addition of $-i$ (or from the stem of the third
person plural present by the addition of -ici for soft stems and -ouci for hard). It is formed only from imperfective verbs. Unremarkably, many have evolved into adjectives: polehčujíci 'mitigating', vedouci 'leading', or even nouns: cestujici 'passenger', vedouci 'manager(ess)', but their main function is to condense relative clauses (see 4.5 below).

The ' $l$-participle', used in forming the past tense, should perhaps not be called a participle now at all. However, it still retains gender-number markers, and, outside the third persons, requires auxiliary verbs. It is based on the infinitive stem (infinitive minus $-t$ ), with various patterns of stemvowel shortening, hence, from vocalic stems: být > byl/-a/-o/-i/-y/-a; bdit 'keep vigil' > bdĕl/-a and so on; zout 'remove shoes' > zul; chodit 'go' > chodil, kupovat 'buy’ > kupoval; from consonantal stems: vést 'lead' > vedl; řici/řict 'tell' > řekl; tisknout 'print' > tiskl (colloquial tisknul). Some $l$-participles may become lexical adjectives, but non-systematically. Most have meanings deducible from the underlying verb: došlá (korespondence) 'incoming (post)' < dojit 'arrive', zbylý 'remaining' < zbýt 'remain', but others are further removed from their source: umělý 'artificial' < umět 'know how', bdělý 'vigilant' < bdit 'keep vigil'.

Passive participles are based on - $n$ - (the majority) or $\boldsymbol{t}$ - (most monosyllabic verbs and many in -nout). The morphological variety is distributed as follows in short forms:
-án, -ána, -áno; -áni, -ány, -ána - from verbs whose infinitives end in -at; -en, -ena, -eno; -eni, -eny, -ena - from verbs whose infinitives end in -it, -ět, -et, or consonantal stem;
$-t$, -ta, -to; -ti, -ty, -ta - from mostly monosyllabic verbs (+ their compounds).

Equivalent long forms, declined as long adjectives, end in aný, -ený, -tý and so on. Note the length difference between long and short forms in the $a$-theme type. Short forms are predicative only, typically in passive verb phrases; long forms may be predicative or attributive. Short forms, with or without jsa and so on (gerunds of být), function as passive gerunds: postaven znova, dům vypadal lépe než předtím 'rebuilt, the house looked better than before'. Short forms may still be found in the accusative as second complements: mit knihu rozečtenu (or rozečtenou) 'have a book half-read', vidět se utopena (or utopeného) 'see oneself drowned'. Passive participles are formed from both aspects, hence přestavěný dům 'a rebuilt house', přestavovaný dům 'a house under reconstruction'; koupený chléb 'the bread bought', kupovaný chléb 'shop bread'. Lexicalized forms are not uncommon, as shown by adjectives like neslýchaný 'unheard-of', oblibený 'favourite', many even without a motivating verb: pruhovany' 'striped', pihovaný 'freckled'; or nouns: představená 'mother-superior', obžalovaný 'the accused'.

### 3.2.2 Conjugation

Five main conjugational types are recognized. They are discriminated on the basis of the third person singular, marked by the endings: (I) $-e$; (II) $-n-e$; (III) $-j-e$; (IV) $-i$; (V) $-\dot{a}$. Class V is an historic innovation, born of the contraction of once disyllabic endings and assimilation to the athematic verb dát. Table 9.21 shows the relationships in contemporary Czech among the form or forms of the infinitive stem and the first and third persons singular present tense of verbs selected for reference throughout this volume. Some alternatives are supplied for those that have not survived. Some have relocated. The full extent of interference, merger and evolution among the conjugational types is revealed by table 9.22 .

Most anomalies occur in former athematic verbs and chtit 'want' (table 9.23). Specimen conjugations are given in tables $9.24 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$. Table 9.25 gives the present and future tenses of být and the conjugation of the conditional auxiliary, a unique and little-changed survival of the aorist conjugation of the same verb.

### 3.3 Derivational morphology

### 3.3.1 Major patterns of noun derivation

All methods of word formation applicable in Czech apply par excellence to noun derivation, chief among them suffixation. Some suffixes have a nearconstant function, like agentive -tel (M), -telka (F) (uči-tel-ka 'teach-er'); abstract -ost ( F ), or -stvi ( N ) (schopnost < schopný 'ability'); instrumental -dlo ( N ) (méridlo 'gauge' \& méřit 'measure'), while others have an impressive range of functions, notably $-e k,-(n) i k,(\mathrm{M}),-k a,-(n) i c e(F)$ and $-k o(\mathrm{~N})$, and the highly productive -ák (M AN and INAN) and -ár/-aŕ (M AN). The complete set of patterns of suffixation according to classes of source words, gender and other semantic considerations is described in Mluvnice češtiny (I: 235-312). A widespread concomitant feature of suffixation is quantitative and/or qualitative alternations in root syllables, with shortening far exceeding lengthening: létat > letadlo 'fly' > 'aeroplane', vůl > volek 'ox' > diminutive, hrad > hrádek 'castle' > diminutive. Many suffixes cause palatalization of stem-final consonants: býk 'bull' > býček (DIMIN), chirurg 'surgeon' (M) > chiruržka (F), Persie 'Persia' > Peršan 'Persian' (peršan 'Persian carpet or cat').

Prefixation is limited to (a) a half-dozen non-prepositional prefixes: nesmysl 'non-sense', pra-člověk 'primeval man'; (b) a dozen prepositional prefixes used in calquing: prée-čas 'over-time', misto-král 'vice-roy'; and a dozen loan-prefixes: arci-vévoda 'arch-duke', kvazi-věda 'pseudo-science' (the hyphens here are not part of the orthography).

Combined prefixation-suffixation occurs in several types, usually reflecting an underlying prepositional phrase, hence ná-den-ik 'journeyman' is hired na den 'for a day', bez-domov-ec 'homeless person' is bez

Table 9.21 Key verbs, showing types of stem variations

| Infinitive | Past tense | 1 SG PRS | 3 SG PRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Theme in -e |  |  |  |
| nést 'carry' | nesl | nesu | nese |
| vést 'lead' | vedl | vedu | vede |
| číst 'read' | četl | čtu | čte |
| jít 'go, walk' | šel ${ }^{1}$ | jdu | jde |
| jet 'go, ride' | jel | jedu | jede |
| zábst ${ }^{\text {c }}$ 'freeze' | zábl | zebu | zebe |
| péci 'bake' | pekl | peku/peču | peče |
| umílt 'die' | umřel | umřu ${ }^{3}$ | umře |
| zvát 'invite' | zval | zvu ${ }^{4}$ | zve ${ }^{4}$ |
| brát 'take' | bral | beru | bere |
| mazat 'smear' | mazal | maži/-u | maže |
| mlet 'grind' | mlel | melu | mele |
| psát 'write' | psal | písi/-u | píse |
| Theme in -ne zdvihnout 'lift' | zdvihl ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |
| minout 'pass' | minul | minu | mine |
| za-čít' 'begin' | začal | začnu | začne |
| ríci/říct 'tell' | řekl | reknu | rekne |
| Theme in -je |  |  |  |
| Číti ${ }^{7}$ 'sense' | $\mathrm{čil}^{8}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | ${ }_{\text {čiji }}{ }^{\text {8 }}$ | čije $^{8}$ |
| pět 'sing' | pěl | pěji ${ }^{9}$ | pěje |
| krýt 'conceal' | kryl | kryji ${ }^{9}$ | kryje |
| bít 'strike' | bil | biji ${ }^{9}$ | bije |
| zout 'remove' ${ }^{10}$ | zul | zuji ${ }^{9}$ |  |
| hrát 'play' | hrál | hraji ${ }^{9}$ | hraje |
| přát 'wish' ${ }^{11}$ | přál | prejii ${ }^{\text {9 }}$ | přeje |
| darovat 'donate' | daroval | daruji ${ }^{9}$ | daruje |
| set 'sow' |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| modlit se 'pray' chodit 'walk' | modlil se chodil | modlím se chodím | modlí se |
| velet 'command' | velel | velím |  |
| slyšet 'hear' | slyšel | slyším | slyší |
| trpět 'suffer' | trpěl | trpím | trpí |
| spát 'sleep' , | spal | spím | spí |
| umět 'know how' | uměl | umím |  |
| sázet 'plant' | sázel | sázím | sází ${ }^{12}$ |
| Theme in -a dělat 'do, make' | dělal | dělám | dělá |

Notes: 'past gerund šed; ${ }^{2}$ Old Czech ziebsti; ${ }^{3}$ Old Czech umru; ${ }^{4}$ Old Czech zovu, zove; 'colloquial zdvihnul; '‘‘ *-čen-ti; 'obsolete form ‘ čüti; ${ }^{\text {TC }}$ Common Czech čul, čuju, čuje; ${ }^{9}$ Common Czech péju, in so far as this verb ever penetrates that register; similarly the forms $k r y j u, ~ b i j u, ~ z u j u, ~ h r a j u, ~ p r ̌ e j u, ~ d a r u j u, ~ s e j u ; ~ ' i v s h o e s ~ o n l y ; ~ " O l d ~$ Czech prieti; ${ }^{12}$ It applies in general of this class that the third singular and plural are identical, but in the case of the two types the third plural is uméji, sázeji

## Table 9.22 Evolution of Czech verb classes and subclasses

| Old Church Slavonic | Old Czech <br> $(1 \mathrm{SG})$ | Modern Czech <br> $(3 \mathrm{SG})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


Source: Adapted from Lamprecht, Šlosar and Bauer (1977: 184).

Table 9.23 The former athematic verbs and chtit

| být 'be' | byl | jsem | je | jsou |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jís 'eat' | jedl | jím | jí | jedí |
| dát 'give' | dal | dám | dá | dají |
| vědět 'know' | věděl | vím | ví | védí |
| mít 'have' | měl | mám | má | mají |
| chtít 'want' | chtěl | chci | chce | chtějí |

[^0]Table 9.24 Specimen conjugations
(a) $e$-theme
(b) $i$-theme
(c) $\dot{a}$-theme

| vedu 'lead' | prosím 'request' | dělám 'do, make' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| vedeš | prosî́s | děláš |
| vede | prosí | dělá |
| vedeme | prosíme | děláme |
| vedete | prosíte | děláte |
| vedou | prosí | dělají |

Note: the $e$-theme paradigm is shared by the -ne and -je types; the main deviations are in most -je types, which have had, and in higher styles still retain, first person singular in $-i$ and third plural in $-i$ (a product of the $u>i$ umlaut); conversely, in lower registers the endings $-u$ and $-o u$ have replaced them, borrowed from the hard-stem version of the paradigm. The $i$-theme paradigm has a large subgroup with the third person plural in -ěji/-ejí chiefly soft-stem counterparts to the innovated $\dot{a}$-conjugation, but altered beyond recognition by the $a>e \check{e}$ and $\dot{a}>i e>i$ umlauts.

Table 9.25 být
Present Future Conditional auxiliary

| jsem | budu | bych |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jsi | budeš | bys |
| je | bude | by |
| jsme | budeme | bychom |
| jste | budete | byste |
| jsou | budou | by |

domova 'without a home', and, a productive neuter type, bez-větř-i 'calm' is a state bez větru 'without wind'.

Affixless derivation is one of the simplest forms of conversion, chiefly from verbs: plazit 'crawl' > plaz 'reptile', obvázat 'bind' > obvaz 'bandage'; in combination with composition many technical terms are so produced: teplo-měr 'thermo-meter' (< měrit 'measure'), perlo-rodka 'pearl oyster' (< rodit 'give birth').

