Toward the use of information density based descriptive features in HMM based speech synthesis
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Abstract

Over the last decades, acoustic modeling for speech synthesis has been improved significantly. However, in most systems, the descriptive feature set used to represent annotated text has been the same for many years. Specifically, the prosody models in most systems are based on low level information such as syllable stress or word part-of-speech tags. In this paper, we propose to enrich the descriptive feature set by adding a linguistic measure computed from the predictability of an event, such as the occurrence of a syllable or word. By adding such descriptive features, we assume that we will improve prosody modeling. This new feature set is then used to train prosody models for speech synthesis. Results from an evaluation study indicate a preference for the new descriptive feature set over the conventional one.

Index Terms: parametric speech synthesis, information density, descriptive features

1. Introduction

Over the last decade, the popularity of parametric speech synthesis has increased, and it has become one of the standard technologies for text-to-speech (TTS) synthesis. From hidden Markov model (HMM) based speech synthesis [1] to deep neural networks (DNNs) [2], a huge effort was assigned to acoustic modeling. For example, if we only consider HMM based speech synthesis, we can cite trajectory-HMMs [3], auto-regressive HMM [4] or continuous F0 HMMs [5] as the main acoustic modeling evolutions.

However, all of these systems are based on the use of the same kind of descriptive features as the input to the acoustic parameter prediction. For example, the majority of HMM based TTS systems are based on features derived from the set proposed by Tokuda et al. [6]. Few studies are focused on adding new descriptive features. The only dedicated study we found was proposed by Wang et al. [7] where the authors are introducing the use of word embeddings [8].

In this paper, we propose to integrate descriptive features based on information density and to analyze their effect on the achieved prosody modeling and synthesis output. These features are based on the evaluation of the predictability of an event, a notion widely used in computational language modeling. The features are based on language models and therefore provide several advantages. First of all, they are simple to obtain from the text and can be used to propose higher level descriptive features. They can also be used both for “classical” and “incremental” TTS systems [9, 10]. In our case, we use these features as the input of a standard HMM based speech synthesis system (HTS).

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents and motivates the use of information density based descriptive features. Section 3 describes the experimental setup used to analyze the influence of these features on synthesis. Finally, the last two sections detail the results of the objective (Section 4) and subjective evaluations (Section 5).

2. Information density descriptive features

Based on the information theory proposed by Shannon [11], Hale [12] introduced the concept of surprisal into the field of computational linguistics. The surprisal refers to the unpredictability of an element. This concept is based on the N-gram language model and defined by the following equation:

\[
\text{Pred}(U_i) = -\log_2(P(U_i|U_{i-1},U_{i-N}))
\]

where \(U_i\) is the analyzed unit and \(U_{i-1},U_{i-N}\) are the \(N\) previous units. \(N\) is the parameter of the model and must be defined.

As presented by Crocker et al. [13], the predictability of a word is highly correlated with the processing effort of pronouncing this word [14, 15]. The same correlation has also been found for the predictability of an event at the syllable level [16]. Therefore, our main hypothesis is that using unpredictability as a descriptive feature should improve synthesis and especially the prosody modeling. Indeed, considering the statistical synthesis, the spectrum modeling is mainly controlled by phonological information.

However, using unpredictability leads to two problems. The first is that in order to obtain meaningful statistics, we need to analyze a large text corpus. Larger corpus than speech corpora can offer. The second problem is that while unpredictability is a continuous-valued feature, standard TTS systems are based on descriptive features with discrete values.

2.1. Global process

In order to alleviate these problems, we propose the process described in Figure 1.

First, we decided to use two corpora: a speech corpus, and a text corpus that is significantly larger than the former. From the text corpus, we compute the unpredictability of the events and generate a dictionary of unpredictability classes. These classes are found by using a clustering algorithm. Using the clustering algorithm, in our case \(k\)-means, we obtain a discrete approximation of the continuous features.

2.2. Syllable based features

As we are managing two corpora which may be processed using different tools, we propose to use the International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA) to represent the phonemes which constitute each
syllable. It is possible to add more specific information to the syllables, e.g., lexical stress. However, in this study, we use only the IPA symbols as the syllable representation.

2.3. Word based features

To represent words, we should stay as close as possible to the text. However, to obtain a usable representation, we need to clean up the data in the following manner:

- all punctuation marks are discarded;
- a break mark is inserted at the end of each paragraph;
- all words are converted to lower case

The break marks are handled like words. They were inserted at the paragraph level as we assume that a paragraph is conceptually consistent (there is no topic change inside the paragraph). The training of the speech models is based on utterances which are generally short. Therefore, using break marks is more consistent than having an “unseen” label associated to the beginning of each utterance. Adding the break marks allows the decision trees to take into account some clusters which it would have ignored otherwise.

