Version SS 2008

The Phonetics of English Pronunciation - Week 5

W.Barry Institut für Phonetik Universität des Saarlandes IPUS

1. What are the place of the initial const	ce and manner of sonant in the foll	f articulation and the "vo owing words?	icing" status
	Place	Manner	Voicing
<u>R</u> adio	Post-alveolar	approximant	voiced
<u>Th</u> ought	Dental	fricative	voiceless
<u>S</u> unset	Alveolar	fricative	voiceless
<u>Th</u> ough	Dental	fricative	voiced
<u>V</u> ase	Labio-dental	fricative	voiced
<u>Sh</u> oes	Post-alveolar	fricative	voiceless
<u>T</u> ornado	Alveolar	plosive	voiceless
<u>S</u> ure	Post-alveolar	fricative	voiceless
<u>Ph</u> otograph	Labio-dental	fricative	voiceless
P arasite	Bilabial	plosive	voiceless

Here we are using the traditional terms for classifying sounds phonetically, based on the articulatory dimensions of place, manner and voicing.

The traditional order of adjectives when talking about sounds is:

Voicing, Place, Manner; i.e. "Thought" starts with

a voiceless dental fricative.



- Bend bent longer /n/ before /d/ and weaker /d/ than /t/
- Hard heart longer vowel before /d/ and weaker /d/ than /t/
- Bug buck longer vowel before /g/ and weaker /g/ than /k/
- Cold colt longer /l/ before /d/ and weaker /d/ than /t/
- Lived lift longer vowel before /vd/ and weaker /vd/ than /ft/

It is NOT enough to say: There is a /d/ in *bend* and a /t/ in *bent*!

Last week, we heard that the vowel is longer before final-voiced obstruents

(i.e. before fricatives, affricates and plosives). If a sonorant consonant (i.e., a nasal or lateral consonant) comes between the vowel and the obstruent, it is the sonorant consonant that gets lengthened, NOT the vowel.

The misleading case here is the pair lived - lift, where there are also two consonants in the coda. However, they are both obstruents (a fricvative and a plosive in a cluster), so the vowel gets lengthened in the normal way.

3 a) What problem for German learners of English is there in the following expressions and how is incorrect pronunciation avoided? Down there All that Good thinking! Fight the good fig Answer: The /n/ in "down", the /l/ in "all", the /d/ in "good", the /t/ in "fight" are all articulated as dental consonants preceding the interdental fricatives. /...n ð/; /...l ð/; /...d θ/; /...t ð/; 3 b) Why is the same strategy not possible in the following expression? Wise thought. <u>Answer</u>: The /z/ in "wise" <u>must</u> be pronounced as an *alveolar* fricative. It would become a different sound if it was pronounced as a *dental* fricative. (extra info: It is therefore necessary to pronounce the /s/ as a laminal (tongue-blade) rather than an *apical* (tongue-tip) /s/, so that the tongue tip is free to move up onto the teeth for the $/\theta/$.

4. What are the phonetic difference between the following sounds? /u:/ /w/ /v/

- <u>Answer</u>: The first two are articulated in the same position (as an [u], with *rounded* lips). The /w/ immediately glides from the [u] position towards the following vowel and is thus heard as a "consonantal" onset /v/ is a *labio-dental* fricative (upper teeth close to bottom lip) and is *not* produced with *rounded lips*.
- 5. What is the biggest difference in the use of the /r/ in British and American English?

<u>Answer</u>: In American English the /r/ is also pronounced <u>post-vocalically</u>

6. In which context is the /r/ usually pronounced as an apical flap?

<u>Answer</u>: Following the dental fricative θ (e.g., *throw, thrifty, through etc.*).

- 7. a) In which contexts does the British English /l/ differ from Standard German /l/ and what is the difference?
- Answer: <u>Post-vocalically</u>, either syllable-finally or in a syllable-final consonant cluster (e.g., tell; seldom; felt).
 In these positions /l/ is "dark" (i.e., is pronounced with a raised tongue dorsum to produce an accompanying [u] colouring
 - b) Does /l/ behave the same in American English as in Standard British English?
 - No. American English /l/ tends to be pronounced more darkly pre-vocalically than British English /l/.
- 8. Why and how does the letter sequence <ng> sometimes cause pronunciation problems?

Because under certain morphological conditions, it is pronounced as $/\eta g/$ (even before schwa (/ə/), which is NOT possible in German)



- Can you identify the problems?
- Can you describe them phonetically?
- Homework: a) Analyse consonantal problems in text. b) Draw articulogram

(You DON'T need to hand the homework in this week!)



Here we show the synchronized activity (for the word "*Southampton*") of the *three articulatory sub-systems* which are sub-consciously controlled all the time when we speak:

The mouth (oral cavity) which can be open, or constricted (either full closure or a narrowing to create friction) at different places.

(so don't just pay attention to your lips. Your mouth can be closed or the opening narrowed to a fricative position even if your lips are open!).

The *velum* controlling the *aperture to the nasal cavity*. It is lowered for nasal sounds and raised for oral sounds.

The *glottis* (the *opening between the vocal folds*). When the vocal folds are adducted, they can vibrate, if they are opened, they result in voiceless sounds.

(Note if they are adducted a bit and kept fairly stiff, they allow friction to arise at the glottis. This is necessary for /h/ - a glottal fricative).



Take a text ...

George was the tenth person to walk past the playground and wonder what the three piles of sand were doing in front of the school. But the others walked on, while he lingered a while, worried by a half-remembered comment from one of his colleagues in the Council offices.

