# Physiological Explanations of F<sub>0</sub> Declination

R. Collier<sup>1</sup> and C.E. Gelfer<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Antwerp, Belgium; Eindhoven, the Netherlands and <sup>2</sup>New York; New Haven, U.S.A.

Declination is the tendency of  $F_0$  contours to exhibit a tilted overall pattern: the major rises and falls appear to be superimposed on an imaginary baseline that drifts down over the entire course of the utterance. This baseline becomes actually visible during longer stretches of speech in which no major  $F_0$  changes occur, for instance, when there is only one pitch accent in a fairly long utterance.

Cohen, Collier and 't Hart (1982) point out that the notion of declination has developed from an operational construct, useful in the interpretation of  $F_0$  recordings, to a theoretical concept of phonetic and linguistic importance. Since declination is now being considered an intrinsic feature of speech pitch, the question regarding its physiological origin and, hence, its programming and control, becomes increasingly relevant.

#### 1. A simple model

A simple model of pitch control in speech may take the following form:

- (a) all consciously intended pitch rises and falls are effected by appropriate variations in the tension of the vocal folds; this tension is regulated by the laryngeal muscles (mainly the cricotheroid muscle and some strap muscles of the neck, such as the sternohyoid or the thyrohyoid).
- (b) the gradual downdrift of the overall pitch level, i.e. declination, is caused by slowly decreasing subglottal pressure over the course of the utterance.

Part (a) of this model is well supported by quite a number of physiological experiments, which also indicate that short term variations in subglottal pressure do not significantly contribute to the production of momentary pitch inflections (see survey in Atkinson 1978 and Ohala 1978). However, these experiments are not directly relevant to part (b) of the model, which concerns long term variations in the pitch parameter. Only a subset of the data presented in Collier (1975) suggest that part (b) of the model may be a plausible account of declination. Therefore an experiment was set up in which declination could be studied in greater detail.

#### 2. Experimental procedure

In order to observe declination in its pristine form of appearance, utterances were constructed containing no more than two pitch accents, implemented by rise-falls, while the rest of their contours shows only declination pitch (see the stylized  $F_0$  contours in Table I). The length of the utterances, more particularly the length of the actual stretch of declination, was varied in five steps: from 5 to 18 syllables (roughly 0.7 to 3 seconds). In order to factor out the effects of segmental perturbations, the (Dutch) utterances were also mimicked in reiterant speech with /ma/ and /fa/ syllables. In all there were 45 utterance types, each read five times in succession by one subject, the first author.

Simultaneous recordings were made of, among others, the following physiological variables: subglottal pressure ( $P_s$ ), recorded directly through a tracheal puncture above the first tracheal ring, and the electromyographic activity in the crico-thyroid (CT) and sternohyoid (SH) muscles, recorded with hooked-wire electrodes. The sampling and processing techniques for these parameters have been described by Harris (1981).

 $F_0$  was measured with the algorithm designed by Duifhuis, Willems and Sluyter (1982).

# 3. Results

# 3.1. $F_0$ declination

After having established in a sample of data that the inter-token variability was negligibly small, only one exemplar of the five repetitions of each utterance type was selected for  $F_0$  analysis. The  $F_0$  values, measured at the points indicated in Table I, were similar across the conditions of length variations and normal versus reiterant speech. Therefore the  $F_0$  values at these points were averaged and only the three accent conditions were kept separate.

Since the declination stretches vary in length, a relatively fixed  $\Delta F_0$  over a variably amount of time leads to systematic differences in the declination rate, as can be seen in Table I. This variable rate has been observed before, among others by 't Hart (1979).

