Exercises due on: Tuesday, June 28, 10 AM (before class)

Semantic Theory 2016: Exercise sheet 7

Exercise 1

Consider the following discourses:

- (1) Robin is either in love with Ted, or with Barney.
- (2) Barney does not seduce every girl.
- (3) Ted meets a girl. If she forgets her umbrella, he doesn't return it.
- a. Give DRS representations of these discourses.
- b. Determine for each DRS which discourse referents are available for anaphoric reference (i.e., from a subsequent sentence).
- c. Give the truth-conditions for the resulting representations, based on the model-theoretic interpretation of DRSs using verifying embeddings.

Exercise 2

- 2.1 Formulate the lambda-DRSs of the following lexical items using PDRT-SANDBOX. Provide the lambda-DRS itself, as well as the internal representation from PDRT-SANDBOX (you can just send them to me in a file by email).
 - (i) to like :: $\langle e, \langle e, t \rangle \rangle$
- (ii) no :: $\langle \langle e, t \rangle, \langle \langle e, t \rangle, t \rangle \rangle$
- (iii) because :: $\langle t, \langle t, t \rangle \rangle$
- 2.2 Derive the representation of the following sentence using the lambda-DRSs defined above—see the slides for the lambda-DRSs of names, one-place predicates, and pronouns. Show the relevant beta-reduction steps. (Tip: you can use your lambda-terms in PDRT-SANDBOX to verify your solution!)
- (4) Ted is sad because no girl likes him.

Exercise 3

PDRT-SANDBOX incorporates the function isFOLDRS (DRS -> Bool), which can be used as a constraint on the translation from DRSs to FOL formulas (using drsToFOL). Try to find out which property or properties of DRSs are described by this function, and explain why this would be a requirement for translating DRSs to FOL formulas.