Syntactic Theory Introduction

Yi Zhang & Antske Fokkens

Department of Computational Linguistics Saarland University

October 15, 2009

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

We can view syntax/syntactic theory in a number of ways, two of which are the following:

- Psychological way/model: syntactic structures correspond to what is in the heads of speakers and hearers
- Computational way/model: syntactic structures are formal objects which can be mathematically treated/manipulated

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Syntactic Analysis

- Focus on collection of words and rules with which we generate
 - strings of those words (weak generative power)
 - structures which license strings of those words (strong generative power)
- Syntax attempts to capture the nature of those rules:
 - 1. Colorless green ideas sleep furiously
 - 2. *Furiously sleep ideas green colorless.
 - 3. *Sally talk to man.
 - 4. Sally talks to a man.
- What generalizations are needed to capture the difference between grammatical and ungrammatical sentences?

[Sag, Watson and Bender, 2003]

A window on the structure of the mind

Innateness of the language faculty (Chomsky)
Universal Grammar

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

A window on the mind's activity

- Cognitive process
 - Ambiguity management

Natural language technologies

- Parsing
- Generation
- Grammar checking

[Sag, Watson and Bender, 2003]

- A window on the structure of the mind
 - Innateness of the language faculty (Chomsky)

- Universal Grammar
- A window on the mind's activity
 - Cognitive process
 - Ambiguity management
- Natural language technologies
 - Parsing
 - Generation
 - Grammar checking

[Sag, Watson and Bender, 2003]

- A window on the structure of the mind
 - Innateness of the language faculty (Chomsky)

- Universal Grammar
- A window on the mind's activity
 - Cognitive process
 - Ambiguity management
- Natural language technologies
 - Parsing
 - Generation
 - Grammar checking

[Sag, Watson and Bender, 2003]

- A window on the structure of the mind
 - Innateness of the language faculty (Chomsky)

- Universal Grammar
- A window on the mind's activity
 - Cognitive process
 - Ambiguity management
- Natural language technologies
 - Parsing
 - Generation
 - Grammar checking

[Sag, Watson and Bender, 2003]

- A window on the structure of the mind
 - Innateness of the language faculty (Chomsky)

- Universal Grammar
- A window on the mind's activity
 - Cognitive process
 - Ambiguity management
- Natural language technologies
 - Parsing
 - Generation
 - Grammar checking

[Sag, Watson and Bender, 2003]

- A window on the structure of the mind
 - Innateness of the language faculty (Chomsky)

- Universal Grammar
- A window on the mind's activity
 - Cognitive process
 - Ambiguity management
- Natural language technologies
 - Parsing
 - Generation
 - Grammar checking

Prescriptive grammar

Consists of admonitions not to use certain forms or constructions that are common in everyday speech:

- Never split an infinitive.
- A preposition is a bad word to end a sentence with.

Human language is a phenomenon amenable to scientific investigation, rather than something to be regulated by the decrees of authorities

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Prescriptive grammar

Consists of admonitions not to use certain forms or constructions that are common in everyday speech:

- Never split an infinitive.
- A preposition is a bad word to end a sentence with.

Human language is a phenomenon amenable to scientific investigation, rather than something to be regulated by the decrees of authorities

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Prescriptive grammar

Consists of admonitions not to use certain forms or constructions that are common in everyday speech:

- Never split an infinitive.
- A preposition is a bad word to end a sentence with.

Human language is a phenomenon amenable to scientific investigation, rather than something to be regulated by the decrees of authorities

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Prescriptive grammar

Consists of admonitions not to use certain forms or constructions that are common in everyday speech:

- Never split an infinitive.
- A preposition is a bad word to end a sentence with.

Human language is a phenomenon amenable to scientific investigation, rather than something to be regulated by the decrees of authorities

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Prescriptive grammar

Consists of admonitions not to use certain forms or constructions that are common in everyday speech:

- Never split an infinitive.
- A preposition is a bad word to end a sentence with.