# 3.2. $P_s$ declination

The  $P_s$  values, measured at the same points as the  $F_0$  values, and averaged over all five repetitions of each utterance type, exhibit the same tendencies as the  $F_0$  data (see Table I): they are very stable across conditions and therefore pooled together, keeping only the three accent conditions apart. It is clear that the rate of  $P_s$  declination varies with utterance length the same way as the  $F_0$  declination does. This gross correspondence between the two variables

#### 356 Physiology and Acoustics of Speech Production

#### Table I.

A. Early pitch accent					
Stylized $F_0$ contour and measuring points		2			•
Average values (and standard deviations)	· 1	3			4
at point $P_i$ $F_0$ (Hz) $n = 15$ $P_s$ (cm aq) $n = 75$	P1 109 (7) 8.3 (0.4)	<i>P2</i> 150 (14 9.2 (0.1	<i>P3</i> ) 99 3) 7.7	P4 (6) 8 (0.8)	4 (4) 4.1 (0.4)
Declination rate in					
length category $L_i$ $F_0$ (Hz/sec) $P_s$ (cm aq/sec)	L1 -14 -3.5	L2 -12 -3	L3 -9 -2.7	L4 -8 -1.9	L5 -7 -1.4
B. Late pitch accent	<u> </u>				
Stylized $F_0$ contour and measuring points					•
Average values (and standard deviations)	1			2	3
at point $P_i$ $F_0$ (Hz) $n = 15$ $P_s$ (cm aq) $n = 75$	P1 115 (3) 8.4 (0.8)	P2 90 (4) 5 (0.8)	<i>P3</i> 117 (3) 7 (0.8)	P4 77 (3) 2.6 (0.8)	4
Declination rate in					
length category L <sub>i</sub> F <sub>0</sub> (Hz/sec) P <sub>s</sub> (cm aq/sec)	L1 -46 -4.3	L2 -23 -3	L3 -16 -1.8	L4 -12 -1.4	L5 -12 -1.3
C. Double pitch accent		<u> </u>			
Stylized $F_0$ contour and measuring points		2		X	
Average values (and	1	3		• • • • • • - • -	<u> </u>
standard deviations) at point P <sub>i</sub> F <sub>0</sub> (Hz) n = 15 P <sub>s</sub> (cm aq) n = 75	<i>P1</i> 111 (5) 8.4 (1.5)	<i>P2</i> 154 (12) 10.7 (0.9)	<i>P3</i> 109 (6) 7.9 (0.9)	4 <i>P4</i> 91 (6) 5.3 (0.8)	P5 120 (4) 7.3 (0.6)
Declination rate in length category L <sub>i</sub> F <sub>0</sub> (Hz/sec) P <sub>s</sub> (cm aq/sec)	L1 -75 -6.4	<i>L2</i> -30 -3	<i>L3</i> -11 -1.9	L4 -11 -1.5	L5 -7 -1.2

Collier and Gelfer: Physiological Explanations of  $F_0$  Declination 357

suggest that  $F_0$  declination may indeed be caused by the gradual decrease of  $P_s$ .

#### 3.3. A causal relationship?

The extent to which  $P_s$  variations can effect  $F_0$  changes has been studied mostly in a number of 'push in the stomach' experiments (see a survey in Bear 1979). In the chest register the  $P_s/F_0$  ratio appears to vary between 1/3 and 1/7, which means that a  $\Delta P_s$  of 1 cm aq results in a  $\Delta F_0$  of 3 to 7 Hz. Therefore a necessary condition for  $P_s$  declination to be the (sole) cause of  $F_0$  downdrift is, that their ratio remain within these established limits.

In the 'early accent' condition of our data his is invariably the case : the  $P_s/F_0$  ratio varies exactly between 1/3 and 1/7 in the 15 utterance types that exemplify this condition. The average ratio is 1/4.



Fig. 1a. P<sub>s</sub> and EMG data, averaged over 5 repetitions of a reiterant speech utterance with /ma/ syllables. 'Early' pitch accent at line-up point 0.

In the 'double accent' case, only 9 out of 12 utterance types comply with the established ratio. Overall the ratio varies between 1/4 and 1/11, with an average of 1/7.