### 3.3.2 Major patterns of adjective formation

Adjectives formed from verbs chiefly express: (a) purpose, by the suffix -ci, attached to the infinitive stem, a type common in forming technical terms: saci (bagr) 'suction (dredger)' (< sát 'suck'), holici (strojek) 'shaver' (< holit 'shave'); (b) passive potential, by -telný and non-productive -ný: obyvatelný 'habitable' (< obývat 'inhabit'), pitnẏ ‘drinkable’ (< pit 'drink');
(c) propensity, by various suffixes ending in -vý: hravý 'playful' (< hrát 'play'), citlivý ‘sensitive' (< citit 'feel').

Relational adjectives are formed from nouns by four main suffixes: -ový, $-n i ́,-n \dot{y}$, in descending order of productivity, and polyfunctional -ský/-cký. Source nouns are semantically too heterogeneous to provide a detailed survey; a few examples must suffice: hrtanový < hrtan 'larynx', vinový 'burgundy' (colour) < vino 'wine'; výročni < výroči 'anniversary' (-ni is strongly associated with times and places); výzkumný < výzkum 'research' - -ný is often used where there is an underlying verb (here vyzkoumat 'discover’) or with material nouns: senný < seno 'hay’; londýnský < Londýn 'London', vesnický < vesnice 'village', knihovnický < knihovnik 'librarian' or < knihovnictvi 'librarianship', and in loans: energický 'energetic' (< energie), energetický < energetika 'energy (industry)’, luteránský, dogmaticky. A special class of relational adjectives from the names of animals uses the suffix -i: pes 'dog' > psi, tygr 'tiger' > tygři, čáp 'stork' > čapi.

Qualitative adjectives derived from abstracts usually take -ný, while those from concrete nouns have suffixes based on -t-: obyčej-ný 'customary', nuda > nudný 'boredom'-'boring'; roh-atý 'horn-ed', vejce ) vejčitý 'ovoid'.

From existing adjectives suffixation produces augmentatives: široký , širokánský 'wide', and de-intensification of a quality: bilý > bělavý 'whitish', including cases of simultaneous prefixation from associated verbs: nazelenalý 'greenish' < zelenat se < zelený 'green'.

Adjectives are readily formed from adverbs (dole > dolni 'down'-'lower', loni > loňský 'last year-'s'), including numerous prepositional phrases: mezi žebry 'between the ribs' >mezižeberni' intercostal'.

Many types of composition are represented: tmavomodrý 'dark blue', barvoslepý 'colour-blind', motýlokvětý 'papilionaceous' (< motýl 'butterfly', květ 'flower'), dvounohý 'two-legged', samojizdny' 'self-propelled' (< samo + jezdit 'go'); there are also cases of syntactic juxtaposition: chvályhodný 'laudable' (= of-praise-worthy), ohnivzdorný 'fire-resistant' ( $=$ to-fire-resistant), protijedouci 'oncoming' (= opposite going).

### 3.3.3 Major patterns of verb derivation

Verbs are derived by prefixation, prefixation + reflexivization, suffixation, suffixation + reflexivization, prefixation + suffixation, prefixation + suffixation + reflexivization and reflexivization. They are commonly derived from nouns, other verbs and adjectives. From nouns, of whatever semantic class, the suffixes -ovat and -it predominate, with immense variety in the semantics of the resultant verbs: bláznit 'go crazy' < blázen 'madman'; papouškovat 'repeat parrot-fashion' ‘ papoušek 'parrot'; vlnit 'undulate' < vlna 'wave'; formovat 'shape' < forma 'shape, mould'; bagrovat 'dredge' « bagr 'dredger'; brousit 'whet' < brus 'whetstone'; hřešit ‘sin' < hřich 'sin'.

The two main ranges of verbs from adjectives denote changes of state.

Intransitives have the suffixes -ět/-et or -nout (šedivět < šedivý 'grey'; blednout < bledý 'pale'), and usually perfectivize by the 'empty' prefix $z$-. Many exist solely as perfectives (zpřisnět 'become severe' < prísný 'strict', otěhotnět 'become pregnant'). Transitives take the suffix -it and are perfectivized by a variety of often contributory prefixes (vy-čistit < čistý 'clean'; za-hladit < hladky' 'smooth'). Spatial meanings tend to produce intransitive reflexives (prri-blizitit se 'approach' < blizký ‘near'). Many items are derived only by simultaneous prefixation (umožnit 'facilitate' < možný 'possible'), are therefore perfective and regularly imperfectivize by means of -ovat (umožn̆ovat).

The main source of verbs derived from verbs is prefixation (see the discussion of aspect above, pp. 481-4). Among the often polysemic prefixes in use the semantically most opaque is $z$-, rapidly becoming the neutral perfectivizer par excellence.

Secondary prefixation merely exploits one or other meaning of existing prefixes, tacked on to an already prefixed verb, as shown by distributive po- in po-z-hasinat 'put the lights out one by one' or additive při- in prri-ob-jednat 'order extra'. Double prefixation is limited to popo- with verbs of motion (see above) and vyna- + reflexivization, usually in negative contexts (very few verbs can take this): nemúže si ho vynachválit 'he can't speak highly enough of him'.

Derivation by suffixation is preeminently the domain of secondary imperfectivization, the patterns of which are many and various, partially illustrated in section 3.2.1 above. Frequentatives are also formed by suffixation, namely by the suffix -vat with lengthening of a preceding vowel, hence psát > psávat 'write', bolet > bolivat 'ache', chodit , chodivat 'go'. Reduplication of the suffix, as in chodivávat, suggests repetition of the act either at a remoter time or over a longer period. The suffix -nout is used to form semelfactives: padat/padnout 'fall', bouchat/bouchnout 'bang', pipat/ pipnout 'tweet'.

## 4 Syntax

### 4.1 Element order in declarative sentences

Czech is traditionally, if as an oversimplification, described as a language with free word order. This merely means that its inflectional system is so highly developed that there is little scope for ambiguity, and syntactic relations are practically always transparent. Many factors determine word order in real contexts, chief among them being the relative 'communicative dynamism' of constituents. In a neutral sentence the least communicatively dynamic element stands at the beginning and dynamism builds up from left to right until the final constituent, with the highest degree of communicative dynamism; 'subjectively' ordered sentences, with the order reversed
completely, are also to be encountered. In consequence, any constituent may find itself anywhere in the sentence: 'pragmatic word order' takes precedence over syntax and is the main determinant of functional sentence perspective. To take a simple SVO sentence: Petr zabil Pavla 'Peter killed Paul' - traditionally regarded as the neutral order - has the subject Petr as theme and zabil Pavla as rheme. In fact, it is no more neutral than Pavla zabil Petr 'Paul was killed by Peter', with 'Paul's being killed' as theme and 'Peter' as rheme, or 'Paul' as theme and his 'being killed by Peter' as rheme. Both stand in opposition to Petr Pavla zabil and Pavla Petr zabil, which are less neutral, less likely to be unlinked contextually, and have the rhematic part occupied by the verb, that is 'Peter killed Paul', or 'Paul was killed by Peter'. (This flexibility of word order compensates for the relatively low incidence of passive constructions in Czech.)

The pressure of syntax may add to the stability of word order overall, but rarely to the extent that a given order is rigid. Relatively rigid is the position of adjectives before the nouns they qualify, or of dependent infinitives following the verbs on which they depend; reverse orderings are marked (and are due to convention - noun-adjective inversion in abuse or terminology: husa pitomá 'stupid cow', kyselina octová 'acetic acid' - or to the stronger pressure of functional sentence perspective). Most rigid is the postpositioning of attributes in the genitive (the type žena střednich let 'a woman of middle age').

Another influence on word order is the placing of enclitics, elements lacking word stress, which generally follow the first stressed constituent in the clause. Czech enclitics are: the past and conditional auxiliaries, the atonic ('short', 'weak') forms of the personal pronouns (for example, mi, se, ho as opposed to mně, sebe, jeho), analogous uses of other personal pronouns lacking distinctive atonic forms, the conjunction -li (always hyphenated to the first word in the clause, usually the verb), and a small number of particles (ale 'though', teda/tedy 'so', však 'however, though', sometimes asi and snad - conjectural particles roughly denoting 'probably' and 'possibly'); the last have various other non-enclitic functions.

The rules for enclitic ordering are basically straightforward:
I indirect question marker -li takes precedence overall; followed by
II any past or conditional auxiliary;
III any reflexive pronoun, even as particle;
IV any non-reflexive dative pronoun;
V other pronouns;
VI and, finally, any particles present.
Example:

Ptali se, nemělo-li by se mu/jim to tedy ríct. asked-3.PL NEG-had-N.SG-if COND REFL he/they-DAT it-NOM so tell-INF 'They asked whether he/they ought not then to be told.'
(The example is, frankly, cumbersome; the probability that all the subsidiary slots would be filled in reality is low.) In the example, $m u$ and se are enclitic forms of the respective pronouns, while to and jim are enclitic uses of the single available forms. Compare for non-enclitic forms and uses in such sentences as

Dali jsme to jemu/jim.
'We gave it to him/them.'
Jemu/jim jsme to dali.
'It was him/them we gave it to.'
To jsme mu neřekli.
'That isn't what we told him.'
Just as pronouns with only one form are also used enclitically, so too in large measure are the 'prepositional cases' of pronouns, which also have only a single form, hence

Šli jsme s nim tam včera.
'We went there with him yesterday.'
although the pressure of the communicative dynamism of other elements may often leave such phrases with no other choice:

Tam by bez něho nešli.
'They wouldn't go there without him.'
Contrast: bez něho by tam nešli, which picks up the previously mentioned possibility of going without him, while nešli by tam bez něho emphasizes the (in)conceivability of going without him, or indeed without him. Rules are impossible to give in this area of considerable subtlety.

Since the fixed position of the enclitics after the first stressed constituent often leaves them at the end of a (short) sentence, rhythmical pressures clearly outweigh communicative dynamism: in a cross-referential function these pronouns represent 'old' information and 'ought' therefore to be closer to the beginning of the sentence. Particularly in subordinate clauses, enclitics, especially se, may slip into the third slot if preceded by a (relatively) stressed thematic element:

Jisté namítnete, ..., že' něco podobného"1 se"II' může stát jen v Americe. (press)
'You will certainly note that something similar can happen only in America.'
Such 'slippage' is increasingly common in spoken registers, even without the feature of stress. The language is clearly developing in this area.

### 4.2 Non-declarative sentence types

Interrogative expressions for wH questions are listed in table 9.13: they are supplemented by a number of others, chiefly relating to time and reason, such as dokdy 'until when'; odkdy 'since when'; co. . .za (+ ACC) 'what kind of'; proč 'why'. Intonation in wh questions is generally similar to that of declarative sentences. In marked variants the interrogative word may move away from the beginning of the sentence to the middle: a do divadla kdy tedy puijdeš? 'so when are you going to the theatre?', or to the end: a do divadla půjdeš tedy kdy? 'So when are you going to the theatre?'. Such variants have a rising-falling intonation contour, peaking on $k d y$.

Yes-no questions have distinctive cadences - rising or falling - to distinguish them unambiguously from statements, often as the only mark of the interrogative function, for example:

## Přijde

'He's coming': - _
Přijde?
'Is he coming?': - - or - -
In longer sentences the cadence extends over the rhematic element only: Koupila sis ty hodinky? ‘Did you buy that watch?': ... _-- -

More visibly marked as interrogative are sentences with the subject preceding the verb:

Zabil Petr Pavla?
'Did Peter kill Paul?' or:
Zabil Pavla Petr?
'Was it Peter who killed Paul?'
although SVO order and other permutations with interrogative intonation are equally possible.

