

# Shallow Text Generation

Stephan Busemann  
DFKI GmbH  
Stuhlsatzenhausweg 3  
D-66123 Saarbrücken

`busemann@dfki.de`  
`http://www.dfki.de/~busemann`



## Application Systems for NLG Must be Developed Quickly and in a User-Oriented Way

- **Requirements placed by the application**
  - on the user: recognize and articulate needs
  - on the developer: make herself acquainted with the domain
  - on both: create and adapt a corpus of sample target texts
- **Requirements wrt the software**
  - Adaptability to new tasks and domains
  - Scalability (low costs of the next rule)
  - Modularisation (interpreter, daten, knowledge, interfaces)

High efficiency of development is difficult to achieve with traditional approaches to language generation



## Non-Trivial Generation Systems are Expensive to Adapt to New Domains and Tasks

- **Examples**
  - KPML (Bateman et al.), systemic grammars, development environment
  - FUF/Surge (Elhadad/Robin), functional unification grammar, interpreter
- **Features**
  - large multi-lingual systems
  - detailed, monolingual semantic representations as input
  - broad coverage of linguistic phenomena (goal: the more, the better)
- **Effort for adaptation**
  - Rich interface to the input language of the system (logical form, SPL)
  - Generation of sentences reflecting the distinctions covered

The excellent scope of services of generic resources can often not be utilised in practice

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 3

## In Addition to In-Depth NLG, Shallow Approaches are being Pursued

- **In-depth generation**
  - knowledge-based (models of the domain, of the author and the addressees, of the language(s) involved)
  - theoretically motivated, aiming at generic, re-usable technology
  - unresolved issue of general system architecture
- **Shallow generation**
  - opportunistic modelling of relevant aspects of the application
  - diverse depth of modelling, as required by the application
  - some methods viewed as „short cuts“ for unsolved questions of in-depth generation

Shallow generation can be defined in analogy to shallow analysis


Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 4

## There is a Smooth Transition Between Shallow and Deep Methods

- Prefabricated texts
- „Fill in the slots“
- with flexible templates
- with aggregation
- with sentence planning
- with document planning




Source: Stephan Busemann




Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 5

## Shallow Architectures Have a Simple Task Structure

*“In-Depth” model with interaction  
(cf. Reiter/Dale 2000)*



*„Shallow“ Model  
(Busemann/Horacek 1998)*



Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 6

## Overview

- Motivation
- **The TG/2 Shallow NLG framework**
- Some major applications for shallow NLG
- Assessment and conclusions

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 7

## Input for Air Quality Report Generation

```
[(COOP threshold-passing)
 (TIME [(PRED season)
        (NAME [(SEASON summer)
                (YEAR 1999)])])]
(POLLUTANT o3)
(SITE "Völklingen-City")
(DURATION [(MINUTE 60)])
(SOURCE [(LAW-NAME bimsch)
          (THRESHOLD-TYPE info-value)])
(EXCEEDS [(STATUS yes)
          (TIMES 1)])]
```

*In summer 1999 at the measuring station of Völklingen-City, the information value for ozone – 180 µg/m<sup>3</sup> according to the German decree Bundesimmissionschutzverordnung – was exceeded once during a period of 60 minutes.*

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 8

## Input for Air Quality Report Generation

```
[ (COOP threshold-passing)
  (TIME [ (PRED season)
          (NAME [ (SEASON summer)
                  (YEAR 1999) ] ] )
  (POLLUTANT o3)
  (SITE "Völklingen-City")
  (DURATION [ (MINUTE 60) ] )
  (SOURCE [ (LAW-NAME bimsch)
            (THRESHOLD-TYPE info-value) ] )
  (EXCEEDS [ (STATUS yes)
             (TIMES 1) ] ] ]
```

*Im Sommer 1999 wurde der Informationswert für Ozon an der Messstation Völklingen-City während einer 60-minütigen Einwirkungsdauer ( $180 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  nach Bundesimmissionsschutzverordnung) einmal überschritten.*

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 9

## Input for Air Quality Report Generation

```
[ (COOP threshold-passing)
  (TIME [ (PRED season)
          (NAME [ (SEASON summer)
                  (YEAR 1999) ] ] )
  (POLLUTANT o3)
  (SITE "Völklingen-City")
  (DURATION [ (MINUTE 60) ] )
  (SOURCE [ (LAW-NAME bimsch)
            (THRESHOLD-TYPE info-value) ] )
  (EXCEEDS [ (STATUS yes)
             (TIMES 1) ] ] ]
```

*En été 1999, à la station de mesure de Völklingen-City, la valeur d'information pour l'ozone pour une exposition de 60 minutes ( $180 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  selon le décret allemand (Bundesimmissionsschutzverordnung)) a été dépassée une fois.*

