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## Past Lectures and Today

1. General Principles of Bayesian Inference: define a random quantity of interest $\rightarrow$ define a joint density of probability $\rightarrow$ condition on observed data to obtain a predictive posterior density.
2. The Dirichlet-Multinomial model: how to define prior densities over discrete (finite or countably infinite) probability distributions.
3. MCMC Methods: how to Sample from (and compute expected values under) the posterior distribution when direct computation of the posterior density is not directly feasible.

## Past Lectures and Today

1. General Principles of Bayesian Inference: define a random quantity of interest $\rightarrow$ define a joint density of probability $\rightarrow$ condition on observed data to obtain a predictive posterior density.
2. The Dirichlet-Multinomial model: how to define prior densities over discrete (finite or countably infinite) probability distributions.
3. MCMC Methods: how to Sample from (and compute expected values under) the posterior distribution when direct computation of the posterior density is not directly feasible.
4. Variational Inference: Approximate the posterior distribution.

## Problem Reminder

- Recall the HMM language model from last session:

- (observed) words $w_{i} \in L$. hidden tags / latent variables $h_{i} \in H$.
- Transition probabilities $\delta\left(h_{i+1} \mid h_{i}\right)$ from hidden states to hidden states.
- Emission probabilities $e\left(w_{i} \mid h_{i}\right)$ in every hidden states.
- prior densities $p_{0}(\delta(\cdot \mid h))$ (over probability vectors over $H$ ) for every $h$.
- prior densities $p_{0}(e(\cdot \mid h))$ (over probability vectors over $L$ ) for every $h$.


## Problem Reminder (cont'd)

## Inference

After observing the sequence of words $\mathbf{w}=w_{0} \ldots w_{n}$, what are the posterior densities over transitions and emission probabilities?

- Assume for simplicity $w_{0}=$ start, $t_{0}=\langle S\rangle$ with prob. 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p\left(\langle\delta(\cdot \mid h)\rangle_{h \in H},\left\langle e(\cdot \mid h)_{h \in H}\right\rangle \mid \mathbf{w}\right)=\frac{p\left(\langle\delta(\cdot \mid h)\rangle_{h \in H},\left\langle e(\cdot \mid h)_{h \in H}\right\rangle, \mathbf{w}\right)}{p(\mathbf{w})} \\
& =\frac{\prod_{h \in H} p_{0}(\delta(\cdot \mid h)) \times p_{0}(e(\cdot \mid h)) \times \overbrace{\sum_{\mathbf{h}_{0} \ldots \mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{n}}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \delta\left(h_{i} \mid h_{i-1}\right) \times e\left(w_{i} \mid h_{i}\right)}^{\text {computable in } O\left(n|H|^{2}\right) \text { (forward-backward algo.) }}}{p(\underbrace{p(\mathbf{w})}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Expensive computation: marginalize twice over $|H|-1$ simplex.

## More generally

- Z random variable describing latent variables.
- X random variable describing observed events.
- Joint density $p(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{z})=\overbrace{p(\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{z})}^{\text {prior }} \times p(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{X} \mid \mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{z})$.
- We're interested in posterior density $p(\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})=\frac{p(\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})}$. But too expensive to compute (in particular $p(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})$ ).
- Last time: find way to sample without explicit computation.
- Today, variational inference: find $q^{*}(\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{z})$ the best approximation of $p(\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})$ over a family of probability densities $\mathcal{Q}$.


## Why another inference technique?

- Metropolis-Hastings guarantees convergence in probability, but convergence time might be very slow (random walk effect).
- Variational inference generally faster but yield approximate distribution.
- Hence variational inference can be useful to quickly evaluate a wide range of model over large data.
- Sometimes Gibbs Sampling not possible, MCMC methods not straightforwardly usable.


