Chinese Parsing and Grammatical Relations

Yuchen Liao Universität des Saarlandes WS18-19 Typology of Morphosyntax Prof. Dr. Avgustinova

- Introduction
- Grammatical Relations
- Models
- Results and Conclusions
- References

- Introduction
- Grammatical Relations
- Models
- Results and Conclusions
- References

Introduction

• The Penn Chinese Treebank (CTB)

- A factored-model statistical parser for it shows the implications of differences between Wall Street Journal (WSJ) and CTB.
- Parse errors
- Difficult ambiguities inhenrent in Chinese Grammer
- Treebank-derived CFG : more linguistic ambiguities, genuine and artificial.
- Corpus-based statistical parsing : leading technique to deal with it, using the WSJ section of the English Penn Treebank (ETB).
- Different in Chinese : linguistic, tree-structure

Introduction

Translation difficulty

- A richer set of Chinese grammatical relations between words
- apply the log probability of the phrase orientation classifier as an extra feature in a phrase-based MT system
- Chinese grammatical relations : useful for other NLP tasks.
- Major factor in the difficulty of MT from Chinese to English : Structural differences including
- --the ordering of head nouns and relative clauses
- --the ordering of prepositional phrases and the heads they modify.

- Introduction
- Grammatical Relations
- Models
- Results and Conclusions
- References

- Chinese grammatical relations : designed to be very similar to the Stanford English typed dependencies
- Chinese specific structures
- --e.g. the usage of 的(DE): lead to different English translations
- --cpm (DE as complementizer) or assm (DE as associative marker)
- The typed dependencies
- --annotate these Chinese specific relations
- --not provide a mapping onto how they are translated into English.

• Comparison with English

- Chinese has more nn, punct, nsubj, rcmod, dobj, advmod, conj, nummod, attr, tmod, and ccomp
- English uses more pobj, det, prep, amod, cc, cop, and xsubj,
- Due to grammatical differences between Chinese and English
- E.g. some determiners in English are not mandatory in Chinese 进出口/import and export总额/total value