Source: Stephan Busemann




Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 10

## TG/2 Offers a Flexible Framework for NLG

- TG/2 is a transparent production system
- TG/2 interprets a separately defined set of condition-action rules
- TG/2 maps pieces of input onto surface strings

TG/2 keeps grammars largely independent from input representations



Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 11

## TG/2 Grammars Integrate Canned Texts, Templates and Context-free Rules

```
My category is DECL.
IF the slot COOP is 'threshold-passing
  AND the slot LAW-NAME is specified
THEN apply PPTIME from slot TIME
  apply THTYPE from CURRENT-INPUT
  utter "("
  apply LAW from slot LAW-NAME
  utter ")"
  apply EXCEEDS from slot EXCEEDS
  utter "."
WHERE THTYPE AND EXCEEDS agree in GENDER
```

(Busemann 1996)

*En été 1999  
la valeur limite autorisée  
(  
selon le decret ...  
)  
a été dépassée une fois  
.*

```
My category is THTYPE.
IF there is no slot THRESHOLD-TYPE specified
THEN utter "la valeur limite autorisée "
WHERE THTYPE has value 'fem for GENDER
```

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 12

## TG/2 Grammars Integrate Canned Texts, Templates and Context-free Rules

```

My category is DECL.
IF the slot COOP is 'threshold-passing
  AND the slot LAW-NAME is specified
THEN apply Pptime from slot TIME
  apply THTYPE from CURRENT-INPUT
  utter "("
  apply LAW from slot LAW-NAME
  utter ")"
  apply EXCEEDS from slot EXCEEDS
  utter "."
WHERE THTYPE AND EXCEEDS agree in GENDER
  
```

(Busemann 1996)

*En été 1999  
la valeur limite autorisée  
(  
selon le décret ...  
)  
a été dépassée une fois  
.*

```

My category is THTYPE.
IF there is no slot THRESHOLD-TYPE specified
THEN utter "la valeur limite autoris&e2e "
WHERE THTYPE has value 'fem for GENDER
  
```

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 13

## TG/2 Grammars Integrate Canned Texts, Templates and Context-free Rules

```

My category is DECL.
IF the slot COOP is 'threshold-passing
  AND the slot LAW-NAME is specified
THEN apply Pptime from slot TIME
  apply THTYPE from CURRENT-INPUT
  utter "("
  apply LAW from slot LAW-NAME
  utter ")"
  apply EXCEEDS from slot EXCEEDS
  utter "."
WHERE THTYPE AND EXCEEDS agree in GENDER
  
```

(Busemann 1996)

*En été 1999  
la valeur limite autorisée  
(  
selon le décret ...  
)  
a été dépassée une fois  
.*

```

My category is THTYPE.
IF there is no slot THRESHOLD-TYPE specified
THEN utter "la valeur limite autoris&e2e "
WHERE THTYPE has value 'fem for GENDER
  
```

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 14

## TG/2 Grammars Integrate Canned Texts, Templates and Context-free Rules

```

My category is DECL.
IF the slot COOP is 'threshold-passing
  AND the slot LAW-NAME is specified
THEN apply Pptime from slot TIME
  apply THTYPE from CURRENT-INPUT
  utter "("
  apply LAW from slot LAW-NAME
  utter ")"
  apply EXCEEDS from slot EXCEEDS
  utter "."
WHERE THTYPE AND EXCEEDS agree in GENDER
  
```

(Busemann 1996)

*En été 1999  
la valeur limite autorisée  
(  
selon le décret ...  
)  
a été dépassée une fois  
.*

```

My category is THTYPE.
IF there is no slot THRESHOLD-TYPE specified
THEN utter "la valeur limite autoris&e2e "
WHERE THTYPE has value 'fem for GENDER
  
```


Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 15

## Constraints are Percolated Across the Derivation Tree

- Feature unification ( $\sqcup$ ) at tree nodes
- Every tree of depth 1 is licensed by a grammar rule
- A feature can be assigned a value ( $:=$ )
- Two features can be constrained to have identical values ( $=$ )



Source: Stephan Busemann




Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 16



# The Interpreter is Based on the Context-Free Backbone of the Grammars

## THREE-STEP EVALUATION CYCLE

- 
- **Matching**
    - Identify all rules with the **current category**
    - For each of them perform its tests on the **input structure** (“IF” part)
    - Add those passing the tests to the conflict set
  - **Conflict resolution**
    - Select an element of the conflict set (possibly by some preference mechanism)
  - **Firing**
    - Evaluate the rule’s constraints (if available, “WHERE” part)
    - For each element of the “THEN” part, read the new category and determine the new input structure by evaluating the associated access pointer

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 17

## Overview


- **Motivation**
- **The TG/2 Shallow NLG framework**
- **Some major applications for shallow NLG**
- **Assessment and conclusions**