Many yes-no questions may be formulated as negative or positive, that is, without any presupposition as to the likely answer; the difference may be neutralized, especially with the verb initially or finally:
$\mathrm{Ne}-/$ byli sousedé doma?
Sousedé doma ne-/byli?
'Were the neighbours in? (or not).'

In the medial position the choice of negative or positive tends to imply the particular presupposition:

[^1]That is the position as cautiously described in Mluvnice češtiny (III: 325), but informants' responses suggest that the permutations of negative and positive with various intonation contours may or need not produce neutralization practically irrespective of verb position.

The difference is not neutralized in questions conveying hope, fear or a desire for reassurance, confirmation and so on:

Vzala sis ten prášek?
'Have you taken that pill?' (I hope you have)
Nerozbije to takhle?
'Won't he break it like that?' (I'm afraid he might)
Neřekneš to na nás?
'You won't tell on us?' (Please don't)
Yes-no questions may open with the interrogative particles zdalipak or jestlipak, comparable to English sentences with 'I wonder if ...'. Their affinity with WH questions gives them the neutral falling intonation.

Presumptive yes-no questions may be introduced by the particle že, which also carries the intonation peak (že přišla zase pozdě? 'she came late again, didn't she?'), or terminated by tag questions having the forms: že ano after a positive statement, že ne after a negative statement, more colloquially just $\check{z} e$ for either, or vid or vidte, depending on whether the interlocutors are on ty or vy terms:

```
V Oxfordu jsme byli ve čtvrtek, že (ano)?
'We were in Oxford on Thursday, weren't we?'
Nerada by s námi mluvili beze svědků, že (ne)?
'She wouldn't like to speak to us without witnesses, would she?'
Půjdeš/půjde tam, viđ`?
'You (sG)/he will go there, won't you/he?'
```

Polite requests, cautious advice and so on can often be expressed in question form, in which case the positive-negative difference is again largely neutralized, as is that between indicative and conditional, hence:

Bude vám vadit, když otevǐu okno?
Nebude vám vadit, když otevřu okno?
Vadilo by vám, kdybych otevǐel okno?
Nevadilo by vám, kdybych otevřel okno?
all versions of 'Do/would you mind if I open/ed the window', sometimes described as ascending in order of relative politeness and/or uncertainty as to the response. Modal verbs figure to a huge extent in this type:
'Could you lend it to him?'

Neměli byste se mu omluvit? (NEG and COND only)
'Oughtn't you to apologize to him?' etc.
Responses to questions: Ano and ne ('yes' and 'no') are used according to the truth value of the reply, independently of that implied by the form of the question, hence both:

Más pro mě moment?
Nemáš pro mě moment?
'Can you spare me a moment?'
will be answered $A n o$ if a moment is available and $N e$ in the reverse case. It is, however, common for a positive answer to a negative question to be supported by ale 'but':

Neudělá to? Ale ano
'Won't he do it?' 'Yes, he will.'
Short answers requiring more than 'yes' or 'no' repeat the finite verb of the question, or any future or modal auxiliary present, adjusted for person. For the past and conditional the l-participles serve this function (the auxiliaries, as enclitics, are precluded):

Přijdeš v úterý? - Prijdu
'Are you coming on Tuesday?' 'Yes, I am.'
Nechce si ji vzit? - Nechce
'Doesn't he want to marry her?' 'No, he doesn't.'
Budeme malovat? - Budeme
'Are we going to paint the house?' 'Yes, we are.'
Udělal by nám to? - Udělal
'Would he do it for us?' 'Yes, he would.'
Similarly for a positive response to a negative question, in which ale is also fairly common:

Nešel by tam? - Ale šel
'Wouldn't he go there?' 'Yes ('But') he would'
Indirect wh questions use the same inventory as direct questions; indirect yes-no questions are introduced by jestli or, more formally, zda. Zdali in the same function is on the decline, while $-l i$, if attached to the clause-initial verb, is stylistically neutral. Attachment of $-l i$ to other constituents is an archaic poetic device only.

The unmarked form for commands is the morphological imperative of the verb. Non-morphological 'imperatives', that is, desideratives and optatives, are formed by means of the particles at or necht 'let' combined with the indicative, or kéz 'would that' with the indicative or conditional. The former come closest to true imperatives in utterances such as at to
koupi Petr 'let Peter buy it', necht' ABC je trojuhelnik takový, že ... 'let ABC be a triangle such that ...' (compare also mějme trojuhelnik $A B C . .$. 'let us take a triangle ABC ...'), while at mi už nechodi na oči 'let him not come to my eyes any more' ('I don't want to see him again') is just one example of the many emotional shades which the construction may convey, in all persons, moreover: pozor, at ho tim žebřikem neprašti/š 'look out, mind he doesn't/you don't bash him with that ladder'.

In the imperative, aspect operates as elsewhere in the verb system: a positive command seeking an outcome, a new state of affairs, will be perfective; a positive command enjoining a principle, or the continuance of an existing state of affairs, or the onset of an action, will be imperfective. A negative command proper will be imperfective, while one that embodies a warning will be perfective, hence:

Napiš dopisy a pošli je.
'Write the letters and post them.'
Pis!
'Carry on writing.' or 'Start writing.'
Dopisy nepiš v ruce, ale na stroji!
'Don't write (the) letters by hand, but type them.'
Nenapiš nějakou blbost!
'Mind you don't go and write anything stupid.'
Similarly in constructions with at:
Af jí o tom nenapîse/-s!
'Mind he doesn't/you don't write to her about it'
Commands with at range from the gentle admonition:
$A \mathfrak{i}$ slušně poděkuje/š!
'Make sure he says/you say thankyou nicely'
to the categorical imperative:
Af to tu máte/mají pěkně uklizeno, než přijde šéf!
'Make sure you/they have the place properly tidied up before the boss gets here!'.
Even the most categorical or aggressive type of imperative, the infinitive, as in sednout! 'siddown!’, snožit! 'legs together!', may be used to formulate an ordinary request, as in the dentist's pusu otevřit 'mouth open, please'.

Optative sentences, when not expressed as questions (requests) or commands, or by lexical means, may be introduced by kéž with the conditional, or more rarely with the indicative:

A more frequent construction in Modern Czech uses jen aby or jen at:
Jen aby priišel včas.
'I hope he gets here in time.'
Jen at prijde včas.
'I just hope/Just as long as he gets here in time!'
The past conditional kéz construction is used to convey wishes that are beyond fulfilment, that is, expressing regret:

Kéž bychom tam byli nešli!
'If only we hadn't gone there!'
while jen aby becomes in these contexts (jen) kdyby:
(Jen) kdyby toho tolik nenaslibova!!
'If only he didn't make so many promises!'
Wishes may also be expressed by the infinitive:
Umět zpívat tak hezky jako Jana!
'If only I could sing as well as Jana!'

### 4.3 Copular sentences

The main copular verb is být and its frequentative bývat; it can never be omitted. It is in strong competition with mit 'have' as a semantically largely empty verb in several types of clause: her eyes were blue (preferred in English over the equally correct she had blue eyes) has as the preferred counterpart oči měla modré, as against the equally possible jeji oči byly modré.

Instrumental-case complementation after copular stát se 'become' is obligatory, for nouns or adjectives, but after zdát se 'seem' as a quasicopula such complementation is obsolete; it may still be encountered in literature round the turn of the century (compare the example from Zeyer in SSJČ: Vltava zdála se řekou z temného jantaru 'the Moldau seemed (like) a river of dark amber'). Adjectival complements after zdát se are common in the nominative, but obsolescent in the instrumental.

After být, competition between instrumental and nominative in noun predicates is governed perhaps more by tendencies than rules. Uličny's extensive discussion of the topic (1984: 152-94) provides a complex sentence-semantic analysis of the opposition. However, 'In choosing between them [nominative and instrumental] the variation stems from semantic, period, stylistic and individual differences' (Mluvnice češtiny, III: 221). The prevailing distinction is for 'permanent attributes' to be expressed by nominative, transient, temporary, acquired (that is, pro-
fessions and callings) or randomly distributed properties by instrumental; in less formal contexts nominative predominates:

Lev je kočkovitá Selma.
'The lion is a feline beast of prey.'
Tamta žena je moje sestra/Francouzka.
'That woman is my sister/French', but:
Náš nový soused je bankérem/bankéř.
'Our new neighbour is a banker.' (Note here how one asks after a person's calling:
C'im je? 'What (INST) is (he/she).')
Rozumné zacházení s penězi je jedinou zárukou/jediná záruka úspěchu.
'The wise handling of money is the only guarantee of success.'
With subject and predicate inverted, instrumental becomes obligatory (as in this version of the previous example):

Jedinou zárukou úspěchu je rozumné zacházení s penězi.
Expressions normally associated with permanency of the attribute tend to switch to instrumental in various unreal context types:

Kdybych byl tvým otcem já, ...
'If I were your father, ...'
Additional qualifiers may induce a (non-obligatory) switch from nominative to instrumental:

Praha je město v Čechách.
'Prague is a city in Bohemia', but:
Praha je hlavním městem/hlavní město České republiky.
'Prague is the capital of the Czech Republic', or
Petr byl jeho syn.
'Peter was his son', but:
Petr byl synem tehdy nejznámějšího českého houslisty.
'Peter was the son of the then best-known Czech violinist.'
In adjectival predicates there is no nominative-instrumental opposition equivalent to that in noun types (dictionary citations are marked 'obsolete'). As an equally peripheral alternative to the instrumental, contemporary standard Czech uses short forms of adjectives (Uličný 1984: 180). About a dozen 'short' adjectives proper are alive and in use after the copula, usually in meanings distinct from those of the long forms, for example, být zvědavý 'be inquisitive' (by nature), být zvědav 'wonder (whether)'; být spokojený 'be contented' (by nature), být spokojen $s$ 'be satisfied with (something)'; vědomý 'conscious, deliberate', být si vědom, že 'be aware that'; schopny' 'able', schopen + genitive 'capable of'. Many more short adjectives were used in nineteenth-century literature and still
have a tenuous existence, exploited either for fun or as a conspicuous marker of the grander styles.