In the 'late accent' condition no more than 2 utterance types have a  $P_s/F_0$  ratio greater than 1/7. For the ensemble of this condition the ratio ranges between 1/6 and 1/16, with an average of 1/11.

Clearly, the  $P_s/F_0$  ratio is significantly different in the three accent conditions. In the 'early accent' situation  $P_s$  declination can in itself explain  $F_0$ declination. In the other two accent conditions the gradual decrease of  $P_s$  is often too small to account for the full extent of the  $F_0$  downdrift.

## 3.4. Other factors?

In the 'early accent' condition, illustrated in Figure 1a, there is typically no



# Collier and Gelfer: Physiological Explanations of $F_0$ Declination 359

CT activity during the declination stretch and SH shows nearly equal peaks of activity, mainly associated with segmental speech gestures such as jaw lowering. In the 'late accent' condition  $F_0$  starts at a relatively high level and this is preceded by a fairly large amount of CT activity. In many instances CT relaxes gradually (over a period of up to one second) and its relaxation is then sometimes accompanied by an increasing amount of SH contraction (see Figure 1b). Thus, the combined patterns of activity in these two muscles may account for some fraction of the  $F_0$  lowering, in cooperation with decreasing  $P_s$ . However, this picture of combined laryngeal and respiratory action does not emerge systematically enough to explain  $F_0$  declination whenever  $P_s$ alone cannot account for it. Moreover, the same pattern also emerges in some of the utterance types in which declination can in principle be explained by references to  $P_s$  only.

Roughly the same state of affairs holds for the 'double accent' condition.

## 4. Discussion and conclusion

Evidently, part (b) of our simple model accounts for the situation in which the last or only rising-falling accent occurs early in the utterance. In such a case there is no interaction of  $P_s$  with the (inactive) CT muscle or with SH (which then shows no pitch related activity). But whenever CT and (sometimes) SH are involved in pitch control, their activity is not limited to bringing about momentary pitch inflections; it can also assist in the continuous gradual pitch lowering, called declination. This means that, in certain cases,  $F_0$  declination is not the mere byproduct of respiratory regulation but is partly controlled by laryngeal action. However our data do not show any transparant trading relationship between  $P_s$  variation and CT or SH activity. Therefore, other muscular or mechanical factors that affect vocal fold tension and glottal resistance may also be involved.

## Acknowledgement

The physiological data were sampled and processed at Haskins Laboratories (New Haven, CT, USA). The  $F_0$  measurements were made at IPO (Eindhoven, the Netherlands). We thank both institutions for their hospitality.

## References

Atkinson, J.E. (1978). Correlation analysis of the physiological features controlling fundamental voice frequency. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 63, 211-222.

Baer, T. (1979). Reflex activation of laryngeal muscles by sudden induced subglottal pressure changes. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 65, 1271-1275.

Cohen, A., Collier, R. and 't Hart, J. (1982). Declination: construct or intrinsic feature of speech pitch? *Phonetica* **39**. 254-273.

Collier, R. (1975). Physiological correlates of intonation patterns. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 58, 249-255.



#### 360 Physiology and Acoustics of Speech Production

- Duifhuis, H., Willems, L.F. and Sluyter, R.J. (1982). Measurement of pitch in speech: an implementation of Goldstein's theory of pitch perception. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 71, 1568-1580.
- Harris, K.S. (1981). Electromyography as a technique for laryngeal investigation. In: C.L. Ludlow and M.O. Hart (Eds.), ASHA Reports: Proceedings of the Conference on the Assessment of Vocal Pathology, 1981.
- Ohala, J.J. (1978). Production of tone. In: V. Fromkin (Ed.), *Tone: a linguistic survey*. New York, Academic Press.
- 't Hart, J. (1979). Explorations in automatic stylization of F<sub>0</sub> contours. IPO Annual Progress Report 14, 61-65.