The total value of imports and exports

abbreviation	short description	Chinese example	typed dependency	counts	percentage
nn	noun compound modifier	服务 中心	nn(中心,服务)	13278	15.48%
punct	punctuation	海关 统计 表明,	punct(表明, ,)	10896	12.71%
nsubj	nominal subject	梅花 盛开	nsubj(盛开, 梅花)	5893	6.87%
conj	conjunct (links two conjuncts)	设备 和 原材料	conj(原材料,设备)	5438	6.34%
dobj	direct object	浦东 颁布 了 七十一 件 文件	dobj(颁布, 文件)	5221	6.09%
advmod	adverbial modifier	部门 先 送上 文件	advmod(送上,先)	4231	4.93%
prep	prepositional modifier	在 实践 中 逐步 完善	prep(完善, 在)	3138	3.66%
nummod	number modifier	七十一件 文件	nummod(件,七十一)	2885	3.36%
amod	adjectival modifier	跨世纪 工程	amod(工程,跨世纪)	2691	3.14%
pobj	prepositional object	根据 有关 规定	pobj(根据,规定)	2417	2.82%
rcmod	relative clause modifier	不 曾 遇到 过 的 情况	remod(情况,遇到)	2348	2.74%
cpm	complementizer	开发 浦东 的 经济 活动	cpm(开发,的)	2013	2.35%
assm	associative marker	企业的 商品	assm(企业,的)	1969	2.30%
assmod	associative modifier	企业的商品	assmod(商品,企业)	1941	2.26%
cc	coordinating conjunction	设备 和 原材料	cc(原材料,和)	1763	2.06%
clf	classifier modifier	七十一件 文件	clf(文件,件)	1558	1.82%
ccomp	clausal complement	银行 决定 先 取得 信用 评级	ccomp(决定,取得)	1113	1.30%
det	determiner	这些 经济 活动	det(活动,这些)	1113	1.30%
lobj	localizer object	近年来	lobj(来, 近年)	1010	1.18%
range	dative object that is a quantifier phrase	成交 药品 一亿多 元	range(成交,元)	891	1.04%
asp	aspect marker	发挥了 作用	asp(发挥,了)	857	1.00%
tmod	temporal modifier	以前不曾遇到过	tmod(遇到,以前)	679	0.79%
plmod	localizer modifier of a preposition	在这片热土上	plmod(在,上)	630	0.73%
attr	attributive	贸易额 为二百亿美元	attr(为,美元)	534	0.62%
mmod	modal verb modifier	利益 能 得到 保障	mmod(得到,能)	497	0.58%
loc	localizer	占 九成 以上	loc(占,以上)	428	0.50%
top	topic	建筑 是 主要 活动	top(是,建筑)	380	0.44%
pccomp	clausal complement of a preposition	据有关部门介绍	pccomp(据,介绍)	374	0.44%
etc	etc modifier	科技、 文教 等 领域	etc(文教,等)	295	0.34%
lccomp	clausal complement of a localizer	中国 对外开放中升起的明星	lccomp(中,开放)	207	0.24%
ordmod	ordinal number modifier	第七个机构	ordmod(个, 第七)	199	0.23%
xsubj	controlling subject	银行 决定 先 取得 信用 评级	xsubj(取得,银行)	192	0.22%
neg	negative modifier	以前不曾遇到过	neg(遇到,不)	186	0.22%
rcomp	resultative complement	研究成功	rcomp(研究, 成功)	176	0.21%
comod	coordinated verb compound modifier	颁布 实行	comod(颁布,实行)	150	0.17%
vmod	verb modifier	其在支持外商企业方面的作用	vmod(方面, 支持)	133	0.16%
prtmod	particles such as 所, 以, 来, 而	在产业化 所取得的成就	prtmod(取得, 所)	124	0.14%
ba	"ba" construction	把 注意力 转向 市场	ba(转向,把)	95	0.11%
dvpm	manner DE(地) modifier	有效 地 防止 流失	dvpm(有效, 地)	73	0.09%
dvpmod	a "XP+DEV(地)" phrase that modifies VP	有效 地 防止 流失	dvpmod(防止,有效)	69	0.08%
prnmod	parenthetical modifier	八五期间 (1990-1995)	prnmod(期间, 1995)	67	0.08%
cop	copular	原是自给自足的 经济	cop(自给自足,是)	59	0.07%
pass	passive marker	被 认定 为 高 技术 产业	pass(认定,被)	53	0.06%
nsubjpass	nominal passive subject	镍 被称作现代工业的维生素	nsubjpass(称作, 镍)	14	0.02%

Table 2: Chinese grammatical relations and examples. The counts are from files 1–325 in CTB6.

Shared relations	Chinese	English
nn	15.48%	6.81%
punct	12.71%	9.64%
nsubj	6.87%	4.46%
rcmod	2.74%	0.44%
dobj	6.09%	3.89%
advmod	4.93%	2.73%
conj	6.34%	4.50%
num/nummod	3.36%	1.65%
attr	0.62%	0.01%
tmod	0.79%	0.25%
ccomp	1.30%	0.84%
xsubj	0.22%	0.34%
cop	0.07%	0.85%
сс	2.06%	3.73%
amod	3.14%	7.83%
prep	3.66%	10.73%
det	1.30%	8.57%
pobj	2.82%	10.49%

Table 1: The percentage of typed dependencies in files 1–325 in Chinese (CTB6) and English (English-Chinese Translation Treebank)

- another difference : e.g.
- --English uses adjectives (amod) to modify a noun
- --Chinese can use noun compounds 西藏/Tibet 金融/finance体制/system 改革/reform the reform in Tibet 's financial system

- More specific examples such as:
- --prep and pobj : English has much more uses of prep and pobj
- --九七/1997 之后/after

after 1997

--cc and punct : The Chinese sentences contain more punctuation (punct) while the English translation has more conjunctions

--这些/these 城市/city 社会/social 经济/economic 发展/development 迅速/rapid, 地方/local 经济/economic 实力/strength 明显/clearly 增强/enhance

In these municipalities the social and economic development has been rapid, and the local economic strength has clearly been enhanced.