3. Experimental setup

3.1. Corpus

The global corpus used is the English data set from the 2013 Blizzard Challenge [17]. This corpus consists of 83 audiobooks read by a female speaker of American English, and therefore provides more expressive speech than a “conventional” speech corpus. From this data set, we extracted three subcorpora: the text corpus, the speech corpus and an evaluation corpus.

The text corpus corresponded to the complete data set except the novel “Black Beauty”. This corresponds to 82 books, 951,316 syllables and 1,973,368 words. The speech corpus is composed of 1 h (~470 utterances) extracted from “Black Beauty”. This corresponds to 13,522 syllables and 70,38 words. All utterances were automatically segmented using EHMM [18] and manually corrected by an expert. We also extracted a small evaluation corpus from the novel “Black Beauty” for the subjective evaluation. It is composed of 16 utterances segmented using the same procedure as the speech corpus.

For all corpora, syllable boundaries were obtained using the MaryTTS system [19] (version 5.2).

3.2. Unpredictability setup

To compute unpredictability, we used word trigrams and syllable trigrams. All syllable trigrams in the speech corpus also occur in the text corpus. Considering the word trigrams, around 40% of instances in the speech corpus do not occur in the text corpus.

Figure 2 shows the distribution of the unpredictability of distinct syllables and words.

All distributions follow the same pattern. An unpredictability value of 0 corresponds to a rare event: the trigram and the context \( U_{i-1} \cdots U_{i-N} \) appear only once in the corpus. This causes the current unit \( U_i \) to be certain as the context could not produce anything else.

Then we have very frequent events with little variance of unpredictability. These events correspond to frequent linguistic patterns such as “one of those”. The fact that we are using trigrams, compared to larger n-grams, may have increased the number of these events. Finally, the number of occurrences of elements is decreasing while their unpredictability is increasing.

The last parameter set is the cluster number. For the current experiments, we used 9 clusters which is the default value of the clustering toolkit [20]. Consequently, the unpredictability values are scaled from 0 to 8, with 0 indicating a unit that was fully expected, and 8 indicating a unit whose occurrence is most surprising.

3.3. Analysis of descriptive feature combinations

In order to analyze the influence of the proposed descriptive features, we used three different conditions: baseline, pred syllable, and pred all.

The baseline condition is composed of the descriptive feature set proposed by Tokuda et al. [6] which is the standard configuration for English.

The two other configurations integrate the unpredictability of only the syllable, and the unpredictability of both the syllable and the word, respectively.

3.4. Speech synthesis system

In order to analyze the influence of the proposed descriptive features, we used the standard HTS system [1] (version 2.2). The acoustic parameters consist of the mel-generalized cepstrum (MGC) coefficients (50 coefficients), the log F0 (1 co-
efficient) and the aperiodicity per band (25 coefficients). They were obtained using STRAIGHT [21] and the mel-generalized log spectrum approximation (MLSA) filter [22]. Each coefficient is complemented by its $\Delta$ and $\Delta^2$.

4. Objective analysis

In order to analyze the influence of unpredictability on the synthesis output, we used two objective evaluations. The first methodology was proposed by Watts et al. [23] and consists of analyzing how the structure of the decision trees are evolving considering the different setups. The second evaluation uses a conventional distance metric.

4.1. Decision tree analysis

The HTS system builds binary decision trees to classify models, where the nodes correspond to a property (question) associated with a descriptive feature and the leaves correspond to the models. Therefore, considering the training corpus and a decision tree, we can compute how many times a descriptive feature is used. This indicates how important a descriptive feature is. However, to simplify the analysis, it is more accurate to group descriptive features by category.

In our case, we consider the following categories from the baseline descriptive features:

- $\{p1, 3, 5\}$ for the phoneme window size (monophone, triphone, quinphone);
- $\{syl, word, phrase\}$-position for position information (like position of current syllable in the current word) related to the corresponding linguistic level;
- $\{syl, word, phrase\}$-prosody for the prosody related descriptive features (accentuation information for the syllable, part-of-speech (POS) for the word and ToBI end tone tag for the phrase);
- utterance contains the global count information (total number of syllables, words and phrases).

We added two categories, $\{syl, word\}$-unpredictability which correspond to the descriptive features we have proposed. Finally, we divided the previous frequency by the number of questions associated to this category. This avoids considering a category important just because the number of properties outnumbers the ones from the other categories. Considering the different trees, unpredictability has little impact on the spectrum and the aperiodicity modeling. For these trees, phoneme descriptive features are mainly used. Considering the duration and the F0 decision trees, they are all following the same pattern. Therefore, we are presenting the results obtained for the F0 decision tree of the HMM central state in Section 4.1 but the achieved analysis can be applied to all the prosody related decision trees.

