Syntactic Theory WS09-10 Assignment 6, LFG Deadline: 26.01.2010, 16:15 Note: Question 2 will be done in class

19.01.2010

$\mathrm{IP} \rightarrow$	$ \begin{pmatrix} \text{TopicP} \\ (\uparrow \text{ topic}) = \downarrow \\ (\uparrow \text{ topic}) = (\uparrow \text{ TopicPath}) \end{pmatrix} $			$\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{IP} \\ \uparrow = \downarrow \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathrm{IP} \rightarrow$	NP	Ι'		
$\mathrm{I'} \rightarrow$	(V)	VP		
$\mathrm{VP} \rightarrow$	V	(NP CI	P)	
$\mathrm{CP} \rightarrow$	(C)	IP		
$\mathrm{NP} \rightarrow$	(D)	Ν	(PP)	
$\mathrm{PP} \rightarrow$	Р	(NP)		

 $TopicP \equiv \{NP|PP|VP|AP|CP\}$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{TOPICPATH} \equiv \{\text{xcomp} \mid \text{ COMp} \mid | \text{ OBJ } \}^* \{ (\text{ADJ} \in)(\text{GF}) \mid \text{GF} \} \\ (\rightarrow \text{LDD}) \neq \text{-} (\rightarrow \text{TENSE}) \quad \neg (\rightarrow \text{TENSE}) \end{array}$$

- 1. Consider the PS-rules presented above:
 - (a) Add the annotations to the unannotated PS-rules above

- (b) Provide the c- and f-structure for the sentence in (i). You may simplify lexical entries at the bottom of tree (and in your f-structure) by reducing them to their predicates, though it is recommended to provide fully informative entries if you feel you need more practice.
- (i) Chris, David claims that Pauline likes.
- 2. Again, considering the PS-rules presented above. Which of the following expressions would be accepted by the grammar? Explain your answer in one sentence for each excluded sentence.
 - (ii) Chris, David gave his favourite book to.
 - (iii) To Chris, David gave his favourite book.
 - (iv) Bagels, David claims he saw Chris when he ate.
 - (v) Bagels, David claims he ate when he saw Chris.
 - (vi) To walk, Chris seemed.
 - (vii) Brown hair, Peter saw a girl with.
 - (viii) Daniel, David gave his favourite book to Chris.
 - (ix) Chris, David gave his favourite book to Chris.
- 3. The provided PS-rules are clearly not perfect. Can you propose changes to improve this topicalization analysis?