- 3 salient linhuistic differences between English and Chinese :
- --CH. makes less use of function words and morphology than EN.
- --EN. is left-headed and right-branching, CH. is more mixed.
- --subject pro-drop

- Tree-Structural Differences between English and Chinese Treebanks.
- CTB annotation Government-Binding (GB) theory
- 2 differences :
- --requires phrasal projection of all categories particularly prominent with NPs: CTB adj.-noun mod.
- --disdinguishes between levels of adjunction and complementation made only for VP
- The CTB has fewer types than ETB of equivalent size and has lower branching factor.

- The Penn Chinese TreeBank : Phrase structure annotation of a large corpus
- to improve speed while ensuring annotation quality
- proven to be a crucial resource in the recent success of English Part-Of-Speech (POS) taggers and parsers
- Data : mostly newswire and magazine articles from Xinhua newswire, Hong Kong news and the Sinorama magazine
- The structure of the original articles : maintained

N. Xue et al.

ADVadverbialMNRmanner*pro*droppedAPPappositiveOBJdirect object*PRO*used inBNFbeneficiaryPNproper nounconstrCNDconditionPRDpredicate*T*trace ofDIRdirectionPRPpurpose or reason*trace ofEXTextentQquestion*RNR*right notFOCfocusSBJsubject*OP*operatoHLNheadlineSHORTshort form*?*other unIJinterjectiveTMPtemporal•categoIMPimperativeTPCtopicIIOindirect objectTTLtitleLGSLOClogical subjectVOCvocativeVOCvocative	d argument non-finite tructions f A'-movement f A-movement ode raising or nknown empty gories

Table 6. Functional tags and null categories used in CTB

- Introduction
- Grammatical Relations
- Models
- Results and Conclusions
- References

Models

- Factored Parsing model
- Combining two independent parses :
- --maximum likelihood estimated (MLE) PCFG model
- --constituent-free dependency parse
- Offers the prospect of increased flexibility in tuning the individual parse models.
- Focus : to refine the PCFG model via stepwise refinements informed by major observed ambiguity classes.

Models

- Discriminative Recording Model in phrase-based systems
- use linear distance as the cost for phrase movements
- Disadvantage : insensitivity to the content of the words or phrases.
- Data sparseness can make estimation less reliable.
- **Phrase Orientation Classifier** : build up the target language (EN) translation from left to right.
- Predicts the start position of the next phrase in the source sentence.
- Path Features Using Typed Dependencies
- Feature : two words at positions p and q in the Chinese sentence (p < q), the shortest path concatenate all the relations on the path

- Introduction
- Grammatical Relations
- Models
- Results and Conclusions
- References

Results and conclusions

- Chinese typed dependencies with information about grammatical relations between words
- --to build path features
- --to improve a phrase orientation classifier.
- apply the log probability as an additional feature in a phrasebased MT system
- typed dependencies on the source side : informative for the reordering component in a phrase-based system

Results and conclusions

- An encouraging for the use of detailed error analysis followed by focused tree structure enhancements to improved parser performance.
- Two limitations :
- --error types are rare in Treebank data.
- -common error types : not the result of shortcomings major sources of error for the parse : coordination scoping ambiguity (in ETB) and N/V tag ambiguity (for CH.).

References

- Roger Levy, Christopher Manning, *Is it harder to parse Chinese, or the Chinese Treebank?*
- Pi-Chuan Chang, Huihsin Tseng, Dan Jurafsky and Christopher D. Manning, *Discriminative Recording with Chinese Grammatical Relations Features*
- Naiwen Xue, Fei Xia, Fu-Dong Chiou and Marta Palmer, *The penn chinese treebank : phrase structure annotation of a large corpus*

Thank you for your attention !