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 18

## Shallow Processing Deals With Partial Information




Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 19

## Some Major Applications with TG/2

### *Shallowness / Domain dependence of grammar*



Source: Stephan Busemann




Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 20

## Text Generation in TEMSIS Occurs in Two Steps

### GENERATION SYSTEM OVERVIEW

- **Parameter selection by the user**
  - language (D, E, F, P, C, J)
  - pollutant and measurement station
  - relevant period of time
- **Stage 1: Text schema construction**
  - querying the database
  - composition of report structure
  - elision of contextual redundancies
- **Stage 2: Linguistic realisation by TG/2**
  - selection of sentence patterns
  - wording, phrasing, grammar
- **HTML postprocessing**



Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 21

## The Texts Vary According to the User's Preferences

### EXAMPLE

- **Parameters selected within the TEMSIS Navigator menus:**
  - French text about a German situation
  - ozone data, exceeding thresholds according to decree
  - measurements at Völklingen-City in summer 1997 (to be confirmed)

*Vous avez choisi la station de mesure de Völklingen-City afin de consulter la pollution atmosphérique relevée en été 1997.*

*A la station de mesure de Völklingen-City, la valeur d'information pour l'ozone pour une exposition de 60 minutes ( $180 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  selon le décret allemand (Bundesimmissionsschutzverordnung)) a été dépassée une fois.*

*La valeur d'interdiction du trafic ( $240 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) a aussi été dépassée une fois.*

*En été 1996 la valeur d'information ( $180 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) n'a pas été dépassée .*

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 22

## The Reports Consist of Several Statements

SAMPLE SCHEMA FOR SUMMER OBSERVATION, THRESHOLD PASSING

- **Confirm pollutant, measurement station, and time interval**
- **Number the values exceeding the lowest threshold**
- **Number the values exceeding the next threshold**
- **Compare with values of preceeding year**
- **Repeat the core statement („Summary“)**

A schema is instantiated on the basis of the input parameters and the retrieved data

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 23

## Instantiating a Schema Leads to a Report Structure

TEXT ORGANISATION

- **Achieves text coherence by**
  - removing redundant information
  - inserting particles („also“)
  - simple techniques of aggregating information
- **Yields canned texts or intermediate content representations**
- **Intermediate representations are independent of particular languages**
  - TG/2 generates German, French, English, Portuguese, Chinese and Japanese text from them

Shallow generation can do without explicit knowledge representation and text planning

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 24

## Non-Linguistic Input for Air Quality Report Generation in TEMSIS

```
[ (COOP threshold-passing)
  (TIME [ (PRED season)
          (NAME [ (SEASON summer)
                  (YEAR 1999) ] ] )
  (POLLUTANT o3)
  (SITE "Völklingen-City")
  (DURATION [ (MINUTE 60) ] )
  (SOURCE [ (LAW-NAME bimsch)
            (THRESHOLD-TYPE info-value) ] )
  (EXCEEDS [ (STATUS yes)
             (TIMES 1) ] ] ]
```

*In summer 1999 at the measuring station of Völklingen-City, the information value for ozone – 180 µg/m<sup>3</sup> according to the German decree Bundesimmissions-schutzverordnung – was exceeded once during a period of 60 minutes.*

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 25

## Multilingual Generation in TEMSIS

- **Grammar size about 100-120 rules**
- **Written with standard text editors (emacs)**
- **Six languages: German, French, English, Chinese, Japanese, Portuguese**
- **Grammar is the only language-specific part** (except for canned texts about pollutants etc and error messages)
- **Adding a new language required little effort: 2-4 weeks, depending on skills** (incl. getting familiar with the system)
- **<http://www.dfki.de/service/nlg-demo>**

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 26

## Generated Texts Are Not Invented

### CORPUS-BASED GRAMMAR DEVELOPMENT (REITER)

- **User provide examples for target texts - the more, the better**
  - Texts produced manually by domain experts
- **Initial analysis of user-generated corpus**
  - Identify the knowledge used by the authors
  - Clarify with users any underlying semantic and rhetoric relationships
  - Discuss with users how the texts can be improved
- **Analysis of the revised corpus**
  - Definition of linguistic coverage
  - Correlate surface chains and underlying relations
  - Test of revised corpus (Wizard of Oz) and iterate the whole process, if necessary
- **Generalisation from Corpus Samples to Prototypical Examples (Templates)**
  - Basis for shallow grammar development