## Variational Inference

1. Define a set of probability densities over latent variables $\mathcal{Q}$ (in pratice $\mathcal{Q}=\left\{\boldsymbol{q}_{\theta}(\mathbf{Z}) \mid \theta \in \Theta\right\}, \theta$ vector of so called variational parameters).
2. Search for $q^{*} \in \mathcal{Q}$ s.t. $q^{*}$ mimizes the Kullback-Leibler divergence to $p(\mathbf{Z} \mid \mathbf{x})$.

$$
q^{*}=\operatorname{argmin}_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} K L(q(\mathbf{Z}) \| p(\mathbf{Z} \mid \mathbf{x}))
$$

KL divergence

$$
\begin{aligned}
K L\left(p_{1}(\mathbf{Z}) \| p_{2}(\mathbf{Z})\right) & \triangleq \int p_{1}(\mathbf{z})\left(\log \left(p_{1}(\mathbf{z})\right)-\log \left(p_{2}(\mathbf{z})\right)\right) d \mathbf{z} \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{p_{1}}\left(\log \left(p_{1}(\mathbf{Z})\right)\right)-\mathbb{E}_{p_{1}}\left(\log \left(p_{2}(\mathbf{Z})\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Information theoretic quantity.
- is O only when densities are equal.
- is always positive.


## Evidence Lower Bound

- $K L\left(q(\mathbf{Z}) \| p(\mathbf{Z} \mid \mathbf{x})=\mathbb{E}_{q}(\log (q(\mathbf{Z})))-\mathbb{E}_{q}(\log (p(\mathbf{Z} \mid \mathbf{x})))\right.$ depends on $p(\mathbf{Z} \mid \mathbf{x})$ which we don't know how to compute.
- KL(q(Z) \|p(Z|x)= $\mathbb{E}_{q}(\log (q(\mathbf{Z})))-\mathbb{E}_{q}(\log (p(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})))+\log (p(\mathbf{x}))$ (Exercise).
- We can mimize instead $\mathbb{E}_{q}(\log (q(\mathbf{Z})))-\mathbb{E}_{q}(\log (p(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x}))$, or equivalently maximize

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{elb}(q) & =\mathbb{E}_{q}(\log (p(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})))-\mathbb{E}_{q}(\log (q(\mathbf{Z}))) \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{q}(\log (p(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{Z})))-K L(q(\mathbf{Z}) \| p(\mathbf{Z}))
\end{aligned}
$$

(Exercise: proove this).

## Evidence Lower Bound (cont'd)

$$
e l b(q)=\mathbb{E}_{q}(\log (p(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{Z})))-K L(q(\mathbf{Z}) \| p(\mathbf{Z}))
$$

- Does not depend on the normalization factor $p(\mathbf{x})$ anymore!
- $e l b(q) \leq \log (p(\mathbf{x}))$ (Exercise).
- But what should $\mathcal{Q}$ look like? How do we find optimal $q^{*}$ ?


## Mean-field Variational Inference

- Assume $\mathbf{Z}=\left\langle Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{n}\right\rangle$.
- Simplifying assumption: let $\mathcal{Q}$ be such that latent variables $Z_{i}$ and $Z_{j}$ are independant under every $q \in \mathcal{Q}$.
- $\mathcal{Q}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{Q}_{i}$, for every $q=\left\langle q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right\rangle \in \mathcal{Q}$

$$
q\left(Z_{1}=z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}=z_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left(Z_{i}=z_{i}\right)
$$

- This is known has the mean-field variational family.
- Idea: can approximate marginals $p\left(Z_{i} \mid x\right)$ closely, but won't account for dependence of the latent variables on one another under the true joint posterior $p(\mathbf{Z} \mid x)$.
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## Optimization

## Recall Gibbs Sampling from last session:

- From current state $\left\langle z_{1}^{t+1}, \ldots, z_{i-1}^{t+1}, z_{i}^{t}, \ldots, z_{n}^{t}\right\rangle$.


## Optimization

## Recall Gibbs Sampling from last session:

- From current state $\left\langle z_{1}^{t+1}, \ldots, z_{i-1}^{t+1}, z_{i}^{t}, \ldots, z_{n}^{t}\right\rangle$.
- $\operatorname{Fix} \mathbf{z}_{\neg i}=\left\langle z_{1}^{t+1}, \ldots, z_{i-1}^{t+1}, z_{i+1}^{t}, \ldots, z_{n}^{t}\right\rangle$.