### 4.4 Coordination and comitativity

The simple coordinating conjunctions in Czech are: copulative: $a$ 'and', $i$ emphatic 'and', ani 'neither, nor, and not, not even'; adversative: ale 'but', avšak 'however'; disjunctive: nebo 'or', či 'or'. Emphatic variants consist of more than one word:

Má psa, ba i kočku.
'He has a dog, and even a cat.'
Nemá psa, ba ani kočku.
'He doesn't have a dog, or even a cat.'
Yoked conjunctions use the pairs $i-i$, jak - tak $i$, nejen - ale $i / n y ́ b r z z$ i/nýbrž také 'both - and; not only - but also', jednak - jednak 'on the one hand - on the other', ani - ani 'neither - nor', bud' - nebo 'either - or'; of most interest is the often enclitic sice followed by ale/avšak 'while - nevertheless':

Má jak psa, tak i kočku.
'He has both a dog and a cat.'
Má sice psa, ale také kočku.
'He does have a dog, but a cat as well.'
Copulative coordination occurs at all levels of syntax, using the neutral conjunctions $a$ (positive) and ani (negative). While $i$ reinforces the link between items, its use between clauses may entail ambiguities which are overcome by resort to other devices (a ještě, a dokonce):

Petr řekl, že priijde, a priišel.
'Peter said he would come, and he did.'
Petr řekl, že priijde, i priséel.
'Peter said he would come, and indeed he came.'
Umyla nádobí i (a ještě) podlahu utřela.
'She washed the dishes and also wiped the floor.'
The conjunctions $a$ and $i$ provide a useful device for hierarchizing copulative constructions:

Slunce pozlacovalo bilou haciendu i zeleñ trávniků a běl stromů i keřu..
'The sun gilded the white hacienda and the green of the lawns, and the white of the trees and shrubs.'
(Mluvnice češtiny, III: 339)
Of the other conjunctions mentioned above, the expression of 'not only - but also' with clauses takes the form nejenže - nýbrž/ale:

Nejenže přišel pozdě, nýbrž se také neomluvil.
'Not only did he arrive late, but he also didn't apologize.' or:
Nejenže priisel pozdě, ale ani se neomluvil.
'Not only did he arrive late, but he didn't even apologize.'
When noun phrases are conjoined, the question of agreement arises. With noun phrases to the left of the verb, the latter will almost invariably be in the plural. Agreement with mixed-gender noun phrases (for past tenses and conditional) is dominated in turn by any masculine-animate, masculine-inanimate and feminine, for example:

Pes a kočka seděli (M AN PL) na rohožce.
'The dog and the cat were sitting on the mat.'
Dům/Domy (MINAN) i stáda (N PL) byly (M INAN PL) zničeny.
'The house/houses and flocks were destroyed.'
Kočka ( F ) a kotě ( N ) seděly ( FPL ) ...
'The cat and the kitten were sitting ...'
Anomalous agreement occurs with neuters: with a subject consisting solely of neuters, any one of which is singular, the verb agrees as for feminines:

Kotě ( NSG ) a ště̌nata ( N PL) seděly ( F PL) ...
'The kitten and puppies sat ...'
though with all elements neuter plural both feminine and neuter plural agreement are possible:

Kơata a štěňata seděly/seděla ..
'The kittens and puppies were sitting ...'
With the noun phrases following the verb, agreement is usually with the nearest conjunct:

Na rohožce seděl pes a kočka.
Na rohožce seděla kočka a pes.
However, the plurality of a complex subject can be anticipated:
Na rozhožce seděli pes a kočka.
We now turn to comitativity. The only common hypotactic device expressing coordination is the preposition $s$ 'with'; it can only be used where there is close lexico-semantic equivalence between the joined constituents:

Marie $s$ bratrem (rodiči, *psem) šli (M AN PL) za bývalou učitelkou.
'Mary and her brother (parents, *dog) went to see her former teacher.'

The construction is widely used where the left-hand member of the pairing is not expressed directly; given that a non-emphatic personal pronoun subject is represented by zero, a coordinated construction would be impossible, hence:

Kde je Petr? Jeli (PL) se s Marií podívat za její učitelkou.
'Where's Peter? He and Mary (with Mary they) have gone to see her teacher.'
S Marií půjdete (2 PL) do krámu a koupiśs (2 SG) jí tužku.
'You and Mary will go to the shop and you'll buy her a pencil.'
If circumstances require insertion of the pronoun, it will be plural:
My s bratrem jsme to neviděli.
'My brother and I (we with brother) haven't seen it.'
Generally speaking, the chain $\mathrm{N}+s+\mathrm{N}$ forms a close unit and will be not interrupted by other constituents; thus in the last example it is not split by the enclitics, as in

My jsme to s bratrem nevidèli.
which will usually mean 'We (others) did not see it with our brother', but, given the closeness between the two variants and the form lacking the subject pronoun:

S bratrem jsme to neviděli.
it is inevitably ambiguous.
Comitative constructions outside the subject are all potentially ambiguous and and-coordination is unquestionably preferred.

As follows from the examples, plural agreement in the verb ensures that the $\mathrm{N}+s+\mathrm{N}$ phrase is comitative, even if the subject has the form ( $\mathrm{N}=\emptyset$ ) $+s+\mathrm{N}$; there is no need to interpret $s+\mathrm{N}$ as an adverbial phrase. Were the verb in the singular, there is inevitable ambiguity, but a comitative interpretation may apply in some circumstances:

Marie s bratrem jela za bývalou učitelkou.
'Mary and her brother went to see her former teacher.'
Despite the potential ambiguities, comitative constructions are common in Czech and rarely genuinely ambiguous in context.

### 4.5 Subordination

Simple 'that'-subordination is expressed by the conjunction že, which, like all subordinating conjunctions, must be preceded by a comma:

Řekl, že prijde.
'He said he would come.'
The basic conjunction for time-clauses in past and present is $k d y z ̌$, in most senses of 'when':

Když hledal ponožky, našel pod postelí stovku.
'When/As he was looking for his sock he found a 100-crown note under the bed.'
In the present the main use is to express simultaneous and habitual events:

Když jde na nákup, bere s sebou čtyři tašky.
'When he goes shopping he takes four bags with him.'
A single event in the present requires the support of $t e d$ 'now':

Teđ̛ když o tom vím, mohu jim to říct.
'Now that I know, I can tell them.'
For future events $k d y z ̌$ is replaced by $a z ̌$, in all types:
Až půjdeš kolem divadla, podívej se, co dávají.
'When you go past the theatre, have a look what's on.'
Simultaneity can be expressed explicitly by zatimco:

Já jsem opakoval dějepis, zatímco sestra dělala fyziku.
'I was revising my history, while my sister was doing her physics.'
However, the same conjunction may express a contrast between actions not necessarily simultaneous:

Já jsem studoval dějepis, zatímco sestra se dala na přírodovědu.
'I studied history, while my sister has gone in for science.'
Simultaneity with a conditional end-point to parallel states of affairs is expressed by dokud:

Dokud byl chudý, na auto ani nepomýšlel.
'While he was poor, he didn't even contemplate a car.'
Posteriority of the time clause is expressed by než:

Došli jsme tam, než přišel doktor.
'We got there before the doctor arrived.'
Recurrent events are introduced by kdykoli 'whenever' or pokaždé když
'each time that'; in present contexts such singularized repeated events are expressed by the 'perfective present':

Kdykoli ho potkala/potká, začala/začne na něj štěkat.
'Whenever she met/meets him she started/starts to snap at him.'
Pokaždé když ho potká, začne na něj štěkat.
'Whenever she meets him ...'
Other time conjunctions include jakmile 'as soon as', dokud ... ne- 'until' and od té doby, co 'since'.

The primary conjunction of causality is protože, which may also occur in correlative subordination as proto, že; compare:

Zemřel, protože ho špatně krmili.
'He died because they didn't feed him properly.'
Zemrel proto, že ho špatně krmili.
'The reason he died was that they didn't feed him properly.'
Other conjunctions include poněvadž and jelikož ‘since', obsolescent ježto and numerous secondary conjunctions: diky tomu, že 'thanks to the fact that', vzhledem $k$ tomu, že 'in view of the fact that', $v$ důsledku toho, že 'in consequence of the fact that', $z$ toho, že 'as a result of the fact that', za to, že 'on the grounds that'.

Explanation is introduced by nebot 'for'; the clause introduced by it, unlike all the foregoing, must follow the main clause.

Consequence is expressed paratactically by a proto, a tedy, a tudiž, a z toho důvodu, a následkem toho, and hypotactically by takže:

Nemá peníze, a proto si auto nekoupí.
'He hasn't any money, so he's not going to buy a car.'
Staniční rozhlas strašně chrastil, takže jsem hlášení pořádně neslyšel.
'The station loudspeaker was terribly crackly, so I didn't hear the announcement properly.'

Real conditions are introduced by jestli (informal), jestliže, když, jak or $-l i$, all 'if', and $v$ prípadë, že 'in the event that':

Už nikdy s tebou nebudu mluvit, jestliže hned neodejdeš.
'I'll never speak to you again if you don't go away at once.'
Když nevî́s, o čem mluviš, mlč!
'If you don't know what you're talking about, keep quiet!'
Counterfactual conditional clauses require the conjunction $k d y b y$, which contains the conditional auxiliary and conjugates accordingly:

Kdybych věděl, že přijdeš, upekl bych dort.
'If I were to know you were coming, I would bake a cake.'

Similarly for a past unreal condition：
Kdybych byl věděl，že přijdeš，byl bych upekl dort． ＇If I＇d known you were coming I＇d have baked a cake．＇

In informal discourse the second part of the auxiliary（byl）may be deleted from either clause，or，if the time－plane is obvious，from both．

For concessive clauses the main conjunctions are ačkoli，třebaže and $i$ když：

Ačkoli ví velmi dobře，co se od něho chce，dělá，že neví．
＇Although he knows full well what is expected of him，he pretends not to know．＇
A common device is the particle sice：translatable sometimes as a con－ cessive conjunction，it actually anticipates an adversative clause：

Anglicky sice neuměl，ale dobře pochopil，o co jí jde． ＇（While）He didn＇t speak English，but he well understood what was on her mind．＇

Clauses denoting purpose are introduced primarily by the conjunction $a b y$ ，which conjugates like the conditional auxiliary from which it derives；it is accompanied by the $l$－participle，never an infinitive．After main clauses containing verbs of motion，aby－clauses are frequently replaced by an infinitive．Some of the types below are more likely to be encountered in colloquial registers only（while not being deemed non－standard）：

1 Subjects of both clauses（or whole verb phrase）are identical：
Jel jsem k nim，abych se podíval na novou kočku．＇I went to see their new cat．＇ Jel jsem se k nim podívat na novou kočku．
For a single round trip，provided no adverbs of direction are required， an infinitive construction with být is used：
Byl jsem se podívat na jejich novou kočku．
2 Subjects of the two verbs differ；in many of these cases the infinitive construction is preferred：
Nechal auto stát（aby stálo）před domem．
＇He left his car standing outside the house．＇
Pošleme Petra koupit（aby koupil）mléko．
＇We＇ll send Peter to buy milk．＇
3 A type that is colloquial only，and therefore not mentioned in the Academy grammar，is the context－bound：

Kam chceš ten žebřík postavit？
＇Where do you want the ladder put？＇

Context-free, the meaning is unambiguously 'Where do you want to put the ladder?', but the same clause may imply a subject of postavit not even mentioned and is equivalent to the equally colloquial
Kam chceš, abych ten žebřík postavit?
'Where do you want me to put the ladder?'
a rare instance where (here) an adverb is extracted from the subordinate clause predicate (postavit někam).

Certain types of questions (direct or indirect) containing modality may also be replaced by an infinitive construction:

Nemá, komu by to řekl / Nemá to komu říct.
'He has nobody to tell it to.'
Neví, komu by to řekl / Neví komu to ríct.
'He doesn't know who to tell.'
Není, komu by to řekl / Není komu to ríct.
'There isn't anyone (for him) to tell.'
Where the agent need not be expressed, the infinitive construction is preferred.

The relative pronoun for a substantival antecedent is který (more formally jenž), which must be preceded by a comma. There is then no device for distinguishing restrictive and non-restrictive relative clauses. However, their participial counterparts can discriminate, by the same punctuation rules as in English, between the two types:

Nejstaršíčlen, který sedí/seděl v první radě, je/byl můj strýc.
'The oldest member(,) who is/was sitting in the front row(,) is/was my uncle.'
Nejstarší člen sedící v první řadě je/byl můj strýc.
'The oldest member sitting in the front row is/was my uncle.' (there may be older members elsewhere)
Nejstarší člen, sedící v první radě, je/byl můj strýc.
'The oldest member, sitting in the front row, is/was my uncle.' (the oldest member, my uncle, was sitting in the front row)

Other relative pronouns depend on the nature of the antecedent, with which they correlate: to, co 'that which', cokoli, co 'anything that', tam, kde 'the place where', každý, kdo 'anyone who', etc.

In addition to the infinitive and participial phrases, gerundial phrases may be used as a condensing device. They replace clauses of time or cause/ reason expressing events simultaneous with (imperfective, 'present' gerund) or anterior to (perfective, 'past' gerund) those conveyed by the main clause, irrespective of the tense of the latter:

Proplýtvala celý den, nemajíc co dělat.
'She squandered the entire day, having nothing to do.'

