

The annotation of discourse markers in an English-Hungarian parallel corpus: parsing out the complex relationship between formal and functional features

Peter Furko

Károli Gáspár University of the Reformed Church in Hungary
4 Reviczky Street, Budapest, Hungary, H-1088
Email: furko.peter@gmail.com

We can find the most striking differences between written and spoken discourse-relational devices (DRDs) in terms of their respective multifunctionality, context-dependence, implicitness and type-token ratios. As a result, the most challenging task for discourse annotators is to tag a set of highly frequent DRDs such as *well, you know, I mean, I think* etc., which are used in a wide range of contexts with numerous (discourse-relational and interpersonal) functions, rather than DRDs such as *in other words, or rather, in short*, etc. which mark more explicit relations between discourse segments and are used with higher type/token ratios.

The present paper will focus on *of course* and its Hungarian counterparts *persze* (~‘sure’), *természetesen* (~‘naturally’), *naná* (~inf./stigm. ‘sure’), and will argue that *of course*, similarly to *well, you know, I mean*, etc. is a discourse marker (DM) that has no fixed meaning but a meaning potential compatible with a variety of core (basic) and peripheral functions (cf. Bell, 1998).

There is general agreement in the literature that a contrastive analysis can help tease out the diversity of meaning relations that semantically bleached DMs mark (cf. Mortier & Degand, 2009), while there is also an increasing awareness of the “indexically rich” situational meaning of DMs (Aijmer, 2013) and the resulting need to analyse DMs across a variety of speech situations and genres. In order to meet both demands, the study will map the functional spectrum of *of course* in private and public conversations (based on previous research) as well as in a one-million-word English-Hungarian parallel corpus which is based on dramatized dialogues.

The source language (English) DMs have been aligned with the target language (Hungarian) lexical items (alternatively, the absence of a translation equivalent has been noted), while individual tokens of *of course* have been annotated for the following formal properties:

- pausing, prosody or accent;
- DM clusters, collocations, lexical co-occurrence patterns;
- speaker roles (*interviewer, interviewee, equal/unequal encounters*);
- speech act of the host utterance / preceding utterance;

- position in the utterance (*initial, medial, final*);
- position in the turn (*initial, medial, final*);
- the host unit’s position in conversational structure (*first or second part of an adjacency pair, embedded sequence*, etc.).

In terms of functional properties, the following features will be annotated:

- primary (discourse-relational) functions (*addition, concession, consequence, or other*);
- secondary (interpersonal and interactional) functions (*feedback, stance, +/- politeness, hedging, boosting*);
- the annotator’s confidence in identifying discourse relations marked by *of course* (on a scale of 1-3);
- the annotator’s confidence in identifying interpersonal and interactional functions fulfilled by *of course* (on a scale of 1-3).

The paper will focus on answering the following research questions:

RQ1: Is there a correlation between the (primary/secondary) function of source language DMs and target language items?

RQ2: Is there a correlation between an explicit (primary or secondary) function and one or more of the formal features?

The preliminary results, based on two types of ELAN corpus queries (find overlapping labels, N-gram with annotations), suggest that DM clusters, lexical collocations and target language items are the most reliable formal indicators of the textual and interpersonal functions of *of course* marks, while prosodic and positional features, genre, speaker roles and the SA of the host unit and/or adjacent DU might also increase the likelihood of *of course* realizing particular discourse relational or interpersonal/interactional functions.

References

- Aijmer, K. (2013). *Understanding Pragmatic Markers - A Variational Pragmatic Approach*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Bell, D. (1998). Cancellative discourse markers: a core/periphery approach. *Pragmatics* 8 (4): 515–541.
- Mortier, L., Degand L. (2009). Adversative discourse markers in contrast. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics* 14(3): 339–66.