Human language is a phenomenon amenable to scientific investigation, rather than something to be regulated by the decrees of authorities

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Descriptive grammar

 Observes language and creates conceptual categories for it without establishing rules of language

- Consults intuitions of native speakers on what sounds good
 - 1. They saw Pat with Chris.
 - 2. They saw Pat and Chris.
 - 3. Who did they see Pat with?
 - 4. * Who did they see Pat and?

Two terms are in many cases used interchangeably, but

Syntax contrasts with semantics, morphology and phonology, and focus on the way words are put together into phrases, and phrases into sentences, although the boundaries are not always sharp.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Computational grammar formalisms share several properties

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

- Descriptive adequacy
- Precise encoding
- Constrained formalism

Some researchers try to explain the underlying mechanisms, but we are most concerned with being able to describe linguistic phenomena

- Provide a structural description for every well-formed sentence
- Give us an accurate encoding of a language
- Give us broad-coverage, i.e., can (try to) describe all of a language

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Precise Encoding

Mathematical Formalism: formal way to generate sets of strings or structures

Precisely define:

- elementary structures
- ways of combining those structures

Such an emphasis on mathematical precision makes these grammar formalism more easily implementable

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Constrained Formalism

A formalism must be **constrained**:

- Linguistic motivation: limits the scope of the theory of grammar
- Computational motivation: allows us to define efficient processing models

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Simplistic Syntactic Theory Example I

List as grammars

A grammar consists of a list of all the well-formed sentences in the language

- Some sentences go on and on.
- Some sentences go on and on and on.
- Some sentences go on and on and on and on.
- ▶ ...
- ► Grammar 𝒢₁ is defined by the language ℒ it self, as a set of strings 𝒢₁ = {s_i|s_i ∈ ℒ}

- Weak expressive power: cannot enumerate all possible sentences in a language
- No (useful) structure
- No generalization over linguistic phenomena

Simplistic Syntactic Theory Example I

List as grammars

A grammar consists of a list of all the well-formed sentences in the language

- Some sentences go on and on.
- Some sentences go on and on and on.
- Some sentences go on and on and on and on.

► Grammar 𝒢₁ is defined by the language ℒ it self, as a set of strings 𝒢₁ = {s_i | s_i ∈ ℒ}

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- Weak expressive power: cannot enumerate all possible sentences in a language
- No (useful) structure
- No generalization over linguistic phenomena

Simplistic Syntactic Theory Example I

List as grammars

A grammar consists of a list of all the well-formed sentences in the language

- Some sentences go on and on.
- Some sentences go on and on and on.
- Some sentences go on and on and on and on.
- ▶ ...
- ► Grammar 𝒢₁ is defined by the language ℒ it self, as a set of strings 𝒢₁ = {s_i | s_i ∈ ℒ}

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- Weak expressive power: cannot enumerate all possible sentences in a language
- No (useful) structure
- No generalization over linguistic phenomena

Simplistic Syntactic Theory Example II

Regular Expressions

Regular Expressions, i.e. patterns making use of Kleene star (and Kleene plus), parentheses for optionality, and the vertical bar for alternatives, can be used to describe grammars

- G₂: Some sentences go on [and on]⁺.
- Insufficient description power to capture generalizations

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Syntactic Theories to be Reviewed

In this course, we will introduce the following linguistic frameworks

Chomskyan Transformational Tradition & Minimalism

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

- Dependency Grammar
- Tree Adjoining Grammar
- Lexical Functional Grammar
- Head-Driven Phrase Structure Grammar

With particular focus on the LFG and HPSG

Organizational Matters

- Time: Tuesday 16:15 17:45, Thursday 14:15 15:45
- Location: Seminar Room, C72
- Office hours: Thursday 13:00 14:00 (after email contact)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

- Credit Points: 6 CP
- Course Homepage:

http://www.coli.uni-saarland.de/courses/syntactic-theory-09/

Lectures, Exercises, and Exam

- Regular attendance of the lectures are required
- Exercises needs to be submitted in, and will be corrected

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

 One must "pass" at least half of the exercises to be qualified for the final exam