Priisedši domů, hned zatopila, aby starý dủm ožil.
'Having arrived home, she lit a fire at once so that the old house would come back to life.'

Use of the gerunds is governed by several factors: (a) they are confined, with the exception of a few idiomatic fossils (chtě nechtě 'willy-nilly'), to higher, written styles; some writers exploit them to great effect; (b) they can only be used where the subjects of the main clause and gerundial phrase are identical; (c) they must agree with the main-clause subject in gender and number, but, unlike morphological errors in, say, declension, errors here are common and rarely provoke any corrective response in an interlocutor - a side-effect of the retention of an obsolete feature only imperfectly mastered at school; (d) the imperfective gerund is relatively more widely used than the perfective.

Constraints on extraction out of subordinate clauses are very strong in Czech, and it is difficult to gain clear evidence of actual extractions from informants. Nor is it described in grammars, and mutations of such English types as the man that I think that you saw or the man who you said saw you produce uncertain responses and/or their blunt rejection as gross, uneducated, colloquial or calquing distortions. There are always other means to express the same ideas, namely adverbials or particles such as podle mě, for 'I think', or prý, for 'you (or anyone else!) said', or full clauses. Nevertheless, some types are to be heard, in one of the following forms:
?muž, kterého si myslím, žes viděl man-NOM who-ACC REFL.DAT think-1.SG that+AUX.2.SG saw-M.SG
?muž, co si myslím, žes ho viděl man what REFL.DAT think-1.SG that+AUX.2.SG him-ACC saw-M.SG
?muž, $\cos \quad$ řekl, že tě viděl
man what+AUX.2.SG said-M.SG that thou-ACC saw-M.SG
None of these examples is authentic, but informants concede they could occur. If clauses, rather than adverbials, were to be used to 'rectify' them, the (variously acceptable) replacements could be, for example:
muž, o kterém si myslím, žes ho vidèl 'the man of whom I think that you saw him' muž, o kterém jsi řekl, že tě viděl 'the man of whom you said that he saw you'
or
muž, kterého jsi(,) myslím(,) viděl (with myslim as a weak parenthesis) muž, který tě, jak říkáš, viděl (with parenthetic 'as you say')

### 4.6 Negation

Sentence negation is expressed by the prefix $n e$ - attached to the verb:
Petr neplave.
'Peter doesn't swim.'
This produces a single word, so the negator attracts the stress. In the past tense and conditional it is attached to the $l$-participle:

Na Madagaskaru ještè nebyl.
'He hasn't been to Madagascar yet.'
Na Madagaskar bych nechtěl jet.
'I wouldn't like to go to Madagascar.'
Only in the past conditional is there a choice of position:
Nebyli byste jí to řekli. / Byli byste jí to neřekli.
'You wouldn't have told her.'
In the imperfective future, $n e$ - is attached to the auxiliary:
Petr se nebude učit.
'Peter won't study.'
Similarly, it is attached to the modal auxiliaries, which are therefore what it negates; hence, for example:

Petr se musí učit.
'Peter must (has to) study.'
Petr se nemusí učit.
'Peter needn't (doesn't have to) study.'
Petr smí prijít.
'Peter may (is allowed to) come.'
Petr nesmí prijít.
'Peter must not (is not allowed to) come.'
The difference between subjective (deontic) and objective (epistemic) modality has no effect on the location of the negator, though out of context certain potential ambiguities arise:

Petr nemusí prijít.
'Peter needn't come.' / 'Peter may not turn up.'
Petr to nemohl vypít
'Peter couldn't drink it.' / 'Peter can't have drunk it.'
Constituent negation is expressed by the free negative particle ne, or, more emphatically, nikoli; when constituent negation is associated with adversativity, a common concomitant element is the particle však:

Byl jsem všude, nikoli však v Římě.
'I've been everywhere, but not to Rome.'
Quantifiers can be negated:
Ne všichni tomu věrí.
'Not all of them believe it.'
Ne každý by si to koupil.
'Not everyone would buy that.'
but they are very commonly replaced by apparent sentence negation:
Všichni tomu nevě̌í or Každý by si to nekoupil
the literal meanings of which, 'They all (don't believe) it', that is, 'No one believes it', or 'Everyone would (not buy) it', that is, 'No one would buy it', are more theoretical than probable.

With total negation, negative elements accumulate; any negative subject or object pronoun or pronoun-adverb is reinforced by $n e$-in the verb:

Nikdo to nekoupil.
'No one bought it.'
Petr nekoupil nic.
'Peter didn't buy anything.'
Nemohli to koupit nikde.
'They couldn't buy it anywhere.'
Nikdy nikde nekupovali nic.
'They never ever bought anything anywhere.'
Two negatives with a (restricted) positive meaning are possible where one of them is lexical, or in verbal phrases containing infinitives:

On není ně̌ikovný.
'He isn't useless.' (he's potentially quite handy)
Nechce kvůli tomu nespat.
'He doesn't want to lose sleep over it.' (he doesn't want because of that not to sleep)

The direct object after a negative is in the accusative. The negative genitive object survives as a feature of archaizing styles only. In Old Czech it was practically regular, and in the seventeenth century it was encouraged as a purist attack on the 'Latin' accusative that had begun to prevail; even in this century, however, some writers have still used it in free variation with the accusative. Survivals in modern standard Czech are semi-idiomatic phrases, mostly involving mass nouns or abstracts and the verb mit 'have' with the expression of quantity as the underlying motivating factor, for example, nemit peněz/ani haléře/naděje/sil/nejmenši přičiny 'not have
money/a single penny/hope/the strength/the slightest grounds'; in all these the accusative is now preferred. Similarly neznat mezi 'know no bounds' is yielding to neznat meze. In one (?) case only do both forms continue to compete, namely nezamhouřit oka 'not get a wink of sleep', nezamhourit oko 'not shut one's eye'.

The subject genitive is equally restricted; it occurs chiefly with být (always neuter singular), but also zůstat 'remain' and zbýt 'be left':

Není důvodu si domnívat, že ...
'There is no reason to suppose that ...'
Po sněhu nezbylo/nezůstalo ani památky/stopy.
'There wasn't a hint/trace of the snow remaining.'
In most cases a nominative subject is now preferred, as also in the isolated idiom, from minout 'pass':
nemine dne (GEN)/den (NOM) (, aby ... ne-).
'Not a day passes (without -ing)'.
Most surviving phrases containing subject genitive bear other marks of their idiomatic quality, which helps to sustain them. The more complex an idiomatic or phrasal unit, the greater the resistance to the switch from genitive to nominative, hence in the rhyming proverb:

Není šprochu, aby na něm nebylo pravdy trochu.
'There's no smoke without fire.' (literally 'There's no rumour that doesn't have a bit of truth in it.')
šprochu (GEN) is supported by the rhyme and cannot be replaced by šproch (NOM).

### 4.7 Anaphora and pronouns

Czech normally requires subject personal pronouns only for emphasis, contrast and so on:

Kdo by řekl, že to udělá?!
'Who would have thought (= 'said') he'd do it?'
Kdo by řekl, že to udělá on?!
'Who would have thought he would do it?'
On by to udělal, ale ona nechce.
'He would do it, but she doesn't want to.'
Kdo to udělá? On, nebo ona?
'Who'll do it? He or she?'
Identity of subjects in two successive clauses is typically expressed by congruency between the finite verbs, the second subject being deleted:

V samoobsluze narazila Marie ${ }_{a}$ na bývalou spolužačku ${ }_{b}$. Deset let $\mathrm{ji}_{b}$ neviděla, ale hned ji poznala.
'Mary bumped into an old classmate in the supermarket. She hadn't seen her for ten years, but she recognized her at once.'

However, a change of subject in the second clause produces ambiguity in the third, which need not be resolved even by insertion of an additional pronoun:

Marie ${ }_{a}$ byla na nákupu. U pokladny na ni ${ }_{a}$ narazila bývalá spolužačka ${ }_{b}$ a hned $\mathrm{ji}_{a}$ poznala.
'Mary was out shopping. Her former classmate bumped into her at the check-out and she recognized her at once.'

To ensure that Marie is the subject of poznala, Marie would have to be repeated in the third clause; there is no device, except a relative clause, to ensure that 'classmate' is the subject.

To achieve a change of subject Czech typically uses the demonstrative (not personal) pronoun:

V samoobsluze narazila Marie ${ }_{a}$ na bývalou spolužačku ${ }_{b} . \mathrm{Ta}_{b} \mathrm{ji}_{a}$ deset let neviděla, ale hned $\mathrm{ji}_{a}$ poznala.

Any theoretical ambiguity about the third clause is eliminated by semantic and pragmatic considerations. Obviously, with a gender difference between the two denotates no ambiguity can arise - where the predicate relies on past-tense forms. In other instances the scope for ambiguity is broader:

Marie $s$ ním měla mluvit doma, ale nevěděla, kdy tam vlastně bude.
'She was to speak to him at home, but she didn't know when he/she would actually be there.'

The ambiguity, which would apply equally with nevědĕl ('he didn't know'), can be eliminated by the use of various classes of pronoun:
... nevěděla, kdy tam vlastně sama bude
'when she would be there herself'
$\because$. nevěděla, kdy tam vlastně on bude
'when he would be there'
While a common subject in two successive clauses is not repeated, a common object is identified by means of a personal pronoun:

Jan potkal cizince a pozval ho k sobě domů.
'John met a foreigner and invited him home.'
Subsequent common objects may, however, be deleted:

Potkal cizince, pozval ho $k$ sobě domů a představil rodičům.
'He met a foreigner, invited him home and introduced (him) to his parents.'
Cataphoric cross-reference is rare. The only standard occurrences are where the first member is in parenthesis:

Řekni to Pavlovi a, potkáš-li ho ${ }_{a}$, taky Petrovi ${ }_{a}$.
'Tell Paul, and, if you meet him, Peter as well.'

### 4.8 Reflexives and reciprocals

Reflexivity is expressed primarily by the free morpheme se. It is often described as a particle rather than a pronoun on the grounds of the many functions in which it is referentially empty (in passive and/or impersonal constructions, in the wide range of verbs that are reflexiva tantum and so on), and because under emphasis or where agreement might be required it behaves differently from other pronoun objects, even with such quintessentially reflexive verbs as mýt se 'wash':

Umyl ho. / Jeho umyl.
'He washed him.' / 'He washed him.'
Umyl se. / Sám se umyl.
'He washed.' / 'He washed himself.' (not umyl sebe)
Umyl ho(ACC) celého(ACC).
'He gave him a thorough wash', but
Umyl se celý(NOM).
'He had a thorough wash.'
Similarly, there is no accusative-to-genitive transformation with the verbal noun, in those instances where the reflexive morpheme is preserved, for example, učeni se cizim jazykům 'learning foreign languages'; where there is no risk of ambiguity it is simply dropped; compare the following:
mýt auto 'wash the car' > myti auta 'car-washing'
$m y ́ t s e$ 'have a wash' > myti 'ablutions', or
učit ditě 'teach a child' > učeni ditěte 'the teaching of a child' učit se 'study' > učeni 'studying, apprenticeship, revision'

The morpheme se does express reflexivity to the extent that it may alternate paradigmatically with other nouns in analogous functions, irrespective of case, and guarantees that the action affects the subject:
hnout stolem 'move the table' / hnout sebou 'get a move on' kupovat Petrovi aktovku 'buy Peter a briefcase' / kupovat si aktovku

Many uses of si (DAT) border closely on reflexiva tantum even as
indirect objects; kupovat 'buy' is almost automatically accompanied by si in the absence of another intended recipient (Petrovi above); its omission signals that the purchaser is not the beneficiary. Dát si 'have', followed by names of food and drink, or, similarly, vzit si 'help oneself to', also 'marry', require explicit reference to the beneficiary (the grammatical subject) through the pronoun-particle si.

