Syntactic Theory WS09-10 Assignment 3, LFG Task 1: due December 1st 2009 Tasks 2-3: due December 8th 2009, 16:15

01.12.2009

(1) The secretary has called the professor.

- (2) a. Peter hat dem M\u00e4dchen eine Puppe verkauft.
 Peter.nom has the girl.dat a doll.acc sold
 "Peter sold a doll to the girl".
 - b. Eine Puppe hat Peter dem Mädchen verkauft.a doll.acc has Peter.nom the girl.dat sold"Peter sold a doll to the girl".
 - c. Dem M\u00e4dchen hat Peter eine Puppe verkauft.the girl.dat has Peter.nom a doll.acc sold"Peter sold a doll to the girl".
 - d. Eine Puppe verkauft hat Peter dem Mädchen.a doll.acc sold has Peter.nom the girl.dat"Peter sold a doll to the girl".
 - Task II (40 points): Provide the PS-rules that will generate examples (2a)-(2d): make sure the PS-rules are annotated appropriately. Provide c-structures and f-structure of three of the four sentences.

Notes:

- There are two ways to analyze the auxiliary + participle construction: (1) making the participle XCOMP of the auxiliary, or the monoclausal analysis. The monoclausal analysis assumes that the main predicate comes from 'verkaufen' and the auxiliary does not introduce a predicate at all. The monoclausal solution is easiest and therefore presented first.

- The c-structures of all sentences (both monoclausal and xcomp analysis) are represented in a separate document (lfgtrees.pdf)
- You may have different PS-rules that create different c-structures: this is fine, as long as your c-structures can be generated by your phrase structure rules.

Sample Solution:

$$\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{VF} \ \mathrm{LB} \ \mathrm{MF} \ \mathrm{RB}$$

$$VF \equiv \begin{cases} NP & | NP & | NP & | VP \\ (\uparrow SUBJ) = \downarrow & (\uparrow OBJ) = \downarrow & (\uparrow OBJ_{\theta}) = \downarrow & \uparrow = \downarrow \\ (\downarrow CASE) = NOM & (\downarrow CASE) = acc & (\downarrow CASE) = dat \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{LB} &\equiv \mathrm{V} \\ \uparrow &= \downarrow \\ \mathrm{MF} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{NP} \\ (\uparrow \ \mathrm{SUBJ}) = \downarrow \\ (\downarrow \ \mathrm{CASE}) = \ \mathrm{NOM} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{NP} \\ (\uparrow \ \mathrm{OBJ}_{\theta}) = \downarrow \\ (\downarrow \ \mathrm{CASE}) = \ \mathrm{DAT} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{NP} \\ (\uparrow \ \mathrm{OBJ}) = \downarrow \\ (\downarrow \ \mathrm{CASE}) = \ \mathrm{ACC} \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

$$RB \equiv (V')$$

$$\uparrow = \downarrow$$

$$V' \rightarrow V$$

$$\uparrow = \downarrow$$

$$NP \rightarrow D \qquad N$$

$$\uparrow = \downarrow \uparrow = \downarrow$$

For c-structures, see lfgtrees.pdf. The f-structure is the same for all sentences (we are not addressing 'topic' or 'focus' for now). It looks as follows:

```
PRED
          \operatorname{verkaufen}((\uparrow \operatorname{SUBJ}), (\uparrow \operatorname{OBJ}), (\uparrow \operatorname{OBJ}_{\theta}))
TENSE PAST
VFORM PTC
           PRED 'Peter'
           NUM SG
SUBJ
           PERS 3
           CASE NOM
           PRED 'Puppe
           DEF
                  -
           NUM SG
OBJ
           PERS 3
           CASE ACC
           GEN F
           PRED 'Mädchen
           DEF
                    +
           NUM SG
OBJ_{\theta}
           PERS 3
           CASE DAT
           GEN N
```

NOTE (for either solution):

- IMPORTANT: your ps-rules must be able to derive your cstructure, including annotations: make sure you check whether this works
- IMPORTANT: your f-structure must be derived from c-structure in combination with the lexical items in the tree.
 - * Make sure you represent the relevant lexicon, either separately from the c-structure (see slides of the lecture of 1/12/2009 on how to define the lexicon), or you can present lexical information at the bottom of the tree (see sample solution)
 - * Recall that each node in the tree is associated with an fstructure by function $\phi(n)$. \uparrow brings you to the f-structure associated with the mother node: \downarrow brings you to the fstructure associated with the current node. Make sure your c-structure defines correctly where each item ends up in the f-structure: check this!
- If you use topological fields (VF, LB, MF, RB) and you rewrite them (MF \rightarrow NP) rather than making them equal to (MF \equiv NP)

this is fine as well, but in that case nodes corresponding to the field (VF, LB, MF, RB) have to appear in the c-structure (with appropriate annotation $(\uparrow = \downarrow)$).