In the baseline system, the most important categories of descriptive features are related to the position information at the word and the syllable level; to the prosody information (ToBI end tone) at the phrase level and the global count information (utterance). Surprisingly, the prosody information at the syllable level is not considered important by the system.

Adding the unpredictability information at the syllable level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>categories</th>
<th>baseline</th>
<th>pred_syl</th>
<th>pred_all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p1</td>
<td>1648</td>
<td>1615</td>
<td>1594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p3</td>
<td>2174</td>
<td>2037</td>
<td>1175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>syl-position</td>
<td>5799</td>
<td>5652</td>
<td>4056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>syl-prosody</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>syl-unpredictability</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1657</td>
<td>4163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>word-position</td>
<td>7836</td>
<td>6787</td>
<td>4202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>word-prosody</td>
<td>2928</td>
<td>2817</td>
<td>2188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>word-unpredictability</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phrase-position</td>
<td>1184</td>
<td>1573</td>
<td>802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phrase-prosody</td>
<td>8723</td>
<td>8323</td>
<td>5892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>utterance</td>
<td>7260</td>
<td>7429</td>
<td>6799</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Analysis of the F0 decision tree associated to the HMM central state using the speech corpus labels. Each label of the speech corpus is passed through the tree. Each node of the tree is associated with a category and each time a node is reached the counter of this category is updated. The most used categories have been highlighted.
does not imply major changes. However, adding the unpredictability information at the word level causes a complete reorganization of the decision tree. The position information at the word and at the syllable level loses its importance in favor of the unpredictability information. Furthermore, the most important category of descriptive features, according to the decision tree, is the unpredictability at the word level.

In conclusion, from an introspection point of view, HTS does consider the unpredictability to be useful for capturing speech properties.

4.2. Distance based evaluation

For the distance based evaluation, we used four distance measures: the mel-cepstral distortion (MCD), the root mean square error (RMSE) for the F0 in cents, the voicing error rate (VER) in percent, and the RMSE for the duration in milliseconds.

For the first three measures, the signal was synthesized with HTS by imposing the original phone durations. The results are presented in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>MCD</th>
<th>RMSE-F0</th>
<th>VER</th>
<th>RMSE-dur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pred_syllable</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pred_all</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Distance based evaluation

The achieved results do not show significant differences. We assume that using unpredictability allows the system to refine the modeling but does not improve much the similarity of the synthesis.

5. Subjective evaluation

In addition to the objective evaluation, we conducted a set of subjective evaluations. This set is composed of two evaluations: a scoring evaluation to give a global overview of the signal quality synthesized using the different combinations; and a preference test to assess if the synthesis achieved using the proposed descriptive feature set is perceived as better than the synthesis achieved using the standard set.

5.1. MUSHRA

The first subjective evaluation conducted is a scoring test with multiple stimuli with hidden reference and anchor (MUSHRA) [24]. The evaluated systems were baseline, pred_syllable and pred_all. The reference was the original recorded utterance from the test corpus. 12 sets of samples were presented to the listeners and 10 were used to compute the scores. The first two were presented at the beginning of the test in order to familiarize the users with the evaluation platform. 15 listeners (native and non native English speakers) completed the evaluation. The results are presented in Figure 3.

5.2. AB preference test

The second subjective evaluation conducted is an AB preference test. The evaluated systems were baseline and pred_all. 20 pairs of samples were presented to the listeners and 18 were used to compute the scores. The first two were presented at the beginning of the test in order to familiarize the users with the evaluation platform. 15 listeners (native and non native English speakers) completed the evaluation. The results are presented in Figure 4.

In conclusion, from an introspection point of view, HTS does consider the unpredictability to be useful for capturing speech properties.

Figure 3: MUSHRA results

Figure 4: AB test results

The results of this evaluation show a clear preference for our proposed new feature set. This implies that, despite the difficulty of objectively measuring the improvement, it is still perceivable by listeners.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we have proposed a new descriptive feature widely used in computational linguistics: the unpredictability of an event. We have proposed a full process to compute and apply these features in TTS synthesis. We have conducted experiments to assess the influence of these features on the synthesis quality achieved by the standard HTS system. Results show a preference for the proposed descriptive features. However, this preference seems to have a limited impact, and needs to be inspected in more depth.

Future work will focus on finding new high level descriptive features and apply them to have a refined control of the synthesis of long utterances. We also plan to apply the new descriptive features in DNN based speech synthesis, as DNNs can more easily capture multiple layers of information.
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