After our treatment of consonantal problems, we need to develop an awareness of their occurrence in utterances we produce.

As a first step, take any arbitrary text (see above), and read it through to yourself.

How many problem consononants can you identify?

	How	many "	dang	er p	oints	"?	
<u>Sentence</u> George	<u>1</u> : was th	e tenth	person	to	walk	past	the
playgrou	und and	wonder	what	the	three	piles	of
sand we	ere doin	g in fro	ont of	the	schoo	1.	
How ma	ny can yo	u find?	8?	12?	16?	20?	more

Look at the first of the two sentences and count up the problems that you find.



We must distinguish:

- plain cases (either voiced or voiceless), where it is just a matter of getting your dental fricative in place,
- 2) following alveolar stops (/t/, /d/) and sonorants (/l/ & /n/), where the preparation for with a dental articulation of the preceding sound is important, and
- 3) and following (or preceding) /s/, where there are different solutions to the problem:
 a) unstressed /ð/ (in function words) can be pronounced like a [z]

b) θ must be pronounced clearly as θ . This is done by articulating the preceding /s/ or /z/ with the blade rather than the tip of the tongue quite a challenging change to undertake!

The /s/ or /z/ *following* a (no examples in this text) is produced as a normal alveolar fricative by sliding the tongue backwards off the teeth.

This also needs quite a bit of practice and should be worked at using the "fixed-expression" strategy.



Here we must distinguish FVCs after vowels (here in *George, was* and *of*), and those following sonorants (here /l/ in *piles*, and /n/ *playground* & *sand* and *and*).

The patterns you have to acquire are the *lengthened vowel* in the vowel + FVC case, and the *lengthened sonorant* in the sonorant + FVC case.

However, the function words *was*, *and* & *of* are so short and weak .(see lecture 9) that it would be wrong to lengthen the vowel or the sonorant. JUST KEEP THE CONSONANTS WEAK!



Remember that post-vocalic (non pre-vocalic) /l/s are pronounced as if they are accompanied by a simultaneous $/\Lambda$ / or $/\mathfrak{I}$ / vowel (as in *hut* or *caught*).

This applies to both Southern Standard British and Mid-Western US English

The choice between the darker or slightly lighter colouring of the ,,dark L" is a matter of regional and/or social identity.

Remember too, if you are aiming at a US-English accent that even in the "clear-L" position, American English (and – for your information – Scottish and Australian English) tends to have a "dark L". Hence our marking of the /l/ in "playground".



With the Rs we have included the cases where the choice between non-rhotic (no post-vocalic Rs) and rhotic pronunciation (American, Irish, S.W. British English) is possible (*George, person, wonder, were*).

The other cases are consonant clusters with R: two with the normal postalveolar approximant R (*playground* and **front**) and one with the flapped R after < th > (*three*). How many /w/-related points? Sentence 1: George was the tenth person to walk past the playground and wonder what the three piles of sand were doing in front of the school. Total: 6+7+3(US)/2(Br)+7(US)/3(Br)+5 = 28(US)/23(UK)

The five cases of /w/ includes two examples of unstressed auxiliary verbs (*was* and *were*), which can cause problems because they tend to be overlooked, and the [v] error can slip in through the back door!

There is also a < wh > example in *what* for those who wish to maintain the /w/ - /M/ distinction.

How many "danger points"?

Sentence 2:

But the others walked on, while he lingered a

while, worried by a half-remembered comment

from one of his colleagues in the Council offices.

How many can you find *here*? 7? 14? 21?

How many -related points?

Sentence 2:

But the others walked on, while he lingered a

while, worried by a half-remembered comment

from one of his colleagues in the Council offices.

Sub-total: 3

How many FVC-related points?

Sentence 2:

But the others walked on, while he lingered a

while, worr<u>ied</u> by a half-remember<u>ed</u> comment

from one of his colleagues in the Council offices.

Sub-total: 3 + 8

How many /l/-related points?

Sentence 2:

But the others walked on, while he lingered a $_{US}$

while, worried by a half-remembered comment

from one of his colleagues in the Council offices. $_{US}$

Sub-total: 3 + 8 + 5(us)/3(uk)

How many R-related points?

Sentence 2:

But the other walked on, while he $linger_{US}$ US

while, wo<u>rr</u>ied by a half-<u>r</u>ememb<u>er</u>ed comment $_{US}^{US}$

from one of his colleagues in the Council offices.

Sub-total: 3 + 8 + 5(US)/3(UK) + 6(US)/3(UK)

How many /w/-related points?

Sentence 2:

But the others <u>walked</u> on, <u>while</u> he lingered a

<u>wh</u>ile, <u>w</u>orried by a half-remembered comment

from one of his colleagues in the Council offices.

Sub-total: 3 + 8 + 5(US)/3(UK) + 6(US)/3(UK) + 5

And what about $\langle ng \rangle$? Sentence 2: But the others walked on, while he lingered a while, worried by a half-remembered comment from one of his colleagues in the Council offices. Total: $3 + 8 + 5(us)/3(u\kappa) + 6(us)/3(u\kappa) + 5 + 1 = 28(us)/23(u\kappa)$

In this text there is just one example of the /ŋg/ sequence, here in the verb *linger*, which complies with the basic "mono-morphematic" rule.

You try at home with the sequel!

Sentence 3 & 4:

One of the secretaries had whispered rather loudly to her friend that there were plans being hatched to close the local primary school and bus the children to the neighbouring village. The doors and windows would then be bricked up to discourage vandals, pending a decision on the future use of the building.