A reflexive verb can only denote actions affecting the subject; to the extent that embedding of various types occurs, any reflexive expression in an underlying clause (usually reduced to a dependent infinitive) will normally apply to the deleted subject of that clause, hence
doporučil jim se umýt. (< aby se umyli)
recommended-m them-DAT REFL wash-INF
'He recommended them to wash.' (themselves, not him)
Note the special case of the verbs dávat/dát and nechávat/nechat 'have' and 'let':

Dal si udělat nový plot. (< někdo $m u$ udělal nový plot)
had-M REFL.DAT make-INF new fence-ACC
'He had a new fence made (for himself).'
Dává se ostřihat v podniku za rohem. (< někdo ho ostřihá)
has REFL.ACC cut.INF in enterprise-LOC behind corner-INST
'He has his hair cut at the place round the corner.'
Nechává sebou snadno manipulovat. (، lidé jim snadno manipulují)
lets self-INST easily manipulate-INF
'He lets himself be manipulated easily.'
Another area in which the object of anderlying clause may become a reflexive complement of the main verb is after slyšet 'hear':

Slyšel o sobě vykládat všelijaké hlouposti. (< x o něm vykládá hlouposti) heard-m about self tell-INF sundry nonsenses
'He heard a lot of nonsense talked about himself.'
But there are some rather opaque constraints; for example:
> *Slyšel si pripisovat různé nepravdy.
> 'He heard various untruths ascribed to him.'

ought to be from $x$ mu připisuje různé nepravdy, yet it is not possible.
There are a few idioms where the morpheme se refers to an object, rather than subject. In one, dát nëkomu néco na sebe 'dress someone', na sebe 'onto self' is an adverbialization of its proper reflexive use in mit něco na sebe 'have something to wear' or vzit si něco na sebe 'put something on'. Similarly, vzit něco $s$ sebou 'take something with one' may yield the
transitive dát někomu něco s sebou 'give someone something (to take) with him'.

The reflexive possessive pronoun svůj is also restricted to crossreferring to a nominative subject as possessor. It is therefore possible to say:

Má rád svoje nové auto.
'He's fond of his (own) new car.'
but not
*Líbí se mu svoje nové auto.
Like refl him-dat refl.poss new car-NOM
'He likes his (own) new car.'
since the grammatical subject is the car.
The precise co-referent of svůj may be undeterminable in certain infinitive phrases or phrases involving verbal nouns, as in:

Slyším tě zpívat svou píseň
hear-1.SG thou-ACC sing-INF POSS song-ACC
'I hear you singing your/my song.'
Such ambiguities are fairly common, and authoritative sources advise that person-specific possessives are preferable.

In isolated instances, as with se above, svůj may enter into adverbials in which cross-reference to the subject is precluded:

Dej ten hrnec na své místo.
put-IMP DEM pot-ACC on REFL.POSS place-ACC
'Put that pan back in its place.'
Evidence of the idiomatic quality of this occurrence is the impossibility of replacing své here by the more colloquial svoje.

Reciprocity is also expressed primarily by se/si:
Mají se rádi.
'They love each other.'
Už dlouho si dopisují.
'They've been writing to one another for a long time.'
With verbs requiring complementation other than accusative or dative, the preferred expression of reciprocity is jeden druhého 'one-NOM anotherACC', the second element carrying relevant case markers; for example:

Opovrhují jeden druhým.
'They despise one another.'

Dívali se jeden na druhého.
'They looked at each other.'
A third device is navzájem 'mutually', often present semi-redundantly:
Rádi si navzájem pomáhají.
glad-PL REFL.DAT mutually help-3.PL
'They enjoy helping one another.'
or to eliminate ambiguity between reciprocity and reflexivity:
Kupují si navzájem dárky.
'They're buying each other presents.'
Reciprocity may be expressed from the perspective of both participants, that is, with a plural subject, or of one, with the subject in the singular and a 'with'-construction:

Slušně se pozdravili.
'They exchanged polite greetings.'
Slušně se s ním pozdravil.
'He exchanged a polite greeting with him.'
Dopisují si už léta.
'They've been corresponding for years.'
Dopisuje si s ní už léta.
'He's been corresponding with her for years.'
Adverbialized constructions where reciprocal se does not cross-refer to the subject may occur after verbs of putting:

Musíte cihly klást pres sebe.
'You must put the bricks across each other.'
A permutation of jeden druhého eliminates any ambiguity, as in:
Musite cihly klást jednu na druhou

### 4.9 Possession

Possession, in all shades of appurtenance, is expressed primarily by mit 'have': vůz má čtyři kola 'a cart has four wheels', and other lexical items such as vlastnit 'possess' or, inversely, patřit + dative 'belong'.

The possessive dative (often close to dativus (in-)commodi) is almost obligatory in co-occurrence with the names of body parts:

Rozbil sinohu.
'He broke his (own, hence REFL) leg.'

Umyla $m u$ vlasy.
'She washed his hair.'
Díval se $m u$ do očí.
'He was looking into his eyes.'
Podlamovaly se $m u$ nohy.
'His legs were giving way.'
but also with intimate possessions:
Stř̌il ji bonbony do kapsy kabátu.
'He popped the sweets in her coat pocket.'
vloupat se někomu do domu
'to burgle someone's house'
Rozpáraly se $t i$ kalhoty.
'Your trousers have split.'
Unesli $m u$ dceru.
'They kidnapped his daughter.'
In other contexts the usual means is the possessive pronoun: můj, tvůj, jeho (indeclinable), jeji (declined as a 'soft' adjective), náś, váś, jejich (indeclinable), or, when a (human) possessor is denoted by a one-word expression, the possessive adjective, formed from almost all masculine and feminine noun classes, for example, synův, otcův, starosti̛v, matčin, neteřin, Stěpánův, Milošův, Annin, Venušin, Shakespearův, '(my/his) son's, father's, the mayor's, mother's, niece's, Stephen's, Milǒ's, Anne's, Venus's, Shakespeare's'. Such adjectives cannot be formed from morphologically adjectival names, hence 'George's', 'Tolstoy's' are the (usually) antepositioned genitives Jiřiho, Tolstého, or from feminine surnames, which usually use the postpositioned genitive: rozhodnuti Thatcherové '(Mrs) Thatcher's decision'.

If the possessor phrase consists of more than one word, possession is expressed by the genitive, which in the unmarked form follows the head:
syn starého pána
'the old gentleman's son'
though in context, inversion, the marked form, may be required and is not unusual.

### 4.10 Quantification

The main indefinite quantifiers are málo 'few, little', mnoho 'much, many', nemálo 'not a little/few', nemnoho 'not much/many', trochu 'a little', nëkolik 'several', interrogative kolik? 'how much/many?' and anaphoric tolik 'so much/many'. (Secondary items include pár 'a few', hrstka 'a (mere) handful', hromada 'heaps', spousta/spousty 'lots', and others.)

In any nominative or accusative function a quantified noun is always in
the genitive: málo mouky 'little flour', trochu času 'a little time', mnoho lidi 'many people', několik dotazů 'several questions'. Oblique-case functions are marked in the quantifiers (except málo, nemálo and trochu) by the general ending $-a$, unambiguous case markers being carried by the noun:

Šli jsme tam s několika cizinci (INST).
'We went there with several foreigners.'
Napsali mnoha bývalým žákům (DAT).
'They wrote to many former pupils.'
Málo and nemálo carry the $-a$ marker only in genitive functions:
s málo žáky (INST) / jeden z mála žáků
'with few pupils / one of the few pupils'
Trochu, a fossilized accusative of trocha, usually reverts to its substantival status in oblique cases, the quantified expression remaining in the genitive:

Vystačili si strochou (INST) mouky.
'They made do with a little flour.'
Udèlali z trochy (GEN) mouky knedlíky.
'They made dumplings out of a little flour.'
Other substantival items generally retain their morphological attributes.
As the grammatical subject, a noun phrase containing a quantifier requires the verb in the third person singular, neuter in the past and conditional:

Priijde několik hostů.
'Several guests are coming.'
Zbylo mu trochu času.
'He had a little time left.'
Tu zkoušku udělá málo z nás/z kluků.
'Few of us/the boys will pass the exam.'
Note the preposition $z$ used where the quantifier denotes a subset of the referent in the noun phrase.

The interrogative pronoun co and its compounds, including nic, constitute a separate set of quantifiers. Some may quantify substantival items in certain styles and contexts:

Ještè máme něco peněz.
'We still have a little money.' ('something of money')
Co tam bylo dnes cizinců!
'The number of foreigners there were there today!'
However, their important function is to quantify qualities, adjectival mean-
ings. If the whole phrase is in a nominative or accusative slot the adjective is in the genitive, otherwise both constituents agree:

Co (je) nového?
'What's new?'
Ten se nezastaví před něčím takovým.
'He won't stop at something like that.'
Similar rules to the above also apply to the numerals ' 5 ' to ' 99 '. Here the oblique case marker is $-i$ :
priislo (N.SG) pět studentů (GEN.PL)
'Five students came.'
$s$ pěti studenty (insT)
'with five students'
The two key rules (noun and modifiers in the genitive and verb in the neuter singular) hold whatever word order may apply:
několik/deset dobrých jablek
'several/ten good apples'
dobrých deset jablek
'a good ten apples'
dobrých pár let
'a good few years'
dobrých jablek bylo několik/deset
'there were several/ten good apples'
z patnácti bylo dobrých jablek deset
'out of fifteen, ten apples were good'
pět jich bylo červivých
'five of them were maggotty'
The numerals ' 1 ' to ' 4 ' are 'adjectival', hence there is agreement in number, case and, where available, gender:
jedna studentka se ztratila
'one student has gone missing'
jedny nůžky se ztratily (PL)
'one pair of scissors has gone missing'
The numerals ' 21 '-' 24 ' and ' 31 '-' 34 ' may show agreement patterns based on the final digit:
dvacet jeden student (SG)
'twenty-one students (M)'
dvacet dvě studentky (PL)
'twenty-two students (F)'
but this is now obsolescent and the preferred forms are:
dvacet jedna (!) studentů dvacet dva (!) studentek
or the non-problematic inversions:
jednadvacet/dvaadvacet studentů/studentek (GEN.PL)
The latter are preferred in oblique cases because of the simpler morphology, compare the now almost hypercorrect:
s dvaceti jedním studentem
'with twenty-one students (M)'
$s$ dvaceti dvěma studentkami
'with twenty-two students (F)'
and the current
s jednadvaceti studenty/studentkami
Longer numerals may (but need not) decline in all their parts: bez (+ GEN) dvou miliónů sedm(i) set padesát( $i$ ) osm(i) tisic pět( $i$ ) set třiceti č̌tyř 'minus 2,758,534'.

A special set of collective numerals is used with pluralia tantum: jedny/ dvoje/troje/čtvery/patery dveře '1/2/3/4/5 doors', jedna/dvoje/troje/ čtvera/patera kamna '... stoves', showing rudimentary gender agreement in the nominative and accusative and sharing their oblique-case forms with a set denoting the number of kinds of objects named, for example dvoji/troji/ čtveré, etc. kalhoty ' $2 / 3 / 4$ etc. kinds of trousers', which decline like adjectives. Another set denotes collectivities of like items: čtvero (ročnich dob) '(the) four (seasons)', desatero 'the decalogue'; they decline like hard neuter nouns. These once clearly distinct types are prey to much morphological interference.

