

Semantic Theory

week 9 – DRT: Syntax and Accessibility

Noortje Venhuizen

Universität des Saarlandes

Summer 2016

DRS Syntax

A discourse representation structure (DRS) K is a pair $\langle U_K, C_K \rangle$, where:


- $U_K \subseteq U_D$ and U_D is a set of discourse referents, and
- C_K is a set of well-formed DRS conditions

Well-formed DRS conditions:

- $R(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ *where:* R is an n -place relation, $u_i \in U_D$
- $u = v$ $u, v \in U_D$
- $u = a$ $u \in U_D$, a is a constant
- $\neg K_1$ K_1 is a DRS
- $K_1 \Rightarrow K_2$ K_1 and K_2 are DRSs
- $K_1 \vee K_2$ K_1 and K_2 are DRSs

Anaphora and accessibility

Mary knows a professor. If she owns a book, he reads it. ?It fascinates him.



Non-accessible discourse referents

Cases of non-accessibility:

- (1) *If a professor owns a book, he reads it. It has 300 pages.*
- (2) *It is not the case that a professor owns a book. He reads it.*
- (3) *Every professor owns a book. He reads it.*
- (4) *If every professor owns a book, he reads it.*
- (5) *Peter owns a book, or Mary reads it.*
- (6) *Peter reads a book, or Mary reads a newspaper article. It is interesting.*

Accessible discourse referents

The following discourse referents are accessible for a condition:

- DRs in the same local DRS
- DRs in a superordinate DRS
- DRs in the universe of an antecedent DRS, if the condition occurs in the consequent DRS.

We need a formal notion of DRS subordination

Subordination

A DRS K_1 is an immediate sub-DRS of a DRS $K = \langle U_K, C_K \rangle$ iff C_K contains a condition of the form

- $\neg K_1, K_1 \Rightarrow K_2, K_2 \Rightarrow K_1, K_1 \vee K_2$ or $K_2 \vee K_1$.

K_1 is a sub-DRS of K (notation: $K_1 \leq K$) iff

- $K_1 = K$, or
- K_1 is an immediate sub-DRS of K , or
- there is a DRS K_2 such that $K_1 \leq K_2$ and K_2 is an immediate sub-DRS of K (i.e. reflexive, transitive closure)


K_1 is a proper sub-DRS of K iff $K_1 \leq K$ and $K_1 \neq K$.

Accessibility

Let K, K_1, K_2 be DRSs such that $K_1, K_2 \leq K, x \in U_{K_1}, \gamma \in C_{K_2}$

x is accessible from γ in K iff

- $K_2 \leq K_1$ or
- there are $K_3, K_4 \leq K$ such that $K_1 \Rightarrow K_3 \in C_{K_4}$ and $K_2 \leq K_3$



Free and bound variables in DRT

A DRS variable x , introduced in DRS K_1 , is bound in global DRS K iff there exists a DRS $K_j \leq K$, such that:

- (i) $K_i \leq K_j$;
- (ii) $x \in U(K_j)$.

Properness: A DRS is *proper* iff it does not contain any free variables

Purity: A DRS is *pure* iff it does not contain any *otiose declarations* of variables


 $x \in U(K_1)$ and $x \in U(K_2)$ and $K_1 \leq K_2$

Playing in the sandbox

PDRT-SANDBOX is a Haskell library that implements Discourse Representation Theory (and its extension Projective Discourse Representation Theory)

<http://hbrouwer.github.io/pdrt-sandbox/>



- Define your own DRSs, using the internal syntax or the set-theoretic notation
- Show the DRSs in different output formats (boxes, linear boxes, set-theoretic, internal syntax)
- Composition of DRSs (more on that next week)
- Translate DRSs to FOL formulas
- ... and more!

DRS Syntax in PDRT-SANDBOX

DRS: DRS [...] [...] referents conditions



Referents: DRSRef "x" , DRSRef "Mary"

Conditions:

Relation: Rel (DRSRel "man") [DRSRef "x"]

Identity: Rel (DRSRel "=") [DRSRef "x" , DRSRef "y"]

Negation: Neg (DRS [...] [...])

Implication: Imp (DRS [...] [...]) (DRS [...] [...])

Disjunction: Or (DRS [...] [...]) (DRS [...] [...])

Properties: isPure(DRS [...] [...]) , isProper(DRS [...] [...])

This week's take-home assignment:

- Download and install PDRT-SANDBOX

<http://hbrouwer.github.io/pdrt-sandbox/>

- Get familiar with the software by trying out the DRS tutorial

<https://github.com/hbrouwer/pdrt-sandbox/blob/master/tutorials/DRSTutorial.hs>

(you can skip the part about “Combining DRSs” for now)

- Playing in the sandbox: create your own DRSs, and see what else you can do with it.

Literature

- Discourse Representation Theory: Hans Kamp (1981), Irene Heim (1980)
- Reading: Hans Kamp and Uwe Reyle: From Discourse to Logic, Kluwer: Dordrecht 1993.