## Adversative Conjunctions in Spoken Lithuanian and English

| English conjunctions | Times per one <br> million words | Lithuanian conjunctions <br> (their tentative counterparts in English) | Times per one <br> million words |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| But | 4,580 | O (no single counterpart) | 4,500 |
| However | 613 | Bet (but) | 3,700 |
| Yet | 348 | Tačiau (however) | 1,400 |

Table 1: The most frequent adversative conjunctions in English and Lithuanian


Figure 1: Functional use of word but in morphologically-annotated corpus (Bielinskiene, 2010:64)


Figure 2: Translation variants of English adversative conjunction but


Figure 3: Functional use of word yet in the researched concordance


Figure 4: Translation variants of English adversative conjunction yet

| Other ways (paraphrasing) | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adversative conj. o (but/and/whereas) | $2.9 \%$ |
| Concessive conj. nors (although, though) | $2 \%$ |
| Adverbial is tiesu (really) | $1.1 \%$ |
| Adverbial vis tik (yet) | $1.2 \%$ |
| Interjection deja (unfortunately/sadly) | $1 \%$ |
| Combination bet deja (but unfortunately) | $0.8 \%$ |

Table 2: Other ways of translating conjunction yet into Lithuanian

| Conjunction | English-Lithuanian <br> Dictionary (Piesarskas, 2005) | Percentage of how they are translated in the <br> English-Lithuanian corpus of spoken language |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| but | conj bet, o; tačiau | bet (44\%), tačiau (31\%), o (8\%), <br> omission (8\%), other ways (9\%) |
| however | conj tačiau | no data |
| yet | conj tačiau, bet, vis dèlto | tačiau (54\%), vis dellto (16\%), bet (10\%), <br> omission (12\%), other ways (9\%) |

Table 3: Comparison of the lexicographic coverage and research results