## Optimization

## Recall Gibbs Sampling from last session:

- From current state $\left\langle z_{1}^{t+1}, \ldots, z_{i-1}^{t+1}, z_{i}^{t}, \ldots, z_{n}^{t}\right\rangle$.
- $\operatorname{Fix} \mathbf{z}_{-i}=\left\langle z_{1}^{t+1}, \ldots, z_{i-1}^{t+1}, z_{i+1}^{t}, \ldots, z_{n}^{t}\right\rangle$.
- Sample $z_{i}^{t+1}$ from conditional distribution $p\left(z^{t+1} \mid \mathbf{z}_{\neg i}, x\right)$.


## Optimization

## Recall Gibbs Sampling from last session:

- From current state $\left\langle z_{1}^{t+1}, \ldots, z_{i-1}^{t+1}, z_{i}^{t}, \ldots, z_{n}^{t}\right\rangle$.
- $\operatorname{Fix} \mathbf{z}_{-i}=\left\langle z_{1}^{t+1}, \ldots, z_{i-1}^{t+1}, z_{i+1}^{t}, \ldots, z_{n}^{t}\right\rangle$.
- Sample $z_{i}^{t+1}$ from conditional distribution $p\left(z^{t+1} \mid \mathbf{z}_{\neg i}, x\right)$.

Successive (manageable) coordinate updates yield new samples!
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## Optimization (cont'd)

Coordinate Ascent Mean-field V.I.
To find a (local) optimum $q^{*}=\left\langle q_{1}^{*}, \ldots, q_{n}^{*}\right\rangle \in \mathcal{Q}$ :

- Assume approximation after step $t: q_{0}^{t}=\left\langle q_{1}^{t}, \ldots q_{n}^{t}\right\rangle$.
- Update coordinate $1, \ldots, n$ successively.
- If coordinate $1, \ldots, i-1$ have been updated:

$$
q_{i-1}^{t}=\left\langle q_{1}^{t+1}, \ldots, q_{i-1}^{t+1}, q_{i}^{t}, q_{i+1}^{t}, \ldots, q_{n}^{t}\right\rangle
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- Then update coordinate i following

$$
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Successive (manageable) coordinate updates yield refined approximations!

## Update Rule

## Update rule

- How find $q_{i}^{t+1}=\operatorname{argmax}_{q_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{Q}_{i}} \operatorname{llb}\left(\left\langle q_{1}^{t+1}, \ldots, q_{i-1}^{t+1}, q_{i}^{\prime}, \ldots, q_{n}^{t}\right\rangle\right)$ ?
- (depending on time) we admit the following result:

$$
\log \left(q_{i}^{t+1}\left(Z_{i}=\mathbf{z}_{i}\right)\right)=\frac{\overbrace{\mathbb{E}_{q_{-i}}\left(\log \left(p\left(Z_{i}=\mathbf{z}_{i}, \mathbf{Z}_{-\mathrm{i}}=\mathbf{z}_{-\mathrm{i}}, \mathbf{x}\right)\right)\right)}^{\text {Will generally decompose over the } q_{i}}}{\underbrace{\int_{z} \mathbb{E}_{q_{-i}}\left(\log \left(p\left(Z_{i}=\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{z}_{-\mathrm{i}}, \mathbf{x}\right)\right)\right) d z}_{\text {Summation over one coordinate only }}}
$$

## Back to the HMM example



- We let priors follow Dirichlet distributions:

$$
p_{0}(\delta(\cdot \mid h))=\frac{\prod_{h^{\prime} \in H} \delta\left(h^{\prime} \mid h\right)^{\alpha_{h}^{h^{\prime}}}}{B\left(\alpha_{\mathbf{h}}^{\delta}\right)} \quad p_{O}(e(\cdot \mid h))=\frac{\prod_{w \in L} \delta(w \mid h)^{\alpha_{h}^{w}}}{B\left(\alpha_{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathbf{e}}\right)}
$$

with $\alpha_{h}^{\delta}=\left\langle\alpha_{h}^{h^{\prime}}\right\rangle_{h^{\prime} \in H}$ and $\alpha_{h}^{e}=\left\langle\alpha_{h}^{w}\right\rangle_{w \in L}$.