Of the fractions, the quantifier 'half' is expressed by indeclinable pưl, followed by the genitive: půl šesté 'half past five' (half of the sixth hour), půl pinty 'half a pint', or the appropriate case if the entire phrase is in an oblique case: před půl šestou 'before five-thirty', po půl roce 'after six months'; čtvrt 'quarter', tríčtvrtě 'three-quarters', and půldruha 'one and a half' behave similarly, but the last declines more frequently these days as an adjective: půldruha roku > půldruhý rok, před půldruha rokem > před půldruhým rokem 'eighteen months ago'.

As nouns, fractions are derived from ordinals, hence třetina 'one-third', čturtina 'quarter', tisicina 'thousandth', milióntina 'millionth', or from the oblique-case stem of cardinals, hence pětina 'one-fifth', sedmina 'oneseventh', devitina 'one-ninth', desetina 'one-tenth', devadesátina 'oneninetieth', setina 'one-hundredth'; 'half' is usually polovina and 'most (= majority)' is většina.

## 5 Lexis

### 5.1 General composition of the word-stock

The core of the word-stock is firmly Slavonic, with about 2,000 items shared with all or most of the other Slavonic languages. Borrowings are increasing rapidly, chiefly by adoption of Greco-Latin or English internationalisms. The relative share of Slavonic and non-Slavonic in the lexicon overall is hard to determine, but on average every seventh word in use is said to be a borrowing.

### 5.2 Patterns of borrowing

The first of many outside influences on Czech came from Old Church Slavonic, in the stabilization of religious terminology, as in modlit se 'pray', mučednik 'martyr'. (Forms and meanings quoted here and below apply to contemporary Czech; for the development of individual items see the standard reference works: Machek 1968; Holub and Lyer 1967; Gebauer 1970-; Klimeš 1981.) Some shared Czech/Old Church Slavonic items had already come from elsewhere: from German půst 'fast', Greek pop 'priest' (now 'Russian Orthodox priest' only), sobota 'sabbath, Saturday', from Latin, via other Romance languages, koleda 'carol', křizz 'cross', papež 'pope', pohan 'pagan'. Other early loans in this register include direct loans (from Latin apoštol 'apostle', kostel 'church', anděl 'angel'; from German hřbitov 'cemetery', vánoce 'Christmas'), Latin and Greek terms mediated by German (almužna 'alms', biřmovat 'confirm', jeptiška 'nun', kalich 'chalice') and calques (svědomi 'conscience', prvorozenec 'first-born son'). The strength of Latin is due to pre-Methodian missionary activity, and, from the eleventh century, to its role as the language of religion (replacing Old Church Slavonic) and administration.

From the twelfth century onwards, ecclesiastical and administrative functions were taken over by Czech, which was also widely used in literature. The fourteenth century saw the completion of the Old Czech Bible translation and the appearance of the first dictionaries. As society advanced new terminology was needed. Calquing (from Latin: podstata « substancia 'essence', jakost (Old Czech still kakost) < qualitas) and borrowing (from Latin: majestát, figura, karta, and again from German: léno 'feoff', hrabě 'count', řiše 'realm, empire', rytiř 'knight', škoda 'shame; damage', ortel 'verdict', děkovat 'thank', musit 'must', barva 'colour', klenot 'gem', halda '(slag-)heap') were widespread and all the items quoted survive. Many others did not.

During the period of Humanism (mid-fifteenth to sixteenth centuries) more borrowings appeared, despite the efforts of some early grammarians who railed against German and Latin loans in Czech. Latin terminology was partly tolerated in education, medicine and the law, where the users would understand the terms. Survivals from this period include puls, pilule,
mutovat, proces. Hapsburg military activities led to some early loans from French and Spanish (armáda, kapitán, kurýr; also the modern colloquial survivals oficir, kvartýr). German continued to penetrate, but permanently only in the jargons/terminologies of crafts; few items have become standard terms (verpánek < Werkbank '(cobbler's) bench', hoblik 'plane').

The seventeenth and eighteenth centuries were the period of the major Baroque grammarians, who preferred neologizing from Czech roots; relatively few items survive, but Rosa's prislovce < adverbium is one calque that has stood the test first of inclusion in Jungmann's dictionary, then of time. Most borrowings of the period merely reflected contemporary fashions and have largely disappeared, but kavalir, lokaj 'footman', galán 'gallant', fraucimor (< Frauenzimmer) 'my lady's chamber', then 'ladies-in-waiting', later colloquial for one's 'woman' or 'women' in general) survive.

The late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries are marked by the National Revival, which for lexical development is almost synonymous with the work of Josef Jungmann, culminating in his five-volume CzechGerman dictionary (1834-9), in which he sought to demonstrate the vast wealth of the Czech word-stock. The dictionary incorporates not only the living standard language, but countless archaisms, also some dialectisms and many new technical terms. He excluded contemporary and even wellestablished colloquial Germanisms (such as hausmistr, rynk, pucovat 'clean'). Terminologies were hugely important in the Revival in order to render Czech serviceable in all domains. However, it has been suggested that the National Revival was so language-centred that scholarship was pursued for what it might contribute to the language, rather than the language's being put at the service of learning. Jungmann created a literary terminology, while others worked on logic and semiotics, obstetrics, geometry and physics, psychology and the natural sciences. J.V.Presl, whose work in the last-named area has been studied the most widely, while drawing many new words from native resources, drew heavily on other Slavonic languages; these borrowings, together with some of his neologisms, have been the most durable. In a major study, Kolari (1981) shows that Presl's botanical innovations included 107 items from Polish, 104 from Russian, 73 from South Slavonic and even two from Sorbian. Not all Presl's terms have survived.

Early nineteenth-century borrowings were not solely from Slavonic, though the Slavonic languages were a preferred source. Latin, Greek and German input is concealed beneath another wave of calques: zemépis 'geography', krasopis 'calligraphy'; jazykozpyt 'linguistics' < Sprachkunde; přirodovéda 'natural science' have survived, but many other similar items were later ousted by the more recognizable internationalisms. The cosmopolitanization of European society of the day brought yet other borrowings into literature, like cyklon, splin (then written spleen), nostalgie and nonšalantní.

Inter-war terminological innovation was almost consistently based on Czech roots, but with many 'hidden Germanisms', as calques were fearfully described by the purists. Since the war, by contrast, resistance to internationalisms, at least, has gone, purism is dying out and a vast increase in technical loan-words, often, but not solely, from English continues. Names for many (sub-)cultural and other innovations are instant borrowings, again largely from English, for example, mejkap (or make-up), lančmit 'pork luncheon meat', džinsy 'jeans', to add to such earlier loans as džez 'jazz', żokej 'jockey', buldok, mohér, ofsajd 'off-side' (a noun), sajdkar.

The post-war period has led to a new influx of loans from or through Russian, such as prověrka 'screening', pětiletka 'five-year plan', stachanovec 'Stakhanovite'; kombajn 'combine', dispečer 'despatcher'.

Perhaps the subtlest problem of other-Slavonic loans in Czech relates to Slovak. Such items as zástava 'banner', znoj 'great heat', zbojnik 'brigand', výdobytek 'gain, achievement', namyšlený 'conceited' and nárokovat 'claim, demand' are of mixed antiquity and frequency. Namyšlený, first recorded about 1945, had by the late 1980s almost replaced domýslivý and nafoukaný, allegedly for its stylistic neutrality. On the whole, pressure from Slovak is slight, but insidious, as witness the recent ousting of informal kafičko 'coffee', in the register of waiters, by Slovak kávička, isomorphic with what would have been the Czech diminutive of káva if it were used. Slovak-Czech interference is strongest among mobile social groups (such as the army, students, the pop-music world) and produces some magnificent hybrid slangs. Slovak is also the medium by which some Hungarian and Rumanian loans reached Czech, many of them exoticisms more than true loans.

### 5.3 Incorporation of borrowings

Borrowings generally adapt well to Czech morphological patterns. Difficulties arise chiefly out of conflict between gender and outward form, or, sometimes, because of pronunciation problems.

Nouns borrowed from the classical languages are adapted on the basis of the original stem, thus any final -us, -um, -os, -on, -is and so on is treated as an ending, alternating paradigmatically with Czech case morphemes: masculine dinosaurus has genitive dinosaura, nominative plural dinosauři; similarly génius, génia, géniové, accusative plural génie (the plural is adapted to the soft declension on account of the $-j$-glide in the ending); papyros, papyru; diabetes, diabetu; feminine synopsis, synopse; neuter vizum, viza; kritérion, kritéria (with 'soft' endings in the plural oblique cases). Many such items have entered the general word-stock, adapting so completely as to keep the full citation form of the word as the morphological base, hence kaktus, kaktusu; epos, eposu; digitális, digitálisu. Occasionally, a $\emptyset$-ending nominative singular is back-formed by analogy with the oblique cases, as with tyfus, tyfu > tyf. Instances of free variation also
occur: glóbus, glóbu/glóbusu, album, alba/albumu (only alba sanctioned for the standard language), or separate lexicalization: fikus, fiku 'rubberplant', fik, fiku 'fig'. Awareness of the form of an alien stem governs the treatment of other classical loan-words; a few examples will show the procedure: panorama ( N ), genitive (!), dative and locative singular panoramatu; farao/Cicero, faraona/Cicerona; ion, iontu; falanx, falangy (also back-formed nominative falanga); larynx, laryngu and so on.

Masculine animate borrowings ending in any short vowel adapt to declensional classes on the basis of the stem-final consonant, hence gigolo, gigola; signore, signora; gaučo, gauc̆a (!), gauče (ACC PL). Those ending in [i] or [í] adapt in the singular to the declension of ten, hence kuli, kuliho, mahdi, mahdiho, and in the plural to muž (kuliové, kuliů, instrumental kulii, but mahdimi). Similar treatments apply to many foreign surnames in $-i$, ey and so on. Some nouns evolve new nominatives: kolibri > kolibřik, pony ' ponik, which then present no declensional problems (similarly inanimate taxi $>$ taxik). Nouns in -u either remain indeclinable (zebu) or add case morphemes to the entire word ( marabu, marabua), but note the surname Ceausescu, genitive Ceauseska.

Among feminine and neuter borrowings problems arise with items which end in -a or -o preceded by another vowel. They produce various hybrid declensions; basically 'hard' boa or rodeo have genitive plural boi and rodei. Nouns in -ia (tibia) decline as soft feminines, while those in -yo (embryo) and -io (rádio) decline as soft neuters in the plural oblique cases only. Nouns in -ea have parallel sets of hard and soft endings (idea, genitive ideje/idey and so on).

Other vocalic endings create their own problems, for example, -é in animates, which either produces indeclinables (atašé 'attaché') or, occasionally, words which borrow pronominal endings (abbé-ho); inanimates are usually indeclinable neuters (dražé 'dragée', froté, pyré 'purée'). Noninflection and neuter gender is the most widespread solution for inanimates with phonetic/orthographic anomalies (menu, interview, mini, ragby), especially those items with an adaptive orthography (angažmá, filé), although non-adapted spellings can lead to a different gender and inflection (interview (M) genitive interviewu, pronounced [intervjúvu]). There are few indeclinable masculines (buklé 'bouclé', para 'Brasil nut') and feminines (okapi, džentry). Oddly, some neuters are indeclinable despite the ease with which they might have adapted: faksimile, finale, konkláve, aloe, kánoe, skóre 'score', andante, purgans, reagens, copyright, jidiš, rekviem.