Sample Solution xcomp analysis:

$$S \rightarrow VF LB MF RB$$

$$VF \equiv \begin{cases} NP & | NP & | NP & | VP \\ (\uparrow \text{ SUBJ}) = \downarrow & (\uparrow \text{ XCOMP OBJ}) = \downarrow & (\uparrow \text{ XCOMP OBJ}_{\theta}) = \downarrow & \uparrow = \downarrow \\ (\downarrow \text{ CASE}) = \text{ NOM } & (\downarrow \text{ CASE}) = acc & (\downarrow \text{ CASE}) = dat \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} \text{LB} &\equiv V \\ \uparrow &= \downarrow \\ \text{MF} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} \text{NP} \\ (\uparrow \text{ subj}) = \downarrow \\ (\downarrow \text{ case}) = \text{ nom} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \text{NP} \\ (\uparrow \text{ xcomp obj}) = \downarrow \\ (\downarrow \text{ case}) = \text{ dat} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \text{NP} \\ (\uparrow \text{ xcomp obj}) = \downarrow \\ (\downarrow \text{ case}) = \text{ acc} \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{RB} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} V' \\ (\uparrow \text{ xcomp}) = \downarrow \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{V}' &\to V \\ \uparrow &= \downarrow \\ \text{NP} &\to D \quad \text{N} \\ \uparrow &= \downarrow \uparrow = \downarrow \end{split}$$

$$VP \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} NP \\ (\uparrow \text{ OBJ}_{\theta}) = \downarrow \\ (\downarrow \text{ CASE}) = \text{ DAT} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} NP \\ (\uparrow \text{ OBJ}) = \downarrow \\ (\downarrow \text{ CASE}) = \text{ ACC} \end{pmatrix} V$$
$$\uparrow = \downarrow$$

Some common errors

- Many solutions for the XCOMP analysis used annotations such as $(\uparrow OBJ) = \downarrow$. Careful! If NP is an immediate daughter of S (or

MF/VF) the NP will become object of the auxiliary (i.e. placed in the main f-structure) rather than that of XCOMP 'verkaufen'. Make sure you understand why this is the case, and why XCOMP need not be specified when the object is daughter of VP (see the last PS-rule)

- Again: alternative solutions are possible as well: as long as cstructure can be derived from the ps-rules and f-structure from the c-structure.
- Task III (30 points): Consider the examples (3)-(6), given a perfect grammar and lexicon for English: what happens if we analyze these sentences? Please make sure your answer is precise for each individual example.
 - (3) * Peter slept the dog to the cat
 - (4) * Peter gave the girl
 - (5) * Peter to the girl gave the puppy
 - (6) * Him gave the girl a puppy

Sample solution

- (3) An f-structure that is **incoherent** will be derived for this sentence. The verb *sleep* is intransitive and does not accommodate the OBJ argument 'the dog' or OBL argument 'the cat'
- (4) An f-structure that is **incomplete** will be derived for this sentence. The verb *give* either needs an additional OBL or OBJ_{θ} argument
- (5) Two correct answers for this sentence. There should be no phrase structure rule $S \rightarrow NP PP VP$ for English, so (correct answer 1) it is not possible to get a c-structure for this sentence. Or (correct answer 2) to the girl will be analyzed as modifier of *Peter* and the result will be an incomplete f-structure (as for example (4))
- (6) The c-structure will make him SUBJ of the sentence, but this will result in a case clash: the subject must have nominative case, and him bears accusative case. The f-structure will thus be inconsistent (two different values are assigned to the feature SUBJ CASE) (Note that according to most linguistic analyses English does not have datives, but this is a detail).