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 27

## Shallow TG/2 Grammars Depend on the Domain

- **Most NLG system cannot cope with varying input**
  - Linguistic vs non-linguistic
  - Course-grained vs fine-grained semantic specifications
- **TG/2 grammars usually are domain-dependent**
  - The input was domain-dependent
  - Grammar development was cheap (~150 rules, ~20 lexemes)
- **In-depth applications require a more generic approach**
  - German sentence structures (DUDEN grammar)
  - Coverage requirements are considerably higher (>800 rules, several thousand lexemes)
  - TG/2 grammar editor eGram to improve maintainability

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 28

## Language-Specific Input to TG/2 (German)

```
[ (SENTENCE DECL)
  (VC [(VOICE PASSIV) (MOOD IND) (TENSE PRAESENS) (SBP S2)
        (STEM "verursach")])
  (DEEP-SUBJ [(TY GENERIC-NP)
              (NUMBER SG) (DET INDEF) (NR V2) (GENDER MAS)
              (STEM "antagonismus")
              (PP-ATR [(PREP MIT) (DET WITHOUT) (NUMBER SG) (GENDER NTR)
                       (STEM "Acetylcholin")
                       (LOCATIVE ...)])
              (ADJ [(STEM "kompetitiv")
                    (POS ADJECTIVE) (DEG POS)]])]
  (DEEP-AKK-OBJ [(TY GENERIC-NP)
                 (NUMBER PLUR) (DET DEF) (GENDER FEM)
                 (STEM "wirkung")])]
```

*Die Wirkungen werden durch einen kompetitiven Antagonismus  
mit Acetylcholin ... verursacht.*

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 29

## Language-Specific Input to TG/2 (German)

```
[ (SENTENCE DECL)
  (VC [(VOICE PASSIV) (MOOD IND) (TENSE PRAESENS) (SBP S2)
        (STEM "verursach")])
  (DEEP-SUBJ [(TY GENERIC-NP)
              (NUMBER SG) (DET INDEF) (NR V2) (GENDER MAS)
              (STEM "antagonismus")
              (PP-ATR [(PREP MIT) (DET WITHOUT) (NUMBER SG) (GENDER NTR)
                       (STEM "acetylcholin")
                       (LOCATIVE ...)])
              (ADJ [(STEM "kompetitiv")
                    (POS ADJECTIVE) (DEG POS)]])]
  (DEEP-AKK-OBJ [(TY GENERIC-NP)
                 (NUMBER PLUR) (DET DEF) (GENDER FEM)
                 (STEM "wirkung")])]
```

*Die Wirkungen werden durch einen kompetitiven Antagonismus  
mit Acetylcholin ... verursacht.*

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 30

## Realization of German Sentences in MUSI

- **Size of hand-written grammar: about 950 rules**
- **Written with standard text editors (emacs), then dedicated editor eGram**
- **CFGs do not support encoding of word order variation etc.**
- **Metarule formalism within eGram (Rinck 2003)**
- **Size of derived grammar about 2.500 rules**
- **Processing slows down with huge conflict sets**
- **Take decisions on sentence structure and lexical choice outside of TG/2**

Performance loss on backtracking is low

Size of grammars and conflict sets matter

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 31

## Overview

- **Motivation**
- **The TG/2 Shallow NLG framework**
- **Some major applications for shallow NLG**
- **Assessment and conclusions**

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 32



## TG/2 is a Single Pass Mapper

- **TG/2 is often combined with other systems**
  - TEMSIS: Text structuring depending on database content; TG/2 generating at paragraph level
  - MUSI: Lexicalization and syntactic choice, avoiding huge conflict sets in TG/2; TG/2 as sentence realizer
- **For interdependencies between subtasks, as in sentence planning, the rule set must spell out all alternatives and quickly becomes unwieldy**

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 33

## Shallow Generation Has Pros and Cons

### ASSESSMENT

#### Possible advantages      Possible drawbacks

- Low development effort
- Reusable interpreter and subgrammars
- Very fast processing
- Easy introduction of additional languages
- Easy extension with alternative formulations (through a preference mechanism in TG/2)

- Knowledge representation depends on application
- Implicit dependencies
- Scalability is inherently lower than with in-depth generators
- Maintaining transparency of grammars can become a cost factor


Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 34

## Conclusions

- TG/2 is a framework that can implement shallow NLG tasks as well as in-depth realization
- Grammar writing for TG/2 and XtraGen is supported by eGram
- TG/2 has been licensed to more than 30 sites for commercial, educational and research purposes



Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 35

## Questions Answered by Slideset

- **How does shallow generation differ in principle from (standard) in-depth generation?**
- **Give advantages and disadvantages of shallow generation.**
- **How are sample corpora used to ensure the required coverage is available and the correct wordings are generated?**
- **Should an NLG problem be addressed by using as a resource clause-length pieces of prefabricated text with gaps to be filled during generation? Justify your decision considering both the complexity of the problem and the complexity of the generation process.**

Source: Stephan Busemann



Language Technology I, WS 2009/2010, 36