With few exceptions, adjectival loans attract one of the productive Czech suffixes, especially -ický, -álni, -ový; unadapted words are peripheral or colloquial: colour terms: khaki, béż 'beige', lila 'lilac'; the well-established terms brutto and netto; one or two terms from mathematical theory and/or computerspeak like fuzzy (množina) 'fuzzy (set)';
and colloquial fajn 'great, okay', prima 'great', fér 'fair, sporting'.
Verbal borrowings appear almost daily and all adopt the suffix -(iz)ovat. If denoting acts subject to aspectual interpretations, they are biaspectual, that is, present-tense forms can acquire future meanings. Examples are absorbovat, havarovat 'crash; break down', informovat, organizovat. Some of these verbs acquire explicit perfectives by prefixation, most widely by the most nearly neutral prefix $z$-, as in zkonfiskovat, zorganizovat, but also others, for example, poinformovat, vydezinfikovat, okomentovat, nakoncipovat, generally by analogy with native near-synonyms.

### 5.4 Lexical fields

| 5.4.1 | Colour terms |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| white | bilá (as a noun) | běl |
| black | černá | čern̆ |
| red | červená (politically rudá) | červen̆ |
| green | zelená | zeleñ (also 'greenery') |
| yellow | žlutá | žlut |
| blue | modrá | modř |
| brown | hnědá | hněd ${ }^{\text {' }}$ |
| purple | fialová (< fialka 'violet') |  |
|  | purpurová is only for kings and cardinals |  |
| pink | růžová (< růže 'rose') |  |
| orange | oranžová | oranž (rare) |
| grey | šedá, šedivá | šed' |

Note: colours are usually quoted as feminine adjectives, by association with barva 'colour'

All the above terms are 'felt' to be basic; růžová and fialová might be deemed non-basic by Berlin and Kay's (1969) criterion vi (name transference from objects), and oranžová by their criterion vii (fairly recent loan). From the rest of the evidence we might conclude that a Czech colour term is basic if it exists separately as both adjective and noun; however, oranž as a rare item and recent loan lacks the strength to support the claim of oranžová to be 'basic' in the strict sense.

### 5.4.2 Body parts

head hlava
eye oko (anomalous plural oči)
nose nos
ear ucho (anomalous plural uši)
mouth ústa (N pluralia tantum); informally also rty 'lips' or pusa 'kiss'
hair
neck
arm/hand
finger
leg/foot
toe
chest
heart
vlasy (collective PL); single 'head-hair': vlas, otherwise chlup
krk (also 'throat'), šije (strictly: 'back of the neck') ruka (anomalous plural ruce); explicit 'not-hand' paže prst
noha; chodidlo 'sole' occasionally used for 'foot'
prst na noze ('thumb' and 'big toe' = palec)
hrud', prsa srdce
hrud' is formal and anatomical, but by no means as restricted as 'thorax'; prsa (pluralia tantum), 'chest' or 'breast' (non-countable) is the common word, despite partial overlap with prs-y 'breast-s' (countable, female). Strictly, prsa retains (like oči, uši, ruce, nohy) residues of the dual declension, while prsy declines as a regular plural.

### 5.4.3 Kinship terms

mother matka, also máti (high style and low colloquial), máma (colloquial)
father otec, also táta (general colloquial)
sister sestra, also ségra (low colloquial)
brother bratr, also brácha (colloquial)
aunt teta
uncle strýc
niece neteř
nephew synovec
cousin ( F ) sestřenice
cousin (M) bratranec
grandmother babička
grandfather dědeček
wife manželka, žena (informal); chot' $(\mathrm{F})$ 'spouse'
husband manžel, muž (informal); chot' (M) 'spouse'; plural manželé 'Mr and Mrs'
daughter dcera
son syn

## 6 Dialects

The Czech dialects divide into four main groups: Bohemian, Haná, Lachian (Silesian) and Moravian-Slovak (south-east Moravia). In addition there is a belt of mixed Czech-Polish dialects in north-east Moravia. Each group is further subdivided, only the main divisions being identified on map 9.1.

The main features of the Bohemian dialects, not shared by the standard language, but including Common Czech, are:

## Map 9.1 The main Czech dialect divisions


$1 \quad e j$ < $\dot{y}$ (and some $i$ ): mlejn 'mill', dobrej 'good', cejtit 'feel';
2 /í/ < /é/: dobrý mliko 'good milk', dobrý vody/vodè 'good water' (GEN/DAT);
3 prothetic $v$-before $o$ - (except in borrowings or words not occurring outside the standard literary language; also absent from the Doudleby subdialect): vokno 'window', von 'he', vocet 'vinegar' (but not * volovo 'lead', * votec 'father');
4 nominative-accusative plural in /í/ for all adjectives: dobrí lidi/školy/ jidla 'good people/schools/meals';
5 instrumental plural universally in -ma: těma našima dobrejma lidma/ školama/jidlama/chlapcema 'those our good people/schools/meals/ boys'/šicima strojema 'sewing-machines'/polema 'fields'/telatama 'calves' and so on;
$6-a j$, -ej in the third person plural of $a$ - and $i$-conjugation verbs: dělaj/ chod'ej/sázej ‘(they) do, walk, plant';
7 loss of $-l$ from the masculine past tense of consonantal stem verbs: přines 'brought', vytisk 'printed', vypad 'fell out', upek 'baked', řek 'said'.

The Haná dialects occupy much of central Moravia and share the following main features:

1 é < $\dot{y}:$ bék 'bull', dobré 'good (M NOM SG)'; also < $i$ after sibilants and certain other consonants: nožék 'knife' (DIMIN), $i$-conjugation verbs: nosém 'carry ( 1 sG )', and so on, vešévat (= vyšivat 'embroider'), blésko (= blizko 'near'); and < ej: dé 'give (IMP)', nélepší 'best', even across morpheme boundary: néde (that is, ne-jde 'isn't going');
2 ó < ú (standard Czech ou): móka 'flour', ribó 'fish (F INST SG)', including positions after soft consonants, since the umlauts were not effective here, hence: dělajó 'do', pláčó 'weep (3 PL)', klóč (= klič 'key'), and in soft nouns: ulicó ( $=$ ulici 'street (INST SG)');
$3 e$ < $a$ by the first umlaut internally: ležet 'lie', but not in soft inflections: dus̆a 'soul (NOM SG)', otca 'father (GEN SG)';
$4 i / i$ < $u / u ́$ by the second umlaut internally: $j i h$ 'south', cizi 'alien', but not in endings: piju/pijo 'drink ( 1 SG )', ulicu/ulico 'street (ACC SG)';
 'milk' (that is to say, results similar to Bohemian, but with local tendency to vowel shortening);
6 short vowels in many types of disyllables (or former disyllables), where Bohemian (and standard Czech) has long vowels: vrana 'crow', blato 'mud', mak 'poppy', pit ‘drink', jest 'eat';
7 divergent patterns of voice assimilation: [zh] < sh: [nazhledanó] (=na shledanou 'good-bye'); across morpheme boundaries with non-paired consonants: [gmostu] (=k mostu 'towards bridge');

8 šč preserved: ščasný (= š̌lastný ‘happy');
9 animacy marked in nominative plural adjectival endings: dobři lidi;
10 third person plural of $i$-conjugation verbs in -ijó: chodijó, even vijó ( $=$ vědi 'know');
11 imperatives in -i/-ite after stem-final consonantal cluster: mesli, meslite (that is, mysli, myslete 'think');
12 first person singular of byt $(=b y t)$ is $s u$.
The Lachian dialects share a number of features (numbers $3,5,7,8$ ) with the previous group. Feature 5 above applies in the short-vowel version, since of the two most conspicuous features of the Lachian dialects one is loss of vowel length. The other is the emergence of word stress on the penultimate. Additional features are as follows:
$1 a j$ is preserved in closed syllables: daj, vajco (= dej 'give', vejce 'egg');
2 no syllabic liquids; accompanying vowels vary in quality and position: pylny (= plný 'full'), mysel (= mysl 'mind'), vjeter (= vitr 'wind'), kryk or $k y r k$ ( $=k r k$ 'neck');
$3 / \mathrm{d} /, / \mathrm{t} /, / \mathrm{n} /$ are palatalized before $e$, hence /ved'ete, ňeśefe/ for vedete 'lead', nesete 'carry ( 2 PL )';
4 /ć, dź/ < $t, d^{\prime}$ : ćcicho 'quiet'; pić 'drink', chodźić 'walk';
5 palatal /śs/, /ź/ before front vowels and (historic) /j/: śiň 'hall', prosić 'request', żima 'winter', vźać 'take';
$6 d z$ < $d+j$, chiefly in passive participles: vysvobodzeny 'liberated';
7 non-merger of two original $l$-phonemes: lipa / byt 'linden', 'was';
8 non-merger of $i$ and $y$ : lipa / byt (recall that in standard Czech the spellings lipa and byl and so on are historic; there is no qualitative difference in the sounds represented);
9 absence of both prothetic $v$ - (compare the Bohemian group) and prothetic $j$ - before $i$ (compare the standard language): oko 'eye', ohen̆ 'fire'; iskra 'spark', inačy ‘different';
10 genitive plural of masculine nouns in $-u v$;
11 the animate accusative plural is identical to the genitive: ma dobrych suśeduv (= má dobré sousedy 'has good neighbours').

Moravian-Slovak dialects - spoken largely in Slovácko, not to be confused with neighbouring Slovakia (Slovensko) - share some features with the Haná group, namely 7 and 8 , and with the Lachian group, namely 7 (in the form lipa, byt (or byw), 9 and 11 (in the form má dobrych súsedú). Other main features not shared with the standard language are as follows:

1 preservation of ú: múka, nesú (= mouka 'flour', nesou 'they carry');
2 preservation of $a j$ in closed syllables (compare the Lachian dialects, point 1);

3 short vowels as in the Haná dialects, point 6;
4 few traces of the first umlaut: only medial $\mathcal{\rho}$ ' je: pjet 'five'; however, in long syllables $\dot{a}$ > ie > $i$ : starší 'older' (FEM), and even smit sa (contrast standard smát se 'laugh');
5 no traces of the second umlaut, hence cuzi 'alien', piju 'drink (1 SG)', klúč 'key';
syllabic $r$ even after $\check{z}$, č: žrd (standard žerd' 'mast'), ščrk (štěrk 'gravel'); syllabic $l$ ' u: žutý ‘yellow', but in final position also ét, et, éw or ew, hence spadu/spadét/spadéw 'fell' and védu/védet/védew 'led';
7 preservation of é: řéct 'say', zelé 'cabbage';
8 dative and locative plural of masculine and neuter nouns in -om/och: chlapom/-och 'fellow', kolenom/-och 'knee'.

The Czech dialects have been well recorded and samples are available in such collections as Lamprecht, Michálková, et al. (1976) or Bělič's standard handbook (1972), incorporating detailed maps of isoglosses. Of late, attention has turned to the speech of individual urban centres and resulting studies show the extent to which Common Czech has spread out from Central Bohemia, but also how it is coloured both by the original local urban dialect and by the influence of incoming speakers of other dialects. Common Czech itself, with its core in the speech of Prague, reflects all the phonological features of Central Bohemian mentioned above, and has a consequentially distinctive morphology. As an interdialect subject to local influences, it is now often divided into Common Bohemian Czech and Common Moravian Czech. The urban speech of Prague, with a discussion of Common Czech and the relation of both to standard Czech, is described in Townsend (1990).
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[^0]:    Note: dát and mit are entirely regular á-conjugation verbs; jist and vědět are essentially $i$-conjugation and chtit is $e$-conjugation.

[^1]:    Sousedé byli doma?
    'The neighbours were in?' (I gather they were since you have obviously returned their screwdriver)
    Sousedé nebyli doma?
    'The neighbours weren't in?' (I thought they were).

