

Computational Psycholinguistics

The **Last** Tutorial ! (Finally)

Garance PARIS

Winter Semester 2011/2012

*“Discuss the cognitive plausibility
of the parsers you built
throughout the first part of term.”*

*“Discuss the cognitive plausibility
of the parsers you built
throughout the first part of term.”*

Some criteria:

- incrementality,
- complexity,
- ...

People	Space Requirement		
	constant	linear	constant
Top-Down	linear	linear	constant
Bottom-Up (Shift-Reduce)	constant	linear	linear
Left-Corner arc-standard	constant	linear	linear
Left-Corner arc-eager	constant	linear	constant

Constant Space-requirement (and thus memory load and processing difficulty) does not vary depending on the length of the sentence/the number of embeddings

Linear There is a linear relationship between the number of embeddings and memory load

$y = ax + b$, x : number of embeddings

In our COGENT models, b includes displaying and reading words, which is constant.

- 1 Add buffers/sinks to the model to save the necessary data (or send everything to *Output*)
- 2 Parse each type of structure (3) with each parser (4), varying the number of embeddings
(see tutorial page for some potential stimuli, a lexicon, and a grammar from which to get started, or come up with your own; they need not be English, but if not, your report must include a translation)
- 3 Add actions to your rules that output the (maximum size of the) stack depending on the number of embeddings
- 4 Evaluate the data using a script or a spreadsheet
- 5 Show that your results match the table on the previous slide

- 1 Submit a short report (3–5 pages) presenting your results, and including other plausibility criteria
- 2 Include in your report all the materials you used, and attach an archive with your four parsers (only) (please re-initialize each model before archiving!)
- 3 Bonus: Try to link COGENT cycles (run times) in the LC arc-eager parser to human